1		D STATES DISTRICT COURT CHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
2	NOKI	WESTERN DIVISION
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	., - Docket No. 3:06-CR-719
4	Plaintiff,	- Toledo, Ohio - June 10, 2008
5	v.	- Trial
6	MOHAMMAD ZAKI AMAWI, et	al.,-
7	Defendants.	- -
8	NOT TIME	64 EDANGODIDE OF EDIAL
9	BEFORE TH	64, TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL HE HONORABLE JAMES G. CARR
10		ISTRICT CHIEF JUDGE, AND A JURY
11	APPEARANCES:	
12	For the Plaintiffs:	By: Thomas E. Getz
13		Justin E. Herdman 801 Superior Avenue, W
14		Cleveland, OH 44113 (216) 622-3840
15		U.S. Department of Justice
16		By: Jerome J. Teresinski David I. Miller
17		10th & Constitution Ave, NW Washington, DC 20530
18		(202) 353-3464
19		Office of the U.S. Attorney- Austin By: Gregg N. Sofer
20		816 Congress Avenue Austin, TX 78701
21		(512) 916-5858
22		
23		
24		
25		

200 2006 or 00710 ICC 1	200 #: 002 Filod: 00/11/09 2 of 229 PagoID #: 10676
	Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 2 of 228. PageID #: 10676 6764  It Amawi: Office of the Federal Public
	Defender - Cleveland By: Amy B. Cleary
	Jonathan P. Witmer-Rich Edward G. Bryan
	Timothy C. Ivey 750 Skylight Office Tower
	1660 West Second St. Cleveland, OH 44113
	(216) 522-4856
	Muawad & Muawad
	By: Elias Muawad 36700 Woodward Avenue, Suite 209
	Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304 (248) 594-4700
For the Defendant Kerger & Kerger	
El-Hindi:	By: Stephen D. Hartman Suite 201
	33 South Michigan Street Toledo, OH 43602
	(419) 255-5990
	Boss & Vitou By: Charles M. Boss 111 West Dudley Street Maumee, OH 43537-2140 (419) 893-5555
	By: Alek H. El-Kamhawy Suite 3FE, 1700 East 13 Street Cleveland, OH 44114 (216) 928-1500
IVIAZIUUIII:	4403 St. Clair Avenue Cleveland, OH 44103-1125 (216) 361-1112
	,
	Helmick & Hoolahan  By: Jeffrey J. Helmick
	2nd Floor 1119 Adams Street
	Toledo, OH 43624-1508 (419) 243-3800
	For the Defendar EI-Hindi:

Ca	ase: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 3 of 228. PageID #: 10677 6765
1	Mohammed Abdrabboh 1620 Ford Avenue
2	Wyandotte, MI 48192
3	(734) 283-8405
	Court Reporter: Tracy L. Spore, RMR, CRR
4	1716 Spielbusch Avenue Toledo, Ohio 43624
5	(419) 243-3607
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript
24 25	produced by notereading.

С	ase: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 4 of 228. PageID #: 10678 6766
1	(Reconvened at 8:40 a.m.)
00:01:11	THE COURT: Are we ready to go?
00:01:13	MR. HARTMAN: We are ready to go, Judge. I expect
-08:-39:-30	it will take about two hours. I'm wondering if it's okay, if I
00:01:21 5	sense a need, to ask for a ten-minute break in the middle.
00:01:24	THE COURT: It's up to you.
-08:-39:-30 7	MR. HARTMAN: Thank you.
00:03:28	(Jury enters the courtroom.)
00:03:29	THE COURT: It's now Mr. Hartman's time and
-08:-39:-30 10	opportunity to present his closing argument on behalf of
-08:-39:-30 11	Mr. El-Hindi.
00:03:37 12	MR. HARTMAN: Thank you, Judge.
00:03:39 13	THE COURT: I remind you, as I've said so many
00:03:42 14	times before, the arguments of counsel are not evidence.
00:03:45 15	They're statements of counsel, of what they think the evidence
00:03:51 16	shows and the verdict you should reach.
00:03:54 17	MR. HARTMAN: Thank you. Good morning. I want
00:03:57 18	to start this by telling you simply that Marwan El-Hindi is not
-08:-39:-30 19	guilty. He's not guilty of any of the crimes that he's accused
-08:-39:-30 <b>20</b>	of. He never joined any conspiracy and never had any intent
00:04:17 <b>21</b>	that the things he showed or e-mailed to Darren Griffin be used
-08:-39:-30 <b>22</b>	to kill American servicemen. And the government didn't prove
-08:-39:-30 <b>23</b>	it.
00:04:34 <b>24</b>	Just like I told you in my opening statement, with
-08:-39:-30 <b>25</b>	respect to Marwan, nothing happened, and nothing was about to

6767

- -08:-39:-30 **1** happen.
- Now, like you, I've listened to the recordings in
- -08:-39:-30 3 this case. I'm going to talk to you about our perspective on
- -08:-39:-30 4 what the evidence showed. If I speak too fast for anybody,
- 00:05:02 **5** please feel free to raise your hand and slow me down. I tend
- -08:-39:-30 **6** to speak a little fast when I get nervous or when I'm passionate
- 7 about something. I can tell you right now I'm very nervous
- -08:-39:-30 8 about this. I'm nervous because I'm afraid that I won't be able
- 9 to effectively explain to you what we think the evidence showed.
- $_{-08:-39:-30}$  10 And I don't want to miss anything, so I'm going to refer to my
- -08:-39:-30 11 notes a lot. But I'm worried that I won't be able to articulate
- $_{ t 00:05:40}$  f 12 all the reasons that I think Marwan's not guilty and that I
- 00:05:46 13 think the government didn't prove he is.
- 00:05:50 **14** I'm also passionate about this. This is a very,
- 00:05:58 **15** very important matter. We want to thank you all for serving.
- 00:06:04 **16** It's important obviously to Marwan, but it's important to all of
- -08:-39:-30 17 us because your decision in this case is going to say a lot
- 00:06:19 **18** about us, about what we value in this country, about how we do
- 00:06:27 **19** things, and about how we treat people who are different.
- The fact is, there was no conspiracy here,
- -08:-39:-30 **21** especially as far as Marwan's concerned. What there was is the
- 00:06:47 **22** illusion of a conspiracy. It's an illusion that was created by
- 00:06:53 **23** Darren Griffin and accepted by the United States Government.
- 00:07:00 **24** It's wrong what's happening here. What's happening to Marwan is
- -08:-39:-30 **25** wrong. I believe it's an assault on his rights, and by an

extension, it's an assault on mine and yours and everyone's. -08:-39:-30 I'll explain why as I go through this. 00:07:23

3 Now, the government keeps telling you this is a 00:07:26 terrorism case, and there's a reason they keep telling you that. 00:07:29 It's because they want you to be afraid. They figure the more -08:-39:-30 you are afraid, the more you'll support this charade that Darren -08:-39:-30 Griffin created and that they've presented to you. We know that 00:07:51 they want you to be afraid because why else would they go -08:-39:-30 through those pictures and files on this computer and point out the fact of the people who were in it? Does it change the evidence that there was a picture of -- I can't pronounce it ---08:-39:-30 **12** Abu Musab Al Zarqawi on a website? It doesn't change the 13 words, and the videos; it doesn't change the actions. -08:-39:-30 **14** doesn't have anything to do with the evidence, who these people -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{15}$  are, but they have scary names. Ayman Al Zawahiri, Osama Bin -08:-39:-30 **16** Laden; all of these things are designed to get a reaction out of -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  you, but it doesn't have anything to do with why we're here -08:-39:-30 **18** today.

00:08:55

The reason we're here is for you to decide what Marwan did or didn't do, what all these defendants did or didn't It reminds me actually of the old color-coded terror do. -08:-39:-30 **22** threat system that the government used to use. I don't know -08:-39:-30 **23** what happened to it, but do you remember when they used to come -08:-39:-30 **24** out every day and say the threat level today has changed from orange to pink, which made us all keenly aware of absolutely

nothing until the day they came and told us that, well, it's now -08:-39:-30 orange, so go buy all the duct tape and plastic sheeting you can -08:-39:-30 **3** buy. And we did. We had no idea why, but we did it. -08:-39:-30 Yes, this is a case about terrorism in a sense, but 00:09:45 it's about more than that. It's about our rights, yours and -08:-39:-30 mine, as well as the defendants'. It's about how we treat -08:-39:-30 people who are different than we are. Specifically, it's about -08:-39:-30 how the government treats Muslims in post 9/11 America. And I -08:-39:-30 think it's important that we acknowledge that. It's also about 00:10:16 -08:-39:-30 10 what the government likes to call the war on terrorism. Now, one of the first things that I thought of when 00:10:27 -08:-39:-30 **12** I started working on this case three or four lifetimes ago was 13 how are we going to get a fair trial? How do you get a fair -08:-39:-30 **14** trial for a Muslim who's from the Middle East who looks like -08:-39:-30 **15** Marwan does in middle America? I really worried about that. 16 And the first question was, can we get a fair jury? I believe -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  we've done that. I know it took a long time; it was kind of a -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  hard process, but in the selection process I think you were all 19 candid, and frankly I personally am very confident in your -08:-39:-30 f 20 ability to sit here and judge my client. And he is too. 00:11:27 **21** I will also acknowledge you folks have been, -08:-39:-30 **22** without a doubt, the most attendant jury I've ever seen. watched you look very carefully at the exhibits, listen very -08:-39:-30 **24** closely to witnesses. A lot of you took notes. You even -08:-39:-30 **25** raised your hand sometimes and said: Wait a minute, what was

- that exhibit number, ,or what was that name? And that means a -08:-39:-30
- I can't tell you how much that means to Marwan. Because 00:11:53
- before the end of the day, his fate is going to be in your 00:11:59
- hands. 00:12:02
- 5 I can tell you what it means to me that you were so 00:12:04
- attentive. And I appreciate it more than you'll know because I 00:12:08
- think it means you know the evidence; you know all the evidence -08:-39:-30
- that you have seen. -08:-39:-30
- Now, it was almost a week ago now, but the 00:12:20
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{10}$  government spent last Wednesday and part of Thursday presenting
- a very, very compelling argument to you about guilt, and that's
- -08:-39:-30 **12** not surprising because Mr. Sofer is a very good lawyer. He
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  knows what he's doing. But when I sat over there in that
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{14}$  chair, and I listened to closing argument, there were so many
- -08:-39:-30  ${f 15}$  times I wanted to jump up, and I wanted to say, wait a minute,
- that's not all that witness said. I wanted to stand up and
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  say, Your Honor, I object; that little piece of tape that was
- 18 just played takes this thing totally out of context.
- -08:-39:-30 f 19 didn't do that. Frankly, I'm not that kind of lawyer. And
- everybody gets their say, and that's fair. So I sat there and
- waited for my turn. And now I can tell you what I really
- -08:-39:-30 **22** think.
- 00:13:25 23 What I really think is that I wanted to yell and
- -08:-39:-30 **24** scream about the way the government presented that evidence.
- It was a snippet here, a little bit there, a cut from here.

What I think they gave you was the greatest cut and paste job in -08:-39:-30 2 the history of courtroom trials. I don't think it was a fair -08:-39:-30 **3** representation of what the evidence actually is. -08:-39:-30 think it was a bit of manipulation of what the evidence actually -08:-39:-30 is. That's why I'm so glad you folks were paying attention so -08:-39:-30 closely. Because what you got from the government wasn't the 00:14:00 whole story, and it wasn't the big picture. And in order for -08:-39:-30 you to fairly judge Marwan, you need to look at the big picture. -08:-39:-30 You know the way that the government ran those -08:-39:-30 clips together without being completely clear about the date and what time and how much time was in between the clips, and they -08:-39:-30 **12** ran so fast. I know they had a lot to cover, and they wanted to -08:-39:-30 13 get it done quickly, so maybe that's the reason why they did -08:-39:-30 **14** that, but do you know what it reminded me of? Remember that 15 video that we saw a couple of times of all those American ministers and politicians talking about Muslims and talking -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  about Islam? That video made it look like this entire country 18 had a wild hatred for people of the Islamic faith. It really -08:-39:-30 19 did. Now, you and I know that's not true, that's not how we are. But that's what that video made it look like. 00:15:34 It occurred to me, as I was thinking about the -08:-39:-30 **22** government's closing and preparing my own to give you folks that 23 the way that that was kind of hacked and slashed and given to 24 you reminded me a lot of the way that Darren Griffin manipulated

people, including the government, through the course of the

investigation and in the way he testified. And I'll give you
an example. Mr. Griffin testified that Marwan called him out
of the blue on June 23, 2004; "out of the blue;" those were his
words.

d words.

Mr. Sofer told you last Wednesday that Marwan did

5

00:16:26

- indeed call Mr. Griffin on June 23, 2004, and he did. But
  that's only part of the picture, because if you recall the whole
  recording shows that Marwan was returning the call to Griffin
  from the same day, and, in fact, Mr. Griffin tried three times
  to call Marwan that day. And you can ask the Judge in your
  deliberations to listen to that entire recording. Mr. Griffin
  said he got called out of the blue. He sat there, and he lied
  to you.
- Mr. Sofer didn't lie to you. I'm not suggesting he
  -08:-39:-30 15 did. But it wasn't the whole story. It wasn't the big
  00:17:20 16 picture.
- Another example is about two wire transfers the government told you about that went from Mohammad Ahmed to Marwan's bank account. One was for \$10,000; one was for \$8,000. Now, you know, because we have stipulated, we agreed with the government, that the expenses from the trip to Egypt to go bring back Zubair and Khaleel Ahmed, that those expenses were reimbursed by Zubair's father Mohammad, and that's what the \$8,000 wire was.

They also told you about the \$10,000 wire. They

1 made it sound like Marwan was taking advantage of Mr. Ahmed by
2 taking his money because then he was going to further whatever
3 quest -- he, being Marwan, was going to further whatever quest

4 these boys were on. Well, if you recall the testimony,

5 Zubair's sister, Yasmin, utilized EMSS and actually went to

6 medical school over in Europe. And you heard her on a

 $_{\scriptscriptstyle{00:18:41}}$   $\,$   $\,$   $\,$   $\,$  recording, the conversation between Marwan and Mr. Griffin, that

-08:-39:-30 **8** the fee for that service is \$10,000. And that's what that wire

08:-39:-30 **9** transfer was. EMSS didn't get to keep that whole fee. Marwan

 $_{-08:-39:-30}$  10 said that on the recording. But that's all it was. And you

weren't told any of that. It's the big picture that's

-08:-39:-30 **12** important.

-08:-39:-30

00:18:32

00:18:38

Now, before we get into this any further, I want to talk to you about Marwan. Take a look at Marwan. Stand up.

15 I know it's tough for some of you to see because of the screen, but you've been seeing him for two months now. He's different

-08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  than we are.  $\,$  And it's okay to acknowledge that.  $\,$  He's a

 $_{ exttt{D0:}19:43}$  f 18 little darker skinned; he wears a long beard. He comes from a

-08:-39:-30 19 place that is unknown to most of us, and you know from the

56 **20** recordings that he speaks with a pretty heavy accent. He's a

8:-39:-30 **21** Muslim. He prays five times a day; he goes to the Mosque a

-08:-39:-30 **22** couple times a week, and that makes him different than most of

-08:-39:-30 **23** us too. But he's also human. He's a husband, he's a father,

-08:-39:-30 **24** he's an American citizen, and he's entitled to all the rights

-08:-39:-30 **25** that you and I have.

6774

Before all this began, who was Marwan? Well, he 00:20:34 was an immigrant. He was born in Jordan, came over here in the -08:-39:-30 mid '80s to go to school. He liked it, and he stayed. -08:-39:-30 land of opportunity, after all. -08:-39:-30 5 He said -- you heard him on the recording say he 00:20:55 liked it here. He thought this was a peaceful country. And -08:-39:-30 what he was doing was the best he could to raise his children -08:-39:-30 the best way he knew how. It was made clear several times on 00:21:07 the recordings that that was the most important thing in his -08:-39:-30 life. In fact, in one recording, I don't remember what the date was, but he told Griffin that that was his jihad, his -08:-39:-30 **12** struggle, raising his kids the Islamic way. 13 Now, other than his kids and his religion, his 00:21:30 other primary interest was business, as you heard, of almost any form or fashion. But he worked primarily, once this started, for EMSS. I don't know if we've told you it's European Medical 17 Studies and Services; that's what that stands for. He worked  $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$   $^{18}$  primarily for EMSS recruiting young American kids to go over to 19 eastern Europe and go to medical school. Now, an interesting question is: Who was Marwan on the day he was arrested? Well, he was pretty much the exact same person. He was raising his 00:22:20 **22** kids; he was working for EMSS; he was adhering to his religion, -08:-39:-30 **23** and he had little or no contact with Darren Griffin, or with **24** Wassim Mazloum and Mohammad Amawi, by the way. He also had no plan or intent to further anything or do anything illegal on the

6775

-08:-39:-30 **1** day he was arrested.

00:22:54 **2** Can you put up that instruction for me?

00:22:58 3 Now, you've seen this because the government or the

-08:-39:-30 **4** Judge read it to you, but I want to show you again because it's

-08:-39:-30 **5** important. The government has the burden to prove these

**6** charges against the defendants beyond a reasonable doubt. That

-08:-39:-30 burden stays with the government from start to finish. We

-08:-39:-30 didn't and don't have to prove anything. That's a very

-08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{9}$  important part of our system. That's on page five of your jury

 $_{00:23:34}$   $oldsymbol{10}$  instructions. What that means is even as he sits here today,

 $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$  f 11 he's an innocent man unless you decide otherwise. And your

-08:-39:-30 **12** decision has to be based on what the government tells you.

-08:-39:-30 **13** It's their burden.

00:23:12

00:23:56 **14** Now, the government told you several things in its

-08:-39:-30 **15** opening argument. They said the best evidence would be the

-08:-39:-30 **16** defendant's own words. And in Marwan's case, that is

-08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  absolutely true. But it's not just the few words that the

-08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  government wanted you to listen to and played to you last week.

-08:-39:-30 **19** It's all of his words. It's the big picture. I'm not going

08:-39:-30 **20** to go through 30 hours of clips that you already heard because I

8:-39:-30 **21** think you were attentive and understood them. And I'm not even

-08:-39:-30 **22** going to go through and pick out the highlights, the things that

-08:-39:-30  ${f 23}$  we think are best, smash them together and play them for you.

-08:-39:-30 **24** Again, I believe you understand the evidence. But, to the

-08:-39:-30  ${f 25}$  extent you have any question about any of these recordings, just

like Mr. Sofer did, I would encourage you to ask the Judge to -08:-39:-30 let you listen to them again while you're deliberating. 00:25:04 think you need to do that, you have a right to do that, and we -08:-39:-30 both encourage you to do that. But I would encourage you to -08:-39:-30 make sure that you listen to them in their entirety. -08:-39:-30 get the big picture, so you get the context of what's being -08:-39:-30 Specifically I would encourage you to do that because of -08:-39:-30 the way they were presented here last week. -08:-39:-30 Here's the other part, the other part of the best 00:25:39 In order to look at the big picture, you've got to consider Darren Griffin's words too. You have to consider the 12 things that he said and did when you're deciding whether the  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  13 government proved the elements against Marwan. I believe it is an indisputable fact that if it weren't for Darren Griffin, none  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  15 of this would have happened. In fact, these three men may -08:-39:-30 **16** never have been in the same room together. They may never have -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  met except for Darren Griffin. Mr. Griffin admitted that -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  Marwan didn't know either one of these guys before he introduced -08:-39:-30 19 them, and that didn't happen until February 2, 2005, in the case of Mr. Amawi; and February 16, 2005, in the case of Mr. Mazloum. He admitted that he brought these men together. He admitted -08:-39:-30 f 22 that on February 2 he and Marwan were at a CopyMax, and they -08:-39:-30 **23** were burning CDs for EMSS, and it was his idea to drop by Mr. -08:-39:-30 **24** Amawi's house. And you can tell from the recording that he wasn't expected. This was a drop-in. And within almost a

-08:-39:-30 **1** minute of getting to that house, Mr. Griffin said: Hey, is your

 $_{00:27:32}$   ${f 2}$  computer booted up? I want to show Marwan some of the sites.

 $_{-08:-39:-30}$  f3 Griffin had never watched any videos or anything like that with

-08:-39:-30 **4** Marwan before this happened. So he took him to Mr. Amawi's

**5** house and put them together.

00:27:51 **6** You know the other thing to keep in mind is we

00:27:55 don't have the recording from CopyMax, and the reason is because

-08:-39:-30 **8** Darren Griffin didn't turn it on. He had the power to turn the

**9** recorder on and off whenever he wanted. That means if there

8:-39:-30 **10** was innocent conversation, he didn't have to turn the recording

 $_{\scriptscriptstyle{0:28:21}}$   $oldsymbol{11}$  on. When he did turn that recording on, he was the only one

-08:-39:-30 **12** who knew that he was actually making recordings for a later

13 audience, for you, and he used that to his advantage to make

things sound a certain way, and to make people say certain

<sub>00:28:48</sub> **15** things.

-08:-39:-30

00:28:09

Now, he also admitted that it was his idea to bring

:28:56 **17** Wassim to Marwan's house for dinner on February 16. You heard

-08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  the tape. Wassim didn't even know they were going there. He

:-39:-30 **19** thought they were going to the shooting range. Again, this is

00:29:09 **20** Griffin purposely putting these three men together so he can

create this illusion of a conspiracy between them. He admitted

-08:-39:-30 **22** that once he had them together, it was his idea to form a cell.

-08:-39:-30 **23** I think he was the only one who ever used that word or talked

-08:-39:-30 **24** about that concept. But he put these guys together, and once

 $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$   $\mathbf{25}$  he had them together, it was clear from the recordings you could

- $_{-08:-39:-30}$  **1** hear the way he pushed, and pushed, and pushed.
- $\mathbf{2}$  You not only heard some of it on the recordings,
- -08:-39:-30 **3** but he admitted on the stand some of the things that he did.
- -08:-39:-30 4 He brought up topics and changed subjects on a dime to get
- 00:30:15 **5** people talking about training or jihad. He asked a lot of
- -08:-39:-30 **6** people and was always encouraging people to go to the shooting
- <sup>-08:-39:-30</sup> 7 range with him. He admitted that he kept talking about things
- $_{00:30:31}$  8 like training and jihad, even though people weren't listening to
- -08:-39:-30 **9** him. He admitted that. He admitted that he was ambiguous, so
- -08:-39:-30 10 much so that the FBI sometimes had to tell him to be more clear.
- -08:-39:-30 11 Now, when it comes to training, he said he tried to be spot-on,
- 00:30:52 **12** that's his word, "spot-on" about that.
- -08:-39:-30 But you and I know that's not true because we heard
- 08:-39:-30 **14** the recordings. And actually on cross-examination by Mr. Boss,
- $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$   $\mathbf{15}$  Griffin later admitted that the whole concept of training got
- $_{00:31:09}$   ${f 16}$  ambiguous, too, in the conversations. He would dominate
- 00:31:16 17 conversations; he would interrupt people; he would steer the
- -08:-39:-30 18 subject matter. And he did this in an effort to get people to
- $_{00:31:25}$   $\mathbf{19}$  say things; not to see what they would say, to get them to say
- $_{ ext{00:31:32}}$   $\mathbf{20}$  things. And that was clear even from what the government
- 00:31:37 **21** played for you.
- 00:31:44 **22** He admitted that he was the one who finally brought
- -08:-39:-30 **23** up illegal concepts. He was the one who brought up explosives
- -08:-39:-30 **24** to Zubair and Khaleel, not other way around. That's his
- 00:31:58 **25** admission. He admitted that he was fishing. Fishing; is that

-08:-39:-30 **24** why should he have to run away from Griffin just because the guy

25 had these crazy ideas; Griffin, not him. And the guy talked

- -08:-39:-30 **1** about his own plans. It is Marwan's responsibility to run away
- -08:-39:-30 f 2 from that? I would submit it's not. But him just sitting
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{3}$  there doesn't mean that he agreed. And you know because
- 00:34:35 **4** Griffin admitted it; that when he first started working on
- -08:-39:-30 **5** Marwan, he didn't support him. Marwan wasn't responsive to
- -08:-39:-30 **6** that kind of stuff.
- 00:34:46 There's another instruction I want to show you.
- -08:-39:-30 **8** This is about connection to the conspiracy. "Proof that a
- 9 defendant simply knew about a conspiracy, or was present at
- 00:35:03 10 times, or associated with members of the group, even if he
- 00:35:07 11 approved of what was happening or did not object to it, that's
- -08:-39:-30 **12** not joining a conspiracy." That's what the Judge told you.
- -08:-39:-30 13 That will become more important later on.
- 00:35:19 **14** Now, Griffin admitted that he asked Marwan a lot of
- 00:35:26 **15** times to go shooting, and Marwan never did. There's nothing
- -08:-39:-30 **16** illegal about shooting. But Marwan never went, never showed an
- 00:35:38 17 interest. Griffin admitted that he was proactive at times.
- 00:35:45 **18** That's how he put it, "proactive." I call it manipulative; he
- 00:35:52 19 calls it proactive. Either way, it's creating a situation to
- -08:-39:-30 **20** make it look a certain way.
- 00:36:03 **21** You heard from some of those earlier recordings
- -08:-39:-30 **22** that we played on cross-examination how many times he would say
- 00:36:12 **23** these kinds of things to Marwan, about training, about jihad.
- $_{00:36:19}$  **24** And Marwan never, ever took the bait; back in those early days,
- -08:-39:-30 **25** or later frankly. But I just want to show you how long a

period of time Griffin spent trying to get this man interested. -08:-39:-30 2 Now, you heard a few examples of the ways that 00:36:42 Griffin would manipulate the conversation. They would be in 00:36:46 the middle of talking about Islam or food or tea, and he would 00:36:50 just bring up the notion of training or finding land to train or 00:36:57 finding a place to shoot. When we talked about land, Marwan 00:37:05 got interested, but, you know, because you heard the evidence, -08:-39:-30 he was interested in land for farming and for livestock. 00:37:14 he actually, when he was arrested, had a few sheep in a pen out behind his house. So Griffin wants land for his purpose; Marwan wants land for his purpose. And they're both talking -08:-39:-30 **12** about it at the same time, but there's never a connection. 13 got to the point that sometimes they were talking about different things so much you could hardly make sense of what was -08:-39:-30 **15** going on. 16 Considering that Mr. Griffin started working on 00:38:00  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  f 17 Marwan as far back as 2002, the question that comes to mind is: -08:-39:-30 **18** How many times does a person have to say no? How many times does a person have to fail to take the bait? Do they have to ignore the enticement before they should no longer be the target of investigation? We'll talk about why that question didn't 22 get answered later. But is this the American way of doing things? Is this what we stand for? 24 Griffin admitted on the stand, and it's undeniable 00:38:59 in the many, many recordings you heard, the evidence of a real

lack of communication and understanding because of his use of -08:-39:-30 ambiguity, which he said was on purpose. But look at all the 00:39:15 different meanings of the word "training" that came up that were -08:-39:-30 on the recording or that Griffin admitted were discussed. -08:-39:-30 There was training for work reasons in the recruiting business, -08:-39:-30 recruiting students to go to medical school, recruiting laborers 00:39:38 to work in Dubai. There was even something about recruiting -08:-39:-30 nurses to come here and work. There was training for 00:39:45 legitimate security work which Mr. Griffin told everybody that 00:39:49 he had, but he didn't. There was training for physical

fitness. They talked about training for self-defense and home 12 protection. When Marwan talked about his kids playing soccer, -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  they were training for soccer. He also talked about them training for karate.

15

00:40:16

-08:-39:-30 19

00:40:54

Now, Griffin admitted -- it took a while to get him there, to get him to admit it, but he admitted that at that ICNA -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  conference in Cleveland when Marwan was talking about training -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  right in front of Zubair and Khaleel, Marwan was talking about physical fitness to lose weight. Griffin admitted that. didn't want to, but he did.

So many times when the word would come up, Griffin **22** would try to make it sound like something sinister, something nefarious, something that looked like it was criminal, but that 24 doesn't mean that it was. You'll have to consider who was saying what in these conversations because there was such a

6783

disconnect in the communication. And there wasn't just 00:41:24 manipulation of the conversations; there was manipulation of the -08:-39:-30 defendants themselves. He would ask them, encourage them to go -08:-39:-30 shooting, he even took some people shooting. Now, he and the 00:41:37 government both admit that that's perfectly legal, but they want 00:41:42 you to use it to infer guilt. The same thing with the video: -08:-39:-30 Griffin asked Marwan and Mohammad, he almost begged them to give -08:-39:-30 him videos, to show him the videos, the sites, to burn him -08:-39:-30 disks, to e-mail things to him constantly; he constantly tried to get them to do that. The government told you there's nothing illegal about that, and there isn't, but they want you  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  12 to use it to make an inference that they're guilty because of -08:-39:-30 **13** it. 00:42:31 How about getting them together? Griffin constantly talked about getting together all the time with these guys. And once he got them all together. One time. February 16 he even said, We should all get together next week. Well, -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  that never happened. He tried a lot, and it happened once in -08:-39:-30 19 three and a half years. And even then, it was very clear that they didn't all know they were getting together, and they didn't all know what the reason was. Marwan thought his computer was 00:43:09 **22** going to get fixed. Wassim didn't even know he was going to -08:-39:-30  ${f 23}$  Marwan's house until he got in the car; he thought he was going -08:-39:-30 **24** to the shooting range, but Griffin took him to Marwan's instead. -08:-39:-30  ${f 25}$  Again, putting them together so he can create the solution.

Mr. Sofer told

- Every single time something happened in this case,

  Darren Griffin was right in the middle of it. And you know

  why? It's because he was the one who made it happen. He made

  all of this happen.

  Now, Zubair and Khaleel. Let's just run through
- what we know about that. Marwan met Zubair at an EMSS seminar in Chicago. That was where his sister, Yasmin, learned about it and decided to go to medical school.
- Now, the Chicago police report that you have in evidence makes clear that in late May, I think it was of 2004, 208:-39:-30 11 Zubair's parents thought that he went over to get involved in the war. And we've stipulated; we've agreed with the government. By the way, that was Exhibit 202, was that Chicago police report.
- Now, we've also stipulated that Mohammad Ahmed,

  200:44:53

  Now, we've also stipulated that Mohammad Ahmed,

  200:43:39:30

  Now, we've also stipulated that Mohammad Ahmed,

  200:45:13

  Now, we've also stipulated that Mohammad Ahmed,

  200:45:13

  Now, we've also stipulated that Mohammad Ahmed,

  200:45:13

  Now, we've also stipulated that Mohammad Ahmed,

  200:45:23

  Now, we've also stipulated that Mohammad Ahmed,

  201:45:23

  Now, we've also stipulated that Mohammad Ahmed,

  202:45:23

  Now, we've also stipulated that Mohammad Ahmed,

  203:45:23

  Now, we've also stipulated that Mohammad Ahmed,

  204:45:23

  Now, we've also stipulated that Mohammad Ahmed,

  205:45:23

  Now, we've also ver'eleas

  Now, we've also ver'eleas

  Now, he've also ve

The government showed you that in the closing.

you Marwan was saying: These are my guys. That's No way. -08:-39:-30 not what that picture showed at all. All you saw from Marwan 00:45:55 in that picture was pride that he actually found them and that -08:-39:-30 they were bringing them back: Look, look what I got -08:-39:-30 (motioning). 00:46:10 The government made it sound like it was the -08:-39:-30 beginning of his evil plan to train them better, but that's not 00:46:15 the case. In fact, Marwan helped stop these boys from going -08:-39:-30 and getting involved in this violent jihad against U.S. forces in Iraq and Afghanistan. Remember that? If anything, he helped stop them from attacking and killing American troops. 00:46:56 Now, they came back here, Zubair and Khaleel still talked about training and fighting and jihad, and we all know that because you can see that from the recordings of that 15 conference in Cleveland in July of 2004. And Marwan heard part -08:-39:-30 **16** of that conversation, not the whole thing, but he heard enough -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  to know what they wanted. He knew what they wanted from -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  Griffin, and he knew that Griffin was willing to give it to them. And you know what happened after that? Griffin said he would train them; he looked to Marwan to facilitate that. You heard it on the recordings. -08:-39:-30 22 How many times did he ask him? I didn't count them. But no matter how many times Griffin asked Marwan about them, or about **24** bringing them to Toledo, or hooking them up somehow, he never **25** did it, ever, not once.

After the conference in Cleveland, Darren Griffin 00:48:12 2 never saw Zubair and Khaleel again. And the reason for that is -08:-39:-30 Marwan El-Hindi. That's just a fact. Now, Marwan would say, -08:-39:-30 yeah, I'll see if I can bring them; or, yeah -- he talked to -08:-39:-30 Griffin a couple times while he was in Chicago; you heard that -08:-39:-30 on the recordings. He said: Yeah, I'll see if I can bring -08:-39:-30 them back, or, I'll see if they're available. He said that a 00:48:43 bunch of times, but never did it. Now, I ask you, ladies and 00:48:46 gentlemen, what do you think shows his intent better, that he said I'll bring them, or, I'll see if I can bring them, or that he never brought them? I would suggest that the fact that he -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  never brought them, that shows what was going on in Marwan's -08:-39:-30 **13** mind. The reason he doesn't bring them together is  $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$   $\mathbf{15}$  because he knows what they want to get involved in, and he knows what Griffin's willing to do for them, and you even heard him -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  say to Griffin that he didn't want these boys to do something -08:-39:-30 **18** stupid or get in trouble. He'd already gone to Cairo to bring -08:-39:-30 them back from that. And after he brought them back, you heard him say he wanted to take care of them for their families, just like he told Griffin. That was the same day he told Griffin 22 that he was not recruiting for jihad. Griffin finally is clear

Now, that's a tape I do want to play for you. So

-08:-39:-30 **24** And the answer is: No.

23 and unambiguous about something: Are you recruiting for jihad?

Ca	ase: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 25 of 228. PageID #: 10699 6787
-08:-39:-30	we need to get your headphones on. This is the button that
-08:-39:-30	stops static? That's the extent of my technical knowledge
00:50:44	right there. Ready?
00:50:49	(Audio is played.)
00:51:04	MR. BOSS: We still have static with the
-08:-39:-30	headphones.
00:51:45	(Discussion had off the record.)
00:51:47	MR. HARTMAN: Let's try it again.
00:51:49	(Audio is played.)
00:53:39	MR. HARTMAN: Are you recruiting for jihad or for
-08:-39:-30 11	one of the brothers over there? I think it's the first time
-08:-39:-30 12	that Griffin was clear and unambiguous in his question. And
-08:-39:-30 13	the answer was very clear: No, I just want to take care of
-08:-39:-30 14	these two boys. He says for their family. Well, we know what
-08:-39:-30 15	the family wanted; look at Exhibit 202. The family wanted them
-08:-39:-30 16	brought home and safe, not to get involved in anything.
00:54:15 17	There's talk about the Ahmeds again on October 8th,
00:54:20 18	2004. And the government played you a clip that ended with a
-08:-39:-30 19	comment that Zubair's mother thought Marwan was dangerous and
00:54:32 <b>20</b>	didn't want Zubair around him. Again, it doesn't give you the
00:54:37 <b>21</b>	big picture.
00:54:39 <b>22</b>	First of all, we have no idea what Mrs. Ahmed
-08:-39:-30 <b>23</b>	thought. But later, Marwan expressed his disappointment about
-08:-39:-30 <b>24</b>	the perception of her thought because he was the one who went
-08:-39:-30 <b>25</b>	over there and got them. He said: How am I dangerous? I'm

- -08:-39:-30 1 the one who went over and brought them back. And Griffin even
- $_{ t 00:55:04}$   $\mathbf{2}$  says, You saved their lives. Again, I would encourage you to
- $_{00:55:08}$   $oldsymbol{3}$  listen to that recording. That's the same recording where
- -08:-39:-30 4 Marwan says: Tell them you'll train them but not for jihad,
- -08:-39:-30 **5** just to protect themselves if somebody breaks into their house.
- -08:-39:-30 **6** Is there any better indication of Marwan's state of mind than
- 7 "not for jihad"? I don't think there is.
- Now, the government tried to tell you, and I'm sure
- -08:-39:-30 **9** we'll talk about it in rebuttal, that Marwan said that to try to
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{10}$  cover up the truth about what Griffin was doing, to try to give
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{11}$  him a story. But if that was true, why would Griffin say what
- $_{00:55:53}$   $oxed{12}$  he said next? And what he said was: Hey, I want to get this
- $_{00:56:00}$  13 right; I'm going to train them for whatever they decide to do.
- 00:56:10 **14** He's not talking about a coverup; he's talking about training.
- -08:-39:-30 **15** And do you know what that was in response to? "Not for jihad."
- -08:-39:-30 **16** That's what Marwan thought. I mean, the jury instructions tell
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  you that you can use your common sense. Use your common sense
- 00:56:32 **18** in making these determinations.
- 00:56:34 **19** Now, common sense would indicate if Marwan ever,
- -08:-39:-30 **20** ever wanted Griffin to get together with Zubair and Khaleel to
- -08:-39:-30 **21** train them to do anything, but specifically to fight jihad the
- -08:-39:-30 **22** way they both talked about -- "both" being Griffin and the
- -08:-39:-30 **23** Ahmed -- he had plenty of opportunity to do it. He had plenty
- $_{00:57:02}$  **24** of time to do it. He never did it.
- OC:57:08 **25** Griffin asked Marwan on July 27, he said: How are

Case: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 27 of 228. PageID #: 10701 6789 the boys doing? Marwan said: They're good; they're cooling 00:57:12 down; they're back in school. And Marwan sound very satisfied 00:57:18 **3** when he said that. -08:-39:-30 Griffin still responded asking Marwan right then to 00:57:26 bring them to Toledo. But Marwan said: They're good; they're -08:-39:-30 cooling down, and they're back in school. Common sense, ladies 00:57:38 and gentlemen. There's no question at all what he had in mind. 00:57:42 I would encourage you to listen to that recording, too: July 27 -08:-39:-30 of 2004. -08:-39:-30 10 The evidence is clear, there were two times that 00:57:58 -08:-39:-30 11 you heard Darren Griffin be direct and unambiguous with Marwan **12** about his intentions with Zubair and Khaleel. Two times.  $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$  f 13 Both times when no one else was around. One was when Marwan -08:-39:-30 **14** said "not for jihad," and the other was when Marwan said he -08:-39:-30 **15** wasn't recruiting for jihad. 16 How many times do you have to say no? Seriously. 00:58:40 Now, the important part of those exchanges and the 00:58:50 testimony that came from here on the witness stand, Mr. Griffin 19 and Agent Coats both said that using ambiguity was a good thing because it didn't make sense to talk directly and openly about what you wanted to do. -08:-39:-30 **22** Well, first of all, I would submit to you I think -08:-39:-30 **23** that's a ridiculous assertion. Griffin spent three and a half 00:59:23 **24** years recording Marwan, monitoring Marwan. You don't spend

-08:-39:-30 **25** that much time and not get to the point where you can be direct.

- But the ambiguity also means that any comment where you're going -08:-39:-30 2 to get a response, it may mean one thing, it may mean the other. -08:-39:-30
- Because if the question is ambiguous, you don't necessarily know 00:59:42
- what the answer means. Not these two times. Not these two -08:-39:-30
- times. And they're the only two times where it's unequivocal. -08:-39:-30
- Marwan was not interested in being involved in this stuff. He 00:59:58
- doesn't have to prove that. The burden still rests with the 01:00:04
- government. But those comments speak volumes about what he 01:00:09
- thought of this whole thing.
- 10 01:00:28 Now, the government points to a conversation on
- February 8, 2005. And they talked about it in their closing
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  argument when Marwan talked about Zubair with Griffin, and he
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  talked about funding and CDs. Well, in the big picture, you
- -08:-39:-30 **14** know that Marwan was always looking for funding. Marwan needed
- -08:-39:-30 **15** money. You know that he had already talked to Zubair the
- -08:-39:-30 **16** previous summer about getting involved in EMSS, that's why he
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  brought him to that conference; he said that to Griffin. And
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  about the CDs, we've got to remember, it was six days before
- that that Marwan and Griffin were together at CopyMax burning
- CDs for EMSS. That's what he was talking about, taking Zubair
- those CDs. And he says to him, You with us or not? That's
- -08:-39:-30 **22** what Marwan was talking about, business, because that's what
- **23** Marwan always talked about was business.
- -08:-39:-30 **24** The government called Marwan the self-proclaimed
- moneyman of this conspiracy. He never gave a nickel to anyone

	6791
01:02:13	for anything, never applied for a grant that didn't have a
01:02:20	legitimate purpose, although Mr. Griffin, you could hear on
01:02:24	those tapes, went to great lengths to make it sound like the
-08:-39:-30	grants were for training. When Marwan did get a grant to do a
-08:-39:-30 <b>5</b>	low income tax clinic, even the government admits that they
-08:-39:-30 <b>6</b>	Dave, put up that stipulation.
01:02:59	Just go ahead and read that over.
01:03:46	Now, this is evidence because it's a stipulation
01:03:50	that the Judge read to you. That means that the government and
01:03:54 10	defendants agree to it. And this shows you a couple things.
01:03:58	First, it shows you that Marwan was interested in nonprofit
01:04:06 12	organizations and grants long before this conspiracy ever was
01:04:13 13	supposed to have happened. 2001 Marwan was interested in this
01:04:19 14	stuff, and he actually followed through with it. It also shows
-08:-39:-30 15	you that there's an allegation that some of the money is
01:04:32 16	misappropriated. That means that they allege that some of the
-08:-39:-30 17	money wasn't used for what it was supposed to be used for. But
01:04:38 18	there's no evidence whatsoever to show that it went to fund
-08:-39:-30 19	anything like what he stands accused of in this case, none.
01:04:46 <b>20</b>	Marwan talked about grants to open a halfway house,
-08:-39:-30 <b>21</b>	to help orphans, to help poor people in Egypt. There were a
-08:-39:-30 <b>22</b>	number of things that he talked about getting grants for. Now,
-08:-39:-30 <b>23</b>	did he see this as an opportunity to do some good while being
-08:-39:-30 <b>24</b>	able to pay himself? Yeah, he probably did. You can pick
-08:-39:-30 <b>25</b>	that up from the tape of the meeting with Mr. Dahabi on April 4.

- 1 You might not like that, you might not think that's proper, but to it doesn't make him a terrorist.
- He never talked about using this money for 01:05:44 4 terrorism or for funding terrorism; Mr. Griffin did. There was -08:-39:-30 a tape where they talk about a building on Reynolds Road that -08:-39:-30 Marwan said would be perfect for a school; it would be perfect -08:-39:-30 for a school. And they could get grants for that. -08:-39:-30 Griffin is the one who brings up shooting again to make it sound -08:-39:-30 illegal. And Marwan says, well, it's a private building; we're -08:-39:-30 not doing anything illegal. Again, it's Griffin trying to create the illusion for a later audience that there's some -08:-39:-30 **12** conspiracy going on, that there's some agreement that these guys
- I think -- in fact, I think it was on February 16,

  15 but I'm not sure, Griffin brings up the issue of grants in front

  16 of somebody else for the first time, and he implies that they're

  17 intended to fund some project overseas. Somebody asks Marwan,

  18 I don't remember who it was: Are they for overseas? And his

  19 answer: Not for overseas. He said it very clearly.

-08:-39:-30 **13** have.

I know it was on February 16 that Griffin said that grants were Marwan's specialty and that they could get money that way, but you know what that shows you? That shows you Griffin's intent, not Marwan's. That shows you Griffin again trying to create this illusion. The government entered a whole bunch of exhibits containing information for different grants.

- They weren't applications; they were just informational 01:07:53 brochures for grants about a whole bunch of different things. 01:07:57 All legal. No evidence that Marwan ever applied for any of 01:08:01 them. -08:-39:-30 Keep in mind it was over a year between the time -08:-39:-30 that they had dinner at his house and when he was arrested, and -08:-39:-30 it was eight or nine months after they met with Mr. Dahabi. 01:08:21 They never applied for any of those grants. There was simply no 01:08:27 follow-up by the so-called moneyman to get money to fund this.
- -08:-39:-30 10 And, again, that shows lack of an agreement.
- Now, there was this meeting with Mr. Dahabi, April 01:08:45 12 4, 2005. Griffin and Marwan went up to meet with this 01:08:50 accountant, Jihad Dahabi, at his office, and Griffin's wearing a camera; we saw the video. They were going to start this
- -08:-39:-30 **15** organization, UMMAH, U-M-M-A-H. You heard evidence about that.
- -08:-39:-30 **16** You actually see the bylaws in evidence, so you can read those.
- $_{-08:-39:-30}$  17 So there's no evidence -- let me back up a second. The bylaws
- 18 say right in there that they were going to fund this
- 19 organization, at least in part, for government grants. So it's
- not like they were hiding anything. Marwan wasn't afraid to
- let anybody know that because he intended to have the bylaws
- 01:09:43 **22** filed with the State of Michigan. But Dahabi never did it.
- -08:-39:-30 **23** But it says right in there where the funding is going to come
- **24** from.
- Now, there isn't any evidence that Marwan indicated 01:09:52

- he was going to use that organization or that money for anything 01:10:05 related to the allegations in this case. Now, the government 01:10:10 argued, and probably will again in rebuttal, that this whole 01:10:15 4 meeting with Dahabi was the follow-up. This was the follow-up. -08:-39:-30 to the plan, to the agreement that they made, and this was how -08:-39:-30 they were going to get money to fund the training. 01:10:30 But Marwan never said that and never agreed to that. What Marwan was 01:10:34 doing, this is like the low income tax credit grant to him. -08:-39:-30
- Even after April 4 Marwan never did anything to get money, the so-called moneyman. Doesn't it make sense that if there was some kind of an agreement here that there would have been some follow-up? I mean, what happens after April 4?

  11 There are a few phone calls here and there, but nothing happens.

was just another opportunity.

-08:-39:-30

- Doesn't common sense indicate if there's no follow up by Marwan that there was no agreement by Marwan?
- Even if there had been a conspiracy, the government did not prove, by any stretch of the imagination, that Marwan voluntarily joined it.
- David, would you put that instruction up?

  This is the same thing we put up before, but there's a little more; if you see similarity, and what comes
- o1:12:12 **23** after it. Just because a defendant may have done something -08:-39:-30 **24** that happened to help a conspiracy does not necessarily make him
- -08:-39:-30  ${f 25}$  a conspirator. These are all things that you can consider in

6795 deciding whether the government has proved the defendant joined 01:12:24 a conspiracy. But without more, they are not enough. -08:-39:-30 3 Yes, there was an association. Yes, Marwan knew 01:12:33 But even if you were to decide there was a 01:12:38 conspiracy, which we don't believe there was, Marwan never -08:-39:-30 voluntarily joined. 01:12:51 Now, the government was successful in proving some 01:12:56 things: First, Arab men love to talk about politics, and they 01:13:00 get very passionate about it when they do. The government proved that. It's clear Marwan's main interest, aside from his children and religion, was any business he thought could put a -08:-39:-30 **12** few dollars in his pocket. Even Griffin admitted there was  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  13 probably no business idea that Marwan wouldn't talk about. 14 Examples: EMSS obviously, the recruiting for laborers in Dubai, -08:-39:-30 **15** but also things like selling grocery bags to the dollar store, -08:-39:-30 **16** buying and selling candy and American flags, opening gas 17 stations, medical transcription work. The list goes on and on. -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  That's what Marwan was about. And that's why he kept Griffin around. He told him at one point that he wanted him to help with EMSS. In fact, it was in one of the recordings Marwan said: Well, you talk to him, you talk to the woman, and if he -08:-39:-30 **22** needs to know anything extra from me, you can ask. -08:-39:-30 23 The government moved Marwan did not believe the -08:-39:-30 **24** U.S. belonged in Iraq. He said that. He was pretty adamant

But he also said that he didn't think that they

about that.

could just leave because of the mess that would be over there. -08:-39:-30 2 He watched lots of videos on the internet. 01:14:33 proved that. Some of those videos some people would consider -08:-39:-30 pretty offensive. Some of them showed ugly scenes of war in -08:-39:-30 Iraq and other places. They show people from both sides of the -08:-39:-30 conflict being killed. They were difficult to watch, some of -08:-39:-30 them, but the Judge told you that you can't let any sympathy, -08:-39:-30 bias, or prejudice influence your decision. So even if you're 01:15:11 repulsed by those videos, even if it disgusts you that anyone 01:15:16 -08:-39:-30 10 would watch those videos, you can't let that affect your decision. You can look at those videos that show intent. -08:-39:-30 **12** government's going to tell you about that. I'm not going to -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  deny that. The Judge told you that. But don't forget that 14 Marwan, just like the other two men who stand accused here today, he lives under the same protection of the First Amendment -08:-39:-30 **16** that you and I do. Since Mr. Bryan threw around some quotes last 01:15:56 Thursday, I'm going to give you one of my favorite ones. -08:-39:-30 19 Thurgood Marshall. He was a great lawyer, important champion of civil rights, and a Supreme Court justice. And he wrote -and I can't quote it directly; I'm paraphrasing: The First 22 Amendment means this: It means the government has no business 23 telling a man in his own home what books he may read or what 24 films he may watch. Now, I'm not suggesting that the First Amendment means that nobody did anything wrong and no crime was

committed, but you need to consider that when you consider what 01:16:34 was watched and what was looked at. -08:-39:-30 3 Incidentally, Mr. Amawi's father told you when he 01:16:43 was on the stand that watching that material is not unusual in 01:16:46 the Middle East at all. In fact, they show it on the news, on 01:16:50 Al-Jazeera, and on other stations, the names of which I didn't 01:16:55 recognize and couldn't pronounce anyway. So watching that type 01:17:00 of material is, in part, a cultural issue, and on that basis 01:17:11 alone, can't be held against Marwan. I don't want you to think I'm misleading you. You can infer if you want to, but that's a cultural issue, and you can't hold it against him just on that **12** basis. -08:-39:-30 13 You know, the disturbing part of that was that it -08:-39:-30 **14** was real, those videos, people getting killed, people getting 15 beheaded, things blowing up. That was real. And we know Marwan had an interest in seeing what was going on. But an 17 interest doesn't equal intent. The government -- by the way, I -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  think it's important to know that the videos that you guys were -08:-39:-30 19 shown, they didn't all come from jihadists' or terrorists' websites. Some of those videos came from CNN, or they had the Al-Jazeera logo. Some of this was mainstream video news. -08:-39:-30 **22** would submit to you that's how Marwan looked at all of it. And o1:19:00 **23** just like Mr. Amawi said on the stand: What's really going on. -08:-39:-30 **24** You want to know what's really going on and see what's real?

25 Well, American news doesn't show that kind of thing.

	Ca	se: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 36 of 228. PageID #: 10710
		6798
01:19:14	1	By the way, the government entered into evidence
01:19:16	2	pictures of Marwan's house on Suder Avenue when the day that
01:19:23	3	he was arrested. And there are also some pictures of his
-08:-39:-30	4	former house on Mayo Street. If you look through those
-08:-39:-30	5	pictures, you'll notice something a little bit peculiar for a
-08:-39:-30	6	house in the U.S. There's no television. He didn't own one.
01:19:44	7	It's nowhere in the house. The computer, the internet, that's
-08:-39:-30	8	where he got his news. He didn't have a TV.
01:20:10	9	Judge, I wonder if we could take a 10- or 15-minute
-08:-39:-30	0	break?
01:20:15	1	THE COURT: Sure. We'll take a midmorning break.
01:20:21	2	(Recess taken.)
01:39:16	3	THE COURT: You may be seated.
-08:-39:-30	4	Mr. Hartman, you may resume.
01:39:20	5	MR. HARTMAN: Well, it's that point we talked
-08:-39:-30	6	about, what was proven in case. I want to talk at this point
01:39:26	7	about what the government did not prove. And the first issue
-08:-39:-30	8	is what's not there. I think this case is remarkable for
-08:-39:-30	9	what's not there as much as it is for what is there.
-08:-39:-30	20	When Marwan was arrested, there's no gun, there's
-08:-39:-30	21	no bomb, there's no explosive or explosive material. There's
-08:-39:-30	22	no target, there's no map. There's no plan. There isn't even
-08:-39:-30	23	a plan to get together with anybody at all in the future. None
01:40:01	24	of that is there. And that goes for Mr. Amawi and Mr. Mazloum
-08:-39:-30	25	as well. There isn't any common objective here that would
09/11/2008 11:3	26.26	AM Page 6798 to 6798 of 6990 36 of 228 sheets

qualify this as a conspiracy. It just doesn't exist. 01:40:15 2 Now, Marwan told Mr. Griffin that there was no 01:40:27 place for jihad right now. That was on a recording. -08:-39:-30 tried to tie Marwan to this by saying on February 16, Marwan, -08:-39:-30 maybe you want to go to Filistine/Palestine. But Marwan never 01:40:45 said that. And he didn't agree to it at the time. We don't -08:-39:-30 even know if there are U.S. servicemen in Palestine. The point -08:-39:-30 is, Griffin brought that up. That shows his intent. -08:-39:-30 doesn't show Marwan's intent. They didn't prove a voluntary -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{10}$  agreement to join a conspiracy. At the end of the day, ladies and gentlemen, if there was no follow-up to the conversations -08:-39:-30 **12** that took place, whether you take it from February 16, February -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  25, or April 4, the meeting with Mr. Dahabi, if there's no -08:-39:-30 **14** follow-up, is there an agreement made? Common sense would -08:-39:-30 15 dictate, no, there wasn't. 16 Now, what Marwan did not do. Again, as I said 01:41:59 -08:-39:-30 **17** before, he didn't go shooting. It's perfectly legal, but he 18 didn't do it despite the number of times he was asked. Never 19 asked for training, tactical training, or any kind of training in weapons or anything like that. Never asked about explosives. He had no interest in any of that stuff. Now, o1:42:22 22 again, interest doesn't equal intent. But he didn't even have o1:42:29 23 interest. He did ask for Griffin's help, but he didn't ask for -08:-39:-30 **24** his help in anything but business matters. Every time in the 25 conversations about something having to do with this case was

6800 brought up, it was brought up by Griffin except for once. 1'11 -08:-39:-30 get to that in a minute. Marwan would respond to some of the -08:-39:-30 things that Griffin said, but it was always in response to Mr. 01:42:59 And there's never any follow-up by Marwan. 01:43:07 5 It's also critically important that Marwan never 01:43:14 said anything to indicate an agreement with his other two 01:43:19 There was never anything like that. alleged coconspirators. -08:-39:-30 They were together one time, and there's no evidence that Marwan -08:-39:-30

ever said anything to Wassim or Wassim to Marwan; and the same goes for Mohammad, that they agreed with each other. responded to Griffin. It doesn't show an intent.

show a conspiracy. Again, it's the illusion of a conspiracy.

13 Now, remember the jury instructions that say a person can't conspire with a government agent alone because  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  15 Griffin was really the only one that Marwan talked to about any -08:-39:-30 **16** of this. Even though Griffin brought it up, he was the only **17** one.

18 Now, I told you earlier that there was one time 01:44:23 that Marwan brought something up that wasn't Griffin, and that was Zubair and Khaleel. And that was in a phone conversation -08:-39:-30 **21** when he first told Griffin about Zubair and Khaleel. -08:-39:-30 **22** remember that? He said there's two brothers; they'd like to

-08:-39:-30  ${f 23}$  train, and then he laughed. I think that laughter is very, -08:-39:-30 **24** very telling. Now, yes, he said they have a lot of energy and

all the other things that happened in the conversation, but when

01:43:33

01:43:38

01:43:43

01:44:00

	0001
-08:-39:-30	he told Griffin there's these two brothers and they want to
01:45:03	train, he laughed.
01:45:12	Counts 5 and 6 against Marwan, those allege the
-08:-39:-30 4	distribution of explosive materials with the intent that it be
01:45:24 <b>5</b>	used to kill U.S. nationals. Marwan did watch a minute or two
-08:-39:-30 6	of the vest video with Mr. Griffin. That's the subject of
-08:-39:-30 7	Count 5. They also allege that Marwan gave Mr. Griffin Exhibit
01:45:46	61. However, their own computer expert said there's no
01:45:52	evidence that Exhibit 61 was ever on Marwan's computer or that
01:45:58 10	his computer ever visited the website thread down there at the
-08:-39:-30 11	bottom that would get you to Exhibit 61. Griffin claims Marwan
-08:-39:-30 12	gave it to him. In a little bit we're going to talk about
-08:-39:-30 13	credibility of witnesses, and I'll remind you of Exhibit 61 at
-08:-39:-30 14	that point. But he did watch the video, part of it with
01:46:22 15	Griffin. We're not going to deny that. We told you that in the
-08:-39:-30 16	beginning we wouldn't deny that. That's the subject of Count
-08:-39:-30 17	5. He also sent this e-mail to Griffin about the IED attack.
-08:-39:-30 18	Now, the government did not prove and cannot prove
-08:-39:-30 19	when Marwan did those things he had the intent for Griffin to
01:46:53 <b>20</b>	use that stuff to kill U.S. soldiers. There just isn't any
-08:-39:-30 <b>21</b>	evidence of that. There isn't any proof beyond a reasonable
01:47:05 <b>22</b>	doubt that that was Marwan's intent when he did those things.
01:47:14 23	David, can you pull up that instruction 22?
01:47:20 <b>24</b>	The government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt
01:47:22 <b>25</b>	that each defendant had the intent to further a federal crime of

- 1 violence, namely the killing of a U.S. national outside the
- -08:-39:-30 **2** United States or an officer or employee of the United States.
- $_{ exttt{01:47:42}}$   $oldsymbol{3}$  Beyond a reasonable doubt that Marwan had that intent. Now,
- $_{-08:-39:-30}$  4 those things happened on February 8 of '05 with respect to the
- o1:47:55 **5** vest video, and February 25 of '05 with respect to the e-mail.
- o1:48:02 6 And if you will recall, Darren Griffin was standing right next
- -08:-39:-30 7 to Marwan the entire time when both of those events happened.
- -08:-39:-30 8 It was almost like you could hear him telling Marwan what to
- o1:48:27 9 type. In one instance he's telling him 059. In the other one
- $_{ t 01:48:35}$  10 he then tells him: Well, send it to my e-mail address. He's
- 01:48:39 11 telling him exactly what to type. It's as if Marwan's there at
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  the computer, and Griffin's the puppet master. He's pulling
- -08:-39:-30 13 the strings, asking Marwan to do these things. Well, just
- -08:-39:-30 **14** because Marwan did them doesn't show that he had an intent.
- -08:-39:-30 15 And Griffin never said: Send me that IED e-mail because it's
- -08:-39:-30 **16** going to be perfect for me to help people kill Americans.
- 01:49:21 17 On February 8, the day the vest video ended up
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  getting played, they started on the computer -- you'll hear that
- on:49:34 19 recording. They started with Marwan showing Griffin a very good
- $_{\cdot 08: \cdot 39: \cdot 30}$   $\mathbf{20}$  site for the Qur'an. That's what he was looking at first,
- o1:49:45 **21** showing him a very good site for the Qur'an. He then goes to a
- 01:49:49 **22** site where there are different forums or chat rooms. You heard
- -08:-39:-30 **23** that on the recording. Marwan said there's a general
- o1:49:55 **24** discussion -- or whatever site they were on, there's a general
- o1:49:58 **25** discussion room. There's a room for news of the Mujahidin,

And, ladies and gentlemen, I'm not trying to

-08:-39:-30

And, ladies and gentlemen, I'm not trying to

-08:-39:-30

And I'm not trying to make

- -08:-39:-30 **1** light of that. I hope you understand that. But I'm talking about Marwan's intent.
- As for the IED e-mail, keep in mind that this was

  4 not an e-mail that somebody sent to Marwan. This was an e-mail
- o<sub>1:52:37</sub> **5** from a Yahoo group that he belonged to. It's like a bulk
- o1:52:43 **6** mailing. I don't know if any of you belong to a Yahoo group.
- 7 I belong to one for lawyers. Somebody will send out a piece of
- -08:-39:-30 8 news, and it goes to all of them. Nobody sent this directly to
- 01:52:55 **9** Marwan. Yes, the group was called IAI Iraq, and, yes, there
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{10}$  was testimony about what that means, and it may sound all bad,
- -08:-39:-30 11 but Marwan was interested in what's going on. Interest doesn't
- 01:53:12 **12** equal intent. He opened this e-mail in front of Griffin, and
- -08:-39:-30 **13** Griffin asked him to forward it on, so he did.
- 01:53:22 **14** Now, he did say something about teach. Marwan
- $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$   $\mathbf{15}$  said something about teach when they looked at -- were looking
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{16}$  at the e-mail. But he was explaining to Griffin what the
- 01:53:44  $\,$  **17** e-mail was, not talking to Griffin about what he was going to do
- 01:53:48 **18** with it. Because Griffin said something: Well, they didn't
- -08:-39:-30 19 hide that one very well. And Marwan said: I think they're
- -08:-39:-30 **20** trying to teach. And then it trails off; you can't hear it.
- 01:54:00 **21** But he's just talking about the subject matter of the video.
- 01:54:05 **22** He's explaining what that is.
- 01:54:11 23 The government said Marwan knew exactly what that
- 01:54:18 **24** was because he could read the Arabic subject of the e-mail.
- 01:54:23 **25** Well, of course he can read Arabic, but he didn't translate that

for Griffin. He didn't say this is being sent because it shows 01:54:29 how to plan an IED attack. And the thing was just pirated from 01:54:33 **3** something else. I mean, the government's own expert said that 01:54:41 4 it was probably something that was made by coalition or U.S. -08:-39:-30 forces to show how to avoid one, how to detect and avoid one. 01:54:48 I'm not suggesting Marwan sent that to Griffin so he would know -08:-39:-30 how to avoid an IED attack. But that's all this was. -08:-39:-30 pirated from somewhere else, and it was sent on the internet. 01:55:03 In order to find Marwan guilty of either Count 5 or 01:55:15 Count 6, you have to find -- you can forget about giving the information; he gave the information to Griffin. Okay. You -08:-39:-30 **12** have to find the intent. And I would suggest to you that there  $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$   $\mathbf{13}$  is no credible evidence, direct or otherwise, that he had the -08:-39:-30 **14** intent that this was to be used to kill U.S. soldiers. an inference the government is going to ask you to draw, but it's an inference that is not supported by the evidence. 17 Marwan's previous comments, even to Griffin himself, indicate in his mind he didn't support it, the idea of jihad. To him jihad was raising his children. For others, he said, it's better to convert one person to Islam than to go over and fight and get yourself killed. That's what jihad meant to Marwan. And -08:-39:-30 **22** that's what you can infer his intent was. That's a fair  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  **23** inference. 01:56:42 **24** When you're considering this intent issue, look at

Marwan's words, not Griffin's words. We know from previous

6806

evidence in this case Griffin is all too willing to lie. -08:-39:-30 admitted it. You have to look at Marwan's words to see if he 01:57:03 had any intent because Griffin would lie and twist and -08:-39:-30 manipulate just to make things sound a certain way. -08:-39:-30 Marwan by his own words and his own actions, and especially by -08:-39:-30 the topics he brought up himself in the conversation. When you -08:-39:-30 listen to the recordings, if you listen to recordings, or as you -08:-39:-30 review your notes about these conversations, who brought these -08:-39:-30 topics up? Who brought up this stuff? How many times did 01:57:39 Marwan bring up the issue of going to fight or going to train? I don't think he ever did. It was always Griffin. -08:-39:-30 12 that shows, I believe, is a lack of intent and a lack of -08:-39:-30 **13** agreement on Marwan's part. 01:58:18 Now, Mikhail Al-Mozrouei, what he claims Marwan -08:-39:-30 **15** asked him about was going to Afghanistan to train for jihad. This is kind of out of the blue, and I think you need to be 01:58:32 17 skeptical of this witness for a couple of reason, not the least 01:58:36 of which is that this is the first and only time anyone ever cites Marwan as saying anything like that at all. Over three years, Griffin's recording Marwan, he never says anything like that. No one else comes in to say they hear Marwan say 22 anything like that. Why do I think you need to be skeptical or 23 dismiss Mr. Al-Mozrouei's testimony? First he says that there -08:-39:-30 **24** were people sitting on the floor with Marwan when he went up to him, but he doesn't remember who they were. When I asked him

to describe them, I think he said that their faces were blurry -08:-39:-30 in his mind. What does that say about his memory, his ability -08:-39:-30 **3** to perceive and recall? -08:-39:-30

01:59:40

01:59:45

01:59:51

-08:-39:-30

02:00:04

02:00:11

02:00:52

He was interviewed by the FBI twice and never mentioned a word about this comment to the FBI. One time he even told the FBI about somebody else, but he never told them about this. It wasn't until a third interview, which was March 4 of this year, that he finally suddenly remembered this comment. That was the day jury selection began in this case, about four years after the comment was supposed to have been made; three anyway, at least. Like I said, in all the 12 recordings that Griffin made, never does Marwan say anything -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  like this. And you know he tried to get him to. You could -08:-39:-30 **14** hear that. You know, the absence of any evidence or statements

-08:-39:-30 **15** like that is one of the reasons the government didn't meet its -08:-39:-30 **16** burden of proof.

Now, I don't want to talk down about Mr. Al-Mozrouei, but you have to consider his condition that he 19 talked about when you consider his testimony. He said that he was bipolar and he had ADHD. I'm not cutting him down or anything like that. He said when he was on medication, it 02:01:24 **22** didn't affect his memory or his perception, but he also said he  $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$   $\mathbf{23}$  wasn't on medication at the time this comment was supposed to be -08:-39:-30 **24** made. I would suggest that you shouldn't even consider his

-08:-39:-30 **25** testimony. If you do decide to consider his testimony,

- 1 remember that he also said, when he sat here and was recalling
  1 the event, he didn't think Marwan was serious.
- 3 You heard some testimony that Marwan was 02:02:02 interviewed by the FBI on the day he was arrested, and you heard 02:02:05 testimony that he told them things that were not true. -08:-39:-30 government argued in its closing, and may again in its rebuttal, -08:-39:-30 that those are false exculpatory statements by Marwan and that 02:02:22 they show a consciousness of guilt. And the Judge's -08:-39:-30 instructions say that you can infer a consciousness of guilt 02:02:35 from a false exculpatory instruction. Excuse me. However, the
- Judge's instruction also says there may be a completely different reason for an innocent person not to tell the truth.

  Memory, for instance.
- The government seemed to make a big deal out of the fact that when they asked Marwan for Griffin's e-mail address, he told him DarrenGriffin@BD; he didn't give them this Abu Jihad e-mail address. Well, how long had it been since he used that e-mail address? We don't even know that. We know he didn't have regular contact with Griffin for months or a year.
- Also, I e-mail my brothers and my sister just about every day. I couldn't tell you any of their addresses, not even close. I couldn't tell you people's phone number I call in my cell phone. It's all programmed in under their name. I hit somebody's name; I hit send. I have no memory of those

-08:-39:-30	But there's another reason that I think is probably
-08:-39:-30	more compelling that Marwan might have for making false
-08:-39:-30	statements to an FBI agent the day he's arrested, yanked out of
02:04:11	his house, and taken downtown by the FBI. And I would suggest
-08:-39:-30	that that's fear. Just fear. And I think the best evidence
02:04:23	of that doesn't even come from Marwan. I think the best
02:04:28	evidence of that comes from the testimony of the accountant,
02:04:36	Jihad Dahabi. Remember his testimony; it was on videotape. We
-08:-39:-30	had to watch it because he wasn't here. Well, April 4, 2005,
-08:-39:-30	they went up to see him about setting up a nonprofit
02:04:51	organization. And Mr. Sofer said in his closing that Dahabi
02:04:57	must have known that this was about terrorism, or words to that
-08:-39:-30	effect. I don't want to quote; he said something like that. I
02:05:08	think that is absolutely not what the evidence showed from Mr.
-08:-39:-30 15	Dahabi's testimony. He did say in his testimony that he never
02:05:19	filed the paperwork that he drew up the day that Marwan and Mr.
02:05:26	Griffin saw it. The reason is because Darren Griffin made him
-08:-39:-30 <b>18</b>	uncomfortable with all this talk. That's the reason he didn't
02:05:38	file the paperwork. He destroyed it the next day. In his
-08:-39:-30 <b>20</b>	testimony he said and I encourage you to go back and watch
02:05:46 <b>21</b>	it; it's not that long; it's only about a half an hour. Marwan
02:05:51 <b>22</b>	didn't make him uncomfortable; it was Griffin who made him
-08:-39:-30 <b>23</b>	uncomfortable. But then he lied to the FBI about it, and he
-08:-39:-30 <b>2</b> 4	told the FBI that he destroyed the paperwork because it didn't
02:06:03 <b>25</b>	have all the signatures he needed, or something like that.

6810

He got up there on the stand, it was on the 02:06:12 2 videotape, and he admitted that he had lied to the FBI even -08:-39:-30 though he knew he hadn't committed any crime. He wasn't accused 02:06:22 of committing a crime. He wasn't accused of conspiring with -08:-39:-30 anybody. He just got uncomfortable because of Griffin, and he -08:-39:-30 was afraid of the FBI. -08:-39:-30 Marwan's false statements are, if there are any, 02:06:47 are not consciousness of guilt for some crime any more than -08:-39:-30 Dahabi's were. Frankly, I think it's a product of fear. 02:06:55 cannot imagine being a Muslim in America and facing some 02:07:05 accusation related to terrorism. I don't think any of us can 02:07:14 imagine what that would be like in this post-September-11 world. 13 Even though we can't imagine what that would be 02:07:38 like, I think we can understand why getting a knock on the door -08:-39:-30 **15** by the FBI, if you're a Muslim in 2008, would absolutely scare the hell out of you. Not to say that there's anything wrong on -08:-39:-30 **17** the FBI's part, but that's just reality. -08:-39:-30 **18** The government presented you with a whole bunch of computer evidence and experts to explain what it was and translators to show what they said. And my basic response to that is: So what? Who cares, really? I don't care how many 22 files are in the internet history; I don't care what links may 23 have been clicked through what e-mail server. I don't care how -08:-39:-30 **24** many cookies there are in the computer's cookie jar. that has anything to do with whether or not Marwan made a

criminal agreement, whether he joined a conspiracy with these -08:-39:-30 **2** people, none of that stuff. It has nothing to do with it. -08:-39:-30 3 But they sure spent a lot of time on it. Now, we could argue -08:-39:-30 4 with some of the government's assertions about that computer -08:-39:-30 evidence because there was some unanswered questions, and there -08:-39:-30 were some issue. Just really quickly, Exhibit 61 was never on -08:-39:-30 Marwan's computer. That's according to their own expert. -08:-39:-30 URL for Exhibit 62 never showed up on Marwan's computer. That's -08:-39:-30 according to their own expert. Exhibit 73 did not come from an 02:09:18 Ekhlaas website; it was from a Yahoo group. It was unsolicited, 02:09:26 according to their own expert. -08:-39:-30 **12** If you type in what Mr. Griffin wrote on the back -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  of that second page or whatever, if you type that in, it's not -08:-39:-30 **14** going to take you to anything but an error message because there  $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$   $\mathbf{15}$  are two spelling mistakes. And why didn't the government convert Exhibit 74? It was supposed to be Griffin's 17 confirmation of his registration for whatever. It was in

didn't they convert that so you knew what it said? They did it with some other exhibits. But you know what, I don't care. I don't think any of it matters.

-08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  that -- what we call Wingding, for lack of a better term. Why

No matter what the answers are to those questions,
they don't get to the elements of the offenses here. It
doesn't prove that Marwan ever made an agreement or that he had
any intent. And even if you think it was possible, that's not

proof beyond a reasonable doubt. You'll read that in the -08:-39:-30 instructions. 02:10:49 Now, there are some other issues from the 02:10:50 government's closing that I need to address. -08:-39:-30 5 Mr. Sofer said he quoted Marwan on October 8 of 02:10:58 2004, I believe it was, saying something about knowing your 02:11:04 enemy is knowing their strength, or words to that effect. To -08:-39:-30 be honest, I don't remember the exact quote. But he didn't 02:11:13 explain the next line about: Going with the Sunnah means we 02:11:19  $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$  10 can't lose. The Sunnah is a very important religious text in Islam. And what Marwan said was just a quote from the Sunnah. **12** I told you that; big picture. -08:-39:-30 13 The government made a lot of noise about Marwan 14 saying, "I understand," which I think was on December 16 of '04. -08:-39:-30 **15** They pointed it out to you because it was in response to some 16 comment by Mr. Griffin, that he only wanted to train people who  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  17 were going to go overseas, or he only wanted to train people who -08:-39:-30 **18** were going to go fight, or something like that. And Marwan said, "I understand." Well, he may have just been dismissing Griffin, or he may have, in fact, understood. He may have understood that's exactly what Griffin's intent was. 22 again, that doesn't mean it was Marwan's intent. And it 23 wouldn't be fair to take Griffin's intent and impute that to **24** Marwan to find him guilty. That's not the way we do things.

There was talk about what the U.S. troops fear most

in Iraq. Snipers is what Marwan said. Well, that's a 02:12:48 statement of opinion. That's all it is. Marwan didn't say: 02:12:52 I want to be a sniper because that's what they're most afraid -08:-39:-30 4 of, or anything like that. He also said in the same -08:-39:-30 conversation, he said: You know who's best at street fighting? 02:13:03 The Israelis. Does that mean this Arab man wants to get into a -08:-39:-30 conspiracy with the Israeli Army? Of course not. -08:-39:-30 talking about his opinion. That's not enough to show intent. -08:-39:-30 Mr. Sofer showed you a large number of phone 02:13:23 -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{10}$  records and then a chart with those arrows to show contact between Marwan and Khaleel and Zubair and Mr. Griffin. And he 12 said -- this one I am quoting: "I'm not asking you to -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  speculate, but they're communicating as you would expect 14 conspirators to do." Speculating is exactly what he's asking -08:-39:-30 **15** you to do. He's asking you to speculate that because of the -08:-39:-30 **16** communications, they're conspirators. That's exactly what he's **17** asking. 18 Even if you do make that speculation, add it to 02:14:06 19 inferences, and consider it in light of the rest of the 02:14:09 **20** evidence, the government hasn't proven anything here beyond a reasonable doubt. 22 02:14:20 Incidentally, in those phone records, in Khaleel 23 Ahmed's phone, the government pointed out that Marwan's number 24 was listed under Abu Shakha. They offered no explanation for that. You remember Mr. Antoon, their translator?

- o2:14:40 1 could get him back up here so he could explain to you what an
- -08:-39:-30 f 2 incredible insult it is to call somebody Abu Shakha in the
- -08:-39:-30 3 Arabic language. Frankly, literally translated, it means
- o2:14:52 **4** something that I should not say in a courtroom, and I won't.
- 02:14:58 **5** But the government points it out with no explanation whatsoever.
- 02:15:05 6 Again, we're not getting the big picture.
- 02:15:09 7 Mr. Sofer kept saying: Why didn't El-Hindi run,
- -08:-39:-30 **8** not walk, to get away from Griffin. Well, in a sense he did.
- 9 Not for jihad, not recruiting for jihad. He walked away from
- 02:15:31 10 the concepts. Even if he didn't walk away from the person, he
- $_{02:15:36}$  11 walked away from the idea, and he made that clear early on.
- $_{ exttt{02:15:42}}$  f 12 Maybe the better question, though, is why does he have to run
- $_{-08:-39:-30}$  13 away? Just because Griffin says radical things and he talks
- $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$  f 14 about training, and he says he wants to teach people to fight,
- 02:15:54 15 why does Marwan have to run away from him if he has no intent to
- -08:-39:-30 **16** get involved? He doesn't. That doesn't mean that he's
- 02:16:07 17 committed a crime. That's not what our Constitution says.
- 18 That's not how we do things here.
- -08:-39:-30 **19** It is, however, in a way what this case really
- $_{ exttt{02:16:24}}$  20 boils down to, to be perfectly frank with you. If Marwan's
- 02:16:28 21 guilty of anything, it's being too naive to realize that he
- -08:-39:-30 **22** could get in trouble for listening to that guy, talking to that
- 02:16:39 **23** guy, even without the intent to do anything; that somebody
- -08:-39:-30 **24** talking like that could land him here, accused of supporting
- 02:16:51 **25** terrorism. He didn't realize that. That's what he's guilty

cross-examination when Mr. Boss asked him about that agreement,

That is ridiculous.

He admitted on

That is nonsense.

- those agreements he signed with the government, there was no 02:19:10 gray area about the fact that it said you must pay taxes on this 02:19:13 money. But he ignored it, and he decided not to. Common 02:19:17 sense; people get paid in cash all the time. All kinds of 02:19:29 different people get paid cash. You still have to pay taxes on -08:-39:-30 it. You take your life experience into the jury room with you. -08:-39:-30 Have you ever seen a tax form that didn't have a space that said 02:19:41 other income? A plumber gets paid cash for a job that he does, -08:-39:-30 but he never gets a 1099. Does that mean he doesn't have to pay tax on it? Of course not. A gray area? That's a lie. How about the rest of the money? How about the 02:20:03 -08:-39:-30 **12** satellite phone? He took over \$45,000 from the government to  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  f 13 pay the bill for that satellite phone, and then he pocketed -08:-39:-30 **14** \$22,000 of it. He tried to explain it to you by saying he had -08:-39:-30 15 some disagreements with the charges that were on that bill. -08:-39:-30 **16** Well, guess what, he wasn't the one in control of that phone 17 when the \$45,000 bill was run up. How the heck would he know -08:-39:-30 **18** anything about what the charges were? He doesn't. 19 pocketed that money. It makes you wonder how many other
- 21 More importantly, how many other times did he lie 02:20:49 -08:-39:-30 **22** to the FBI about what was really going on with these men? -08:-39:-30 **23** Sofer said it was critically important that Marwan didn't go
- 02:21:08 **24** shooting on April 20 because he had back pain.

reimbursements he pocketed rather than paid.

critically important, he said he had back pain. Well, that's

ludicrous. You heard on the phone call Marwan and Griffin 02:21:17 talking, and Griffin tells him it's training day, and Marwan's -08:-39:-30 response is: Well, I've got this stuff in customs that's being -08:-39:-30 held up, these hygiene products that are being held up. I've -08:-39:-30 got to go to this place in Maumee. Can you help me find the -08:-39:-30 address? That's the response. Then when Griffin talks to -08:-39:-30 Agent Coats later on that day on the telephone, he forgets to 02:21:43 turn off the recording device, and that call that you heard was -08:-39:-30 caught on the tape. And the agent says: Well, what about 02:21:50 El-Hindi? And Griffin's response is: Nope, never showed up, 02:21:56 never even called. You know from the previous recording  $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$  12 earlier that day, there was never a plan for him to show up. -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  Never intended to go shooting. But that's not what Griffin told the FBI. 15 Think of Griffin's failure to tell the FBI agents, 02:22:21 his handler, when Marwan made the comments he did that showed a -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  lack of any criminal intent or any jihadist tendency at all. -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  He doesn't tell the FBI that the guy says: Not for jihad. He -08:-39:-30 19 doesn't tell the FBI: Well, I asked him if he was recruiting  $_{\text{-08:-39:-30}}$  **20** for jihad today, and he said no. 02:22:58 The government has the burden of proof here, but I will ask you again, how many times does a person have to say no? 23 Well, guess what. The government never got to answer that -08:-39:-30 **24** question, and they never got to answer that question because

25 Darren Griffin's lies and omissions kept the truth from them

- Case: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 56 of 228. PageID #: 10730 6818 while this investigation was going on. We even asked those 02:23:19 agents to look at their own report, if you recall in their 02:23:34 testimony, to see if Griffin told them about those things. -08:-39:-30 they weren't in there. And the best answer Griffin could come -08:-39:-30 up with on the stand: I don't recall. I don't recall. -08:-39:-30 said that a lot. Whenever he got cornered on cross-examination, -08:-39:-30 he said: I don't recall. -08:-39:-30 8 What does that say about his credibility; that 02:24:06 Marwan, the target of the investigation, told him he didn't want 02:24:13 to be involved in that kind of stuff, and he doesn't tell the FBI? Well, it could tell you he had a reason to lie. -08:-39:-30 **12** didn't have a reason to lie; he had 350,000 reasons to lie. -08:-39:-30 13 Now, the government tells you that that doesn't matter because -08:-39:-30 **14** there were other investigations going on, and Griffin would have gotten paid anyway, even if this one had stopped. Well, they -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{16}$  can't tell us about those other investigations. I wonder how -08:-39:-30 **17** many lies Griffin told in those.
- Worst of all, worst of all, he took the stand right
  there, and he lied to you on direct examination in response to a
  question from Mr. Sofer about the February 16 dinner meeting at
  Marwan's. Mr. Sofer asked him, asked Mr. Griffin, what were the
  three defendants doing while you were having this conversation?
- 23 And his answer: Well, they were sitting with their attention views of the vord. 24 riveted on me. Riveted. He used the word.
- <sub>02:25:40</sub> **25** David.

6819

(Video is played.) 02:25:40 That's riveted? The government played you the 02:25:42 audio, so you have no idea what was going on in the room at the 02:25:46 But when you looked at the video, you got the bigger -08:-39:-30 picture. When you looked at his video you realized that Marwan -08:-39:-30 was serving food. He was leaning out that doorway to the 02:26:03 kitchen. He was talking to someone in the kitchen. He left -08:-39:-30 the room for a while. He didn't take the book from Griffin -08:-39:-30 that he offered him. He was even playing with his kids for -08:-39:-30 10 part of the time. Now, Mr. Sofer expressed some disgust that 02:26:22 12 Mr. El-Hindi allowed his children to be in the room during the -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  conversation. But you've got to remember, Marwan didn't know -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{14}$  this conversation was coming. They were all sitting around; -08:-39:-30 **15** Griffin says: May Allah guide my words, and just starts going. -08:-39:-30 **16** The kids were in the room already, and Marwan didn't know this -08:-39:-30 **17** was coming. 18 Now, it's true that Marwan didn't tell him to shut 02:26:52 -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{19}$  up, didn't tell him to get out of his house, and didn't do any of those things. But does that make him guilty? Does that mean he agreed? It doesn't. It doesn't. Suppose there's a 22 guest in your own house with a few other people, and your guest -08:-39:-30 **23** tells an off-color joke; maybe it's racist, maybe it's sexist, -08:-39:-30 **24** but it offends you. Maybe you say something; some people would, 25 most people probably wouldn't. Most people probably wouldn't

-08:-39:-30	1	ask that person to leave just because they offended them. It	
-08:-39:-30	2	doesn't mean that they agree with them. It doesn't mean the	эу
-08:-39:-30	3	approve of what the person said.	

This dinner meeting, by the way, was only the third 02:28:00 time that Griffin said something clearly, directly, and unambiguously to Marwan. Now, Wassim and Mohammad happened to -08:-39:-30 be in the room, too, but what Griffin said, we're going to train -08:-39:-30 for jihad, and we'll cloak it in my security company. This is -08:-39:-30 only the third time. The first two were about the Ahmeds; clear, unambiguous confirmation of his intent. We'll train for jihad and cloak it in my security company. Griffin admitted 12 Marwan only said two words through this whole part of the 13 conversation. Marwan did talk when they went back to the computer. But during this conversation he barely said two -08:-39:-30 **15** words.

these three when they were eating dinner. And you heard translations of that. But they're talking about politics.

There were two or three witnesses that said Arab men love to talk about politics, and they get passionate about it. These guys aren't talking about planning. They're talking about what's right and wrong, what can and can't be done, but they're not talking about them doing it.

Now, there was conversation without Griffin between

-08:-39:-30 **16** 

questioned by the government and he told you that Marwan told 02:29:59 2 him about an opportunity to work with some people in Egypt who -08:-39:-30 3 are trying to develop a camp. And he said that Marwan was 02:30:05 talking about a camp where it would be safe to train for jihad. 02:30:12 However, on cross-examination, he admitted that the camp was -08:-39:-30 actually being put together by some members of the Egyptian 02:30:28 police force and, not only that, they were looking for -08:-39:-30 investors. They had a business plan, and they had blueprints. 02:30:37 Even after all that came out, it was a struggle to get him to 02:30:45 admit that this was a completely legitimate endeavor. remember, Mr. Boss asked him: And do you think it's normal for 12 people setting up a terrorist training camp to have a business -08:-39:-30 13 plan and seek investors? It was a little sarcastic, but it got the point across. 15 What's more, you heard on the day that Marwan told 02:31:10 Griffin about this opportunity, you heard another recording 17 between Griffin and Mr. Amawi, and Griffin tells Amawi: Oh, -08:-39:-30 **18** yeah, I got this opportunity in Egypt. And he says: It's not -08:-39:-30 **19** for jihad. Well, I'm sure that will come up. But listen to that recording. He says that day, "Not for jihad." But then he takes the stand right here and he tells you that Marwan told 02:31:51 **22** him about this camp as a safe place to train for jihad. He's -08:-39:-30 **23** lying. And he's lying over and over again. 24 Now, look at that picture of him. Very straight, 02:32:10

That uniform just doesn't fit him anymore, and I

looks good.

mean that figuratively. He may have served very honorably. He -08:-39:-30 2 probably did. But he's become a disgrace to that uniform he -08:-39:-30 used to wear. His conduct here is reprehensible. 02:32:37 liar, and he's a criminal, and he admitted to both of those 02:32:46 things. He took a huge amount of money from the government to 02:32:53 gather information, and then he set out to create a conspiracy, 02:32:59 or at least create the illusion of a conspiracy between these 02:33:05 three men who sometimes were willing to listen, and who -08:-39:-30 sometimes said things. 02:33:15 10 But Griffin, you want to talk about credibility of 02:33:21 witnesses? What credibility does this guy have? How can you -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  believe anything that comes out of his mouth after he admits all  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  13 the times that he lied? He admits that he lied to the -08:-39:-30 **14** government when they hired him. He admits that he lied to -08:-39:-30 **15** agents. And he lied to you, too. He has no credibility. And -08:-39:-30 **16** this is the man the government relied upon making its case, and  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  17 did so without checking the truth of what he was telling them. 18 Ladies and gentlemen, that's not how we're supposed 02:34:07 -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{19}$  to do things here in this country. It's not how we used to do things. It's not how we did things before the War on Terror. The government told you that Griffin had some mistakes in his 22 behavior as a young soldier and when he returned. Mistakes in He's an admitted liar and criminal. Don't rely on behavior? **24** Griffin's words to figure out Marwan's intent. Marwan's words and evaluate the topics that Marwan himself

02:36:12

02:36:38

02:37:22

"I'll do the greater good thing and all that and smooth that

over, so we'll get them together and train, so it's no big

deal."

22 I want you to remember that when you go back there 02:37:42

-08:-39:-30  ${f 23}$  to deliberate. We will get them together to train. He didn't

-08:-39:-30 **24** say: I'll stay close, and I'll see if they can patch things up.

He didn't say, I'll tell them that I'm going to go training, and

I'm going to train people, and I'll ask them if they want to -08:-39:-30 join me. He said: We will get them together to train. -08:-39:-30 3 that bother you as much as it bothers me? This is not how -08:-39:-30 we're supposed to do things. This is not what this country 02:38:19 stands for. That's not the American way, and it shouldn't be -08:-39:-30 allowed. -08:-39:-30 You know, it's not just that Griffin had an agenda 02:38:39 like that, but our government tacitly endorsed these very direct -08:-39:-30 and concerted efforts to get these men together, to get these -08:-39:-30 men to train. In hindsight Griffin's intent is clear 02:39:02 throughout. He was trying to get people to say things. 12 was trying to get people to do things. And he was trying to, -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  as he put it, get them together to train. And he did this all -08:-39:-30 **14** to create what looked like some kind of conspiracy. 15 whether he did it to keep the investigation going, to keep the -08:-39:-30 **16** money coming in, or whether he did it out of some kind of secret -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  agent/superhero fantasy, or whether he did it out of just a 18 misguided sense of patriotism doesn't matter. The fact is, it's -08:-39:-30 19 wrong. It's wrong what he did. But you can't use what he did to infer what Marwan agreed to or what Marwan intended. 21 02:40:16 You know, if it's okay to do this to the Muslim 02:40:22 **22** community, who's next? Who knows. A man like Marwan, he came -08:-39:-30  ${f 23}$  here to go to school; he became a citizen, which is the dream of -08:-39:-30 **24** so many people. He's raising his children, and he's getting by the best way he can. Does he deserve this kind of

manipulation? Do you? Of course you don't. Neither does he. 02:40:48 But the fact is, all the manipulation in the world can't show -08:-39:-30 agreement and can't show intent. -08:-39:-30 You know, a lot of what the government talks about 02:41:18 is words, but actions speak louder than words. Ask yourselves 02:41:22 when you're deliberating what actions Marwan took to further the -08:-39:-30 conspiracy. What actions did any of these men take to further -08:-39:-30 a conspiracy, real action that the government proved was to 02:41:49 further a conspiracy? There are a lot of times people say things that are different from what they do, but what's more important, what they say or what they do? These guys didn't do -08:-39:-30 **12** anything. I'm representing Marwan, I'm talking mostly about -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  him. He never did anything to further a conspiracy. He never 14 did anything that can show you he had the intent to kill American soldiers. No soldiers were killed. No support was -08:-39:-30 **16** ever given to any terrorist training group. None of that -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  happened. Nothing was going to happen when these men were arrested. Whatever the government says about the timing of the -08:-39:-30 19 arrest, it doesn't matter because nothing was going to happen. And if nothing was going to happen, doesn't that mean it's most likely that nothing was agreed to? 22 The government told you in the first line of its 02:43:18 opening statement: This is a terrorism case. Well, it's not 24 exactly a terrorism case. I would submit to you that it's more than that. It's a case about how we do things, how we treat

people who are different than we are; and it's a case about --02:43:48 forgive me -- truth, justice, and the American way. I know 02:43:59 that sounds a little corny, maybe a little trite, but that -08:-39:-30 doesn't make it any less true. Is this the American way? 02:44:11 What's justice here? 02:44:25 It's completely, completely understandable and 02:44:28 applaudable that the FBI would decide to become preemptive in 02:44:34 the post 9/11 world, try stop things before they happen. We can 02:44:39 all understand that, and I think we all would support that. do. But in this case they relied on an informant who went way overboard, particularly when you consider the standard for a -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  criminal conviction. Griffin lied so much to those FBI agents 13 that they had no idea that they should have ranged him in. This isn't their fault. They had no idea what was really going Never told them what was really going on. He'd say, I'd give them the recordings. Well, he never reviewed the 02:45:33 17 recordings with them. Agent Radcliffe, who was soon to retire, told you sometimes he didn't listen to recordings until they'd leave. How could he know he was lying. 02:45:44 If there is to be justice in this courtroom, then 02:45:55 Darren Griffin's conduct cannot be condoned. But more 22 importantly, it cannot be twisted around to conclude that Marwan 23 El-Hindi ever had the intent to join any conspiracy or ever had -08:-39:-30 **24** the intent to help kill American soldiers because it just didn't happen.

		0027
-08:-39:-30	1	The government has the burden of proof throughout
02:46:36	2	this, and that means Mr. Sofer gets the last word. I hate
-08:-39:-30	3	that, but he gets the last word. I don't know what he's going
-08:-39:-30	4	to say. I'm sure it's going to be compelling. But whatever
-08:-39:-30	5	is said, please, please don't forget to look at the big
02:47:01	6	picture.
02:47:10	7	Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, like the Judge
02:47:13	8	told you, is the kind that I think it says you would not
-08:-39:-30	9	hesitate to rely on it in making the most important decisions in
-08:-39:-30	10	your lives. Ladies and gentlemen, not only did the government
02:47:31	11	not get there, we don't even get close to that. The only thing
02:47:40	12	standing between Darren Griffin, his conduct, and the grave
02:47:45	13	injustice of Marwan getting convicted is you folks, the people
-08:-39:-30	14	in this box, and how you feel about truth and justice and the
02:48:03	15	American way.
02:48:07	16	I want to thank you very, very much for your
02:48:10	17	attentiveness. It's been a very important matter. Marwan
-08:-39:-30	18	wanted to thank you as well. We appreciate what you're doing
-08:-39:-30	19	and your service. Thanks for your time this morning.
02:48:39	20	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, will you stand
02:48:41	21	and stretch for a moment, please? Counsel, come on up a
02:48:44	22	second.
02:50:17	23	(Whereupon the following discussion was had at the
-08:-39:-30	24	bench outside the hearing of the jury:)
-08:-39:-30	25	THE COURT: Jeff.
		<del></del>

	an 2:06 or 00710 ICC Don #: 002 Filad: 00/11/00 66 of 220 DonalD #: 10710
Ca	se: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 66 of 228. PageID #: 10740 6828
-08:-39:-30	MR. HELMICK: What time do you need to break?
-08:-39:-30 <b>2</b>	THE COURT: About quarter of. Can we get started?
-08:-39:-30	It will probably be 2:00 before we get started, in all candor.
-08:-39:-30 4	If you prefer not, we'll recess now.
-08:-39:-30 <b>5</b>	MR. HELMICK: Why don't we go ahead and get
-08:-39:-30 6	started. I'll watch the clock. Just give me a signal when we
-08:-39:-30 7	get close.
-08:-39:-30	(End of side-bar discussion.)
02:50:22	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, because we're
-08:-39:-30 10	running a bit more slowly than I thought, and that's not any
02:50:31	comment or criticism at all, just the way things are going, Mr.
02:50:36 12	Helmick will start his closing statement for Mr. Mazloum. We'll
02:50:45 13	go maybe 20, 25 minutes or so. Then we will recess as today is
02:50:50 14	the annual luncheon for the Bar Association which I'll be
02:50:54 15	attending. We probably will recess until at least 1:30. We'll
02:51:01 16	hope to start promptly then. We fully expect to complete and
-08:-39:-30 17	have the case in your hands by late afternoon.
02:51:18 18	MR. HELMICK: I need a couple minutes, Judge.
02:53:44 19	If you have any trouble hearing me, it's usually
-08:-39:-30 <b>20</b>	not a problem, but if you do, please raise your hands or say so.
-08:-39:-30 <b>21</b>	The same thing with pace or speed or anything as we get going.
02:54:00 <b>22</b>	When I give an argument, I often like to use a lot
-08:-39:-30 <b>23</b>	of slides, so you're going to know where I'm going, I hope, and
02:54:09 <b>24</b>	maybe it will make a little bit more sense to you as we go
-08:-39:-30 <b>25</b>	forward as you see bullets or slides appear on the screen. All

- -08:-39:-30 **1** right.
- Again, if I get going too fast in any way, either
- $_{ exttt{02:54:20}}$   $oldsymbol{3}$  speaking or something that you wanted to see on the screen,
- $_{ ext{02:54:24}}$  f 4 please just signal and let me know. You haven't been a bashful
- 02:54:28 **5** jury by any means; don't start now, today.
- o2:54:32 **6** First, just a quick review of the charges. As you
- 02:54:35 **7** probably remember, my client, Wassim Mazloum -- our client,
- -08:-39:-30 8 Wassim Mazloum, is charged in only Counts 1 and 2 of the
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{9}$  indictment: Count 1 charging a conspiracy; Count 2, material
- .08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{10}$  support. You know from the instructions His Honor gave you
- -08:-39:-30 11 last week that there are a number of elements that the
- 02:54:52 **12** government has to prove. Among them, of course, is that a
- -08:-39:-30 13 conspiracy was formed. You've got some detailed instruction on
- -08:-39:-30 **14** that.
- -08:-39:-30 15 Also -- this will be a large focus of the argument
- 02:55:03 16 today -- even if there was a conspiracy, that Wassim Mazloum did
- $_{-08:-39:-30}$  f 17 not join it because his purpose was not the same criminal
- -08:-39:-30 **18** purpose that was offered by Mr. Griffin.
- 02:55:16 We're not disputing the fact that he went to
- $_{08:-39:-30}$  f 20 training, that he went to the shooting range twice, or anything
- 02:55:23 **21** else. The question is purpose and intent.
- 02:55:28 The evidence will support that Wassim's intent was
- -08:-39:-30 **23** for training, for lawful purposes, understandable purposes; that
- -08:-39:-30 **24** he never attempted to pursue it for unlawful purposes. And that
- 08:-39:-30 **25** another issue that you're going to have in the case is one with

regard to communication, the fact that he is perhaps the poorest 02:55:45 English speaker that you've heard through the case because of 02:55:49

his native Arabic language. -08:-39:-30

02:55:56

-08:-39:-30

-08:-39:-30

02:56:09

02:56:15

02:56:30

Some suggestions for you as you proceed forward in your deliberations at analyzing the evidence. First, look at the broad context. Step back and look at that broader context.

The Court's instruction, after all, is to consider all the

evidence. You, of course, are the determiners of what evidence -08:-39:-30

should or should not be believed and how much weight to be

assigned to that evidence, but should consider all of it as you

go through. So don't look at isolated statements or evidence in

a vacuum; consider everything overall.

13 Both sides, I think, fairly point to a handful of -08:-39:-30 **14** evidence on one hand. That one side that shows inescapable -08:-39:-30 15 guilt, the other side points to statements or evidence that tend to show non-guilt. Don't look simply or cherry-pick at those isolated things, but look at everything together and see if it -08:-39:-30 18 makes sense to you. And when you do, you'll conclude that the -08:-39:-30 19 government has fallen short of its heavy burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt in this case. In that broader view of the evidence, keep in mind that there's evidence that Wassim wanted -08:-39:-30 **22** to train to learn defense of himself and for his family. We're 02:57:13 23 going to talk about that a little bit later with the argument.

-08:-39:-30 **24** Also, as a non-U.S. citizen, he may have been or had to return

to Lebanon at some future point.

Regardless, he wanted the option of returning there 02:57:24 because he had family there, and he might even meet someone and -08:-39:-30 consider possibly marrying and relocating. You heard testimony 02:57:32 of that effect. You heard it from him throughout the -08:-39:-30 recordings that were made by Mr. Griffin, and you heard it from 02:57:40 members of his family as well. 02:57:43 And finally there is a legitimate religious -08:-39:-30 obligation in Islam to learn to protect one's family and one's -08:-39:-30 self. -08:-39:-30 02:57:53 And finally, that military service requirement in Lebanon, which you also heard about. He talked about it on the -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  recordings that Mr. Griffin made, and you heard family talk -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  about it as -- when we put on our case in chief towards the end. Keep in mind sometimes Mr. Griffin was deliberately -08:-39:-30 **15** vague and manipulative. That was part of his job. part of what it means to go undercover. And you heard testimony -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  about that from him as well as from Agent Coats about the -08:-39:-30 **18** necessity of kind of feeling people out, testing the waters, -08:-39:-30 19 maybe not being as clear as you might want to be clear for fear of possibly scaring someone off. And that's a product of the DEA and drug investigations. So there was some vagueness in -08:-39:-30 **22** the proposals that Mr. Griffin made. 02:58:47 23 Also a big issue in the case, and the government -08:-39:-30  ${f 24}$  has highlighted this, secrecy versus discretion. And what do I mean by that? The government has repeatedly pointed to

- 1 examples which they believe show that there was this great need
  2 for secrecy. We have to hide what we're doing because it's
- o2:59:01 **2** for secrecy. We have to hide what we're doing because it's
- $_{02:59:05}$   $oldsymbol{3}$  illegal, because we're training the jihadists to commit a
- -08:-39:-30 4 terrorist act. But I suggest to you a fair interpretation of
- o2:59:13 **5** the evidence, particularly with regard to Wassim, is that it was
- o2:59:16 6 actually just good common sense discretion under the
- -08:-39:-30 7 circumstances at that time. And we'll get into that in a
- 02:59:23 **8** little bit.
- 02:59:25 9 Mr. Griffin was running the show. That's, I think,
- $_{ exttt{02:59:28}}$   $oldsymbol{10}$  pretty clear and evident from the evidence in terms of bringing
- -08:-39:-30 11 people together and setting an agenda. It's there. And he
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  held himself out -- legitimately, by the way -- as an elite and
- 02:59:42 13 uniquely qualified person to train based upon the extensive
- -08:-39:-30 **14** experience that he received.
- 02:59:47 15 He also, for men who might not have agreed with the
- .08:-39:-30 **16** military political view of U.S. soldiers or even the polar view
- $_{-08:-39:-30}$  17 in this country, he held himself out as somebody apologizing for
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  things that the U.S. military did abroad, including killing
- 03:00:08 19 innocent civilians abroad. All of that made him appealing to
- -08:-39:-30 f 20 them in terms of what he had to say. So for Wassim, Mr.
- -08:-39:-30 **21** Griffin had some understanding of the Arabic culture. For
- -08:-39:-30 **22** Wassim, he had Special Forces training; he had unique -- not
- -08:-39:-30 **23** unique, but very unusual military training. You might remember
- $_{
  m 03:00:30}$   ${f 24}$  Mr. Griffin said at one point he had millions and millions of
- 03:00:33 **25** dollars' worth and over 14 years in the military. He, frankly,

had a pretty distinguished career in terms of the training he -08:-39:-30 **2** received. -08:-39:-30 Wassim, though, you remember, had no training in -08:-39:-30 defense of self or family. You remember that from his mother's -08:-39:-30 testimony back in Lebanon, and you also remember that from his 03:00:50 inexperience in handling a handgun at the shooting range. 03:00:53 Just as a preview of where we're going today, I 03:00:57 don't expect to be terribly long. We're going to review a -08:-39:-30 timeline of Wassim's limited contacts with Darren Griffin. -08:-39:-30 From the fall of 2004 to February, 2006, there's only a total of eight contacts between Mr. Griffin and Wassim. We're going to -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  review each one in some detail, and we'll start out a little -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  front-heavy in terms of detail, then we'll move a little quicker -08:-39:-30 **14** as we go through those other dates through the end of those -08:-39:-30 **15** eight dates. And after reviewing each date, you'll see 16 clearly, we believe, that Wassim's purposes were not those of -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  Mr. Griffin or anyone else. They were both lawful and understandable under the circumstances. 19 So if we want to just quickly review a timeline, I 03:01:50 know it is small, but relax, because we're actually going to work through this step-by-step as we go through the date. We -08:-39:-30 **22** also have a hard copy you can review later. But you'll notice -08:-39:-30 **23** here on 10-21 is the first mention or indication of him, Mr. -08:-39:-30 **24** Griffin, asking, well, maybe Wassim would like to come and join

The first date that Wassim is

us. He's asking Mohammad Amawi.

- o3:02:17 **1** actually involved in the case, though, is on November 17 of '04.
- $oldsymbol{2}$  There isn't going to be any dispute about that. There seems to
- 03:02:27 **3** be complete agreement about that. As we move through, his next
- -08:-39:-30 f 4 real contact, though, isn't until about three months later,
- -08:-39:-30 **5** until February 16. That's the dinner meeting or what we
- -08:-39:-30 6 characterize as the proposal meeting that was at Mr. El-Hindi's
- -08:-39:-30 **house**.
- O3:02:45 After that there's a meeting on 4/13. This is the
- 08:-39:-30 **9** second time of a meeting that Wassim thinks he's going to be
- $_{ ext{\tiny 08:-39:-30}}$  f 10 going training, just going to the shooting range. He thinks
- 08:-39:-30 **11** it's true on February 16; he thinks it's true again on April 13,
- -08:-39:-30 12 that they're going to have a meeting. He finally, on April 20,
- $_{-08:-39:-30}$  13 goes to the shooting range for the first time. And then on
- -08:-39:-30  ${f 14}$  April 29, nine days later, goes for the second and last time to
- -08:-39:-30 **15** the shooting range.
- 03:03:16 There's a telephone call between Mr. Griffin and
- 03:03:22 17 Mazloum on May 2. Again, we're going to go over all these and
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  hit each date in some detail; some more than others. Then
- -08:-39:-30 19 there's a large, approximately five-month gap until September 29
- 03:03:36 **20** where Mr. Griffin comes by unannounced, uninvited, at Mr.
- -08:-39:-30 21 Mazloum's place of work, the car lot. And then finally after
- -08:-39:-30 **22** that, about four months later, the final time on January 30 of
- -08:-39:-30  ${f 23}$  '06, same thing, at the car lot, unannounced, uninvited in a
- 03:04:00 **24** personal context for Wassim. We ask that you think or remember
- 03:04:03 **25** that he's working to support himself, his family; that is, his

mother and his two younger sisters and younger brother, and 03:04:08 getting his education. By this time he's attending the -08:-39:-30 University of Toledo during this time frame, the College of 03:04:16 Engineering, as a computer science major. And he's only 03:04:19 irritated or expresses anger or outrage in this case when he 03:04:23 thinks he's going to the shooting range to learn how to shoot a -08:-39:-30 gun, and he's not, and then also Muslim training under the -08:-39:-30 Hadith. That's in your glossary. Basically that requirement 03:04:35 is to learn to defend one's self and one's family as a good 03:04:40 **10** Muslim. Griffin uses this duty -- theme of a duty to train -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  in meeting with the defendants; in particular, Wassim. It's a 13 legitimate religious duty to train for self and for family, not -08:-39:-30 **14** for a holy war as has been suggested here. On cross-examination by David Doughten, on April 24 Mr. Griffin was 16 asked this: -08:-39:-30 **17** Question: You were trying to prepare? Let me ask -08:-39:-30 18 you another question. One of the things that you were -08:-39:-30 **19** convincing them, that being the three defendants, is that they had a duty to train, correct? Mr. Griffin: They had a duty. 03:05:23 Mr. Doughten then asked: A duty to train, to learn 03:05:26 how to use weapons in self-defense; that's one of the things you **24** were presenting that you could provide to them?

03:05:35

Mr. Griffin says: Yeah, as far as weapons for

С	ase: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 74 of 228. PageID #: 10748 6836
03:05:38	training.
-08:-39:-30	Mr. Doughten then asked him: And that was
03:05:41	consistent with the Islamic commandment that you be prepared,
-08:-39:-30	that you had a duty to prepare yourself for self-defense?
-08:-39:-30	Mr. Griffin said: Possibly, the way I interpreted
-08:-39:-30	it.
-08:-39:-30	Then the next question: And that was discussed
-08:-39:-30	this evening, wasn't it?
03:05:56	Mr. Griffin: I believe so.
03:05:59	Again, this is referring to the outset of his
03:06:03 11	proposal in the case.
03:06:04 12	Also remember, again, he's not a citizen. There is
-08:-39:-30 13	no certainty for Wassim of continued residency, and there is
03:06:11 14	that whole need or need and desire to train, understandably, for
03:06:18 15	Lebanon. Not to kill U.S. soldiers. There's a lot of big
03:06:22 16	talk on some of these recordings with Arab men and their
03:06:26 17	practical obsession with politics. Mikhail Al-Mozrouei
-08:-39:-30 18	testified; he's the Muslim convert that testified: Oh, yes,
-08:-39:-30 19	there's a great deal of time spent doing that, great deal of
-08:-39:-30 <b>20</b>	talk. Again, from Darren Griffin when he was asked as well
03:06:40 <b>21</b>	about whether that was a big part of the Muslim or Arabic
03:06:44 <b>22</b>	culture, he acknowledged it was. And you combine that with
03:06:47 23	machismo, just young men I'm sure none of you know what I'm
-08:-39:-30 <b>24</b>	talking about when I say that but if you combine that with
03:06:56 <b>25</b>	machismo, then you get this on cross from Mr. Doughten of Mr.

	ase: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 75 of 228. PageID #: 1	0 <del>749</del> 6837
03:07:00	Griffin:	
-08:-39:-30	Question: Did you have discussions about	t politics
-08:-39:-30	and such things with them during this time?	
03:07:07	Mr. Griffin: Yes.	
-08:-39:-30	Mr. Doughten: Actually having discussion	s with
03:07:11	Arabic men about politics is pretty normal, I guess, for	lack of
03:07:14	a better term?	
-08:-39:-30	Mr. Griffin said: Yes.	
03:07:17	I don't know, this is my word that is, M	r.
-08:-39:-30	Doughten's word you can use your own word, but in	some ways
03:07:24	sometimes they're obsessed with politics; wouldn't that	be fair
-08:-39:-30	to say?	
-08:-39:-30	Mr. Griffin says: Yes, I would say.	
-08:-39:-30	And remember, he was invited to choose I	nis own
-08:-39:-30	word, and he didn't. He apparently agreed with Mr. Do	oughten's
-08:-39:-30	word, even though he was given the option of selecting	his own.
-08:-39:-30	Let's talk briefly about secrecy versus disc	retion
-08:-39:-30	before our break. I addressed this a short time ago al	out the
-08:-39:-30	government's argument that they were acting in secred	y to avoid
-08:-39:-30	criminal conduct versus a concept of discretion. I just	ask
-08:-39:-30	you to keep an open mind in that regard, to try and	
03:08:05	differentiate between what is discretion and what is sec	crecy.
03:08:09	Think back to late 2004, just in your own	mind and
-08:-39:-30	your own calendar and your own dates and the assump	tions that
03:08:15 <b>2</b>	were made. Now imagine being Muslim with a beard.	It's just

- o3:08:19 1 a little more than three years past the attacks of September 11.
- -08:-39:-30 **2** And we've all had experiences where we've been in an airport or
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{3}$  some other place; we see someone who appears to be of Middle
- -08:-39:-30 **4** Eastern origin. And they're there, perhaps even with a beard,
- -08:-39:-30 **5** and they're either talking to someone in Arabic or they're
- -08:-39:-30 **6** talking on a cell phone in Arabic, or they're saying prayers.
- -08:-39:-30 And it made me uneasy. It might have made you uneasy at that
- -08:-39:-30 🛮 8 time. So that's the climate that we live in, particularly as
- -08:-39:-30 **9** you back date closer and closer to September 11.
- o3:08:55 **10** For Wassim, he's not hiding any secret intent.
- -08:-39:-30 **11** He's afraid that people will misunderstand a lawful purpose for
- 03:09:05 **12** an unlawful.
- Now, if we go through the dates, please keep in
- 03:09:13 **14** mind some of the things we just talked about when you're
- 03:09:17 **15** reviewing and going over the evidence. Again, the first
- -08:-39:-30 **16** contact with Wassim and Mr. Griffin is at the beginning here on
- 03:09:23 **17** November 17 of '04. Right in here.
- 03:09:32 18 MR. HELMICK: Judge, would now be a good time to
- -08:-39:-30 **19** break?
- -08:-39:-30 **20** THE COURT: Good.
- 03:09:38 **21** MR. HELMICK: Thank you.
- 03:09:38 **22** (Lunch recess taken.)
- 03:09:38 **23** (The jury is not present.)
- -08:-39:-30 **24** MR. SOFER: Your Honor, we have identified a
- 05:01:08 **25** potential issue on the verdict sheet. I just wanted to bring

6839 it to your attention. Amy's making copies of it now, but we 05:01:12 believe as a result of the Apprendi case there actually has to -08:-39:-30 3 be a special verdict form because if the jury were to find the -08:-39:-30 defendant intended to maim people overseas rather than kill 05:01:22 people oversees, it changes the penalties from a statutory 05:01:29 standpoint. So we're going to get copies. We'll get copies -08:-39:-30 to defense counsel of the relatively minor change, but I thought -08:-39:-30 we could bring it up now. -08:-39:-30 THE COURT: Problems from the defense side? -08:-39:-30 MR. BOSS: No problem. 05:01:46 MR. DOUGHTEN: Unfortunately, I believe the 05:01:48 government is correct; there does need to be a special verdict. 13 MR. BRYAN: That's correct. 05:01:56 (Jury enters the courtroom.) 05:02:38 15 THE COURT: Mr. Helmick, you may resume. If you 05:02:44 want to back up a tad. 17 MR. HELMICK: Maybe just one slide. 05:02:50 -08:-39:-30 **18** everybody get settled. 19 I trust everyone's well fed and, hopefully, rested 05:03:04 **20** Hopefully there will be no attack of the a little bit. afternoon sleepies, at least as long as I'm up here. -08:-39:-30 **22** We left on November 17, 2004. That was the first -08:-39:-30 **23** of the dates we discussed on the timeline in terms of contact 05:03:23 **24** between Mr. Griffin and Wassim. This is actually the slide we -08:-39:-30 **25** left off on. Again, it was the first time they were together.

This first meeting is important because it forms the framework 05:03:31 for viewing Wassim's actions throughout the rest of the case all 05:03:34 the way through the end of January or into February of 2006. -08:-39:-30

05:03:42

-08:-39:-30

05:03:54

-08:-39:-30

-08:-39:-30

05:04:07

18

05:04:43

It also significantly upfront states its purpose for interest in training as well, and that proof of purpose is consistent from this point forward as the evidence continues and the relevant dates continue. We just have a disagreement with the government about exactly what that evidence means or what that convinces by way of evidence. That's really our difference. We agree he said the things the government says he said. So we don't have a dispute with translation issues here -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  that you're going to hear about -- transcription issues or what 13 you hear in the recordings versus what we think is there. And -08:-39:-30 **14** we also agree with what he did in terms of basic acts; that is,  $_{-08:-39:-30}$   ${f 15}$  attending these meetings and going to the shooting range twice, and even buying a paintball gun on May 2, 2005. That's not -08:-39:-30 17 where the difference lies.

Now, the government argued that the phone conversation just days before November 17, that that defined the tone and purpose of the meeting on November 17. And if you remember, that was a conversation on the telephone that was 05:04:59 **22** recorded by Mr. Griffin that was between Mohammad Amawi and -08:-39:-30 23 Wassim Mazloum. On that conversation Mohammad Amawi is 05:05:10 **24** inviting him over to meet Darren Griffin. The difference that we have with the government is about the importance or meaning

of that telephone call in which Mr. Amawi said words to the 05:05:17 2 effect of: Well, we're going to talk about that thing that we -08:-39:-30 discussed. And the government seemed to think that there was 05:05:25 somehow something sinister about that reference. But we argue -08:-39:-30 that, in fact, that that's not the reasonable inference; that's 05:05:34 not evidence of what the government would have you believe, and -08:-39:-30 that there's better evidence. And that evidence is from -08:-39:-30 November 17 itself when they all get together. And in fact, 05:05:49 it's a statement by Mr. Amawi at the start of the meeting on November 17, with only Mr. Griffin, Wassim, and Mr. Amawi, from which that comes forth. -08:-39:-30 **12** 

This is not an issue of secrecy. Again, this is an issue of discretion. At the outset you might remember that what Mr. Amawi says is this. If you could just take a look at this together. I'm just going to give you a moment to read it over, then I'm going to break down the statement.

05:06:43

-08:-39:-30 **21** 

Okay. And the import of this statement is again, breaking it down, Mr. Amawi says first, basically: Uh, I mean, -08:-39:-30 19 he was asking about, you know, security and stuff and these -08:-39:-30 **20** things.

And by the way, unmistakably, if you want to go -08:-39:-30 **22** back and listen to it, here is Wassim Mazloum. He has just met -08:-39:-30 **23** Mr. Griffin. They have that banter back and forth about I've -08:-39:-30 **24** seen him at the Mosque, although they haven't been formally introduced and talk, Wassim and Mr. Griffin, until this

6842 particular date. -08:-39:-30 He then says none of the Muslims actually does have -08:-39:-30 any problem learning how to actually learn how to use the -08:-39:-30 weapons and stuff, guns and automatic weapons an all that. 05:07:25 But, uh, just regarding the, uh -- you know, the safety and 05:07:32 stuff because of all the craziness. -08:-39:-30 Because of all the craziness. An unmistakable 05:07:39 reference to fear, or otherwise, or just paranoia that Muslims 05:07:43 felt around the world after 9/11, and particularly some people 05:07:49 in America, in terms of all the craziness. Those fears -again, real or imagined -- that they had is what he's referring  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  f 12 to when he says that in a post-9/11 world. So ask yourself -08:-39:-30 13 this: Which is the more reliable evidence as to Wassim's intent -08:-39:-30 14 and purpose at the outset of 11/17? Is it the phone call a few  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  15 days before where Mr. Amawi makes a general reference to the Mohammad Amawi matter we discussed, or is it the purpose that's stated clearly by Mohammad Amawi introducing Wassim Mazloum to Mr. Griffin? And we suggest to you clearly that it's the 19 latter. 05:08:31 Mr. Griffin does nothing during the course of this 05:08:34 meeting on November 17 to dispel this notion to Wassim, that is, -08:-39:-30 **22** Mr. Amawi states the purpose. There's no correction. There's -08:-39:-30  ${f 23}$  no, well, yeah, that, and violent jihad. There's nothing like

on to tell Wassim and Mr. Amawi about training and duty in this

-08:-39:-30 **24** that that's said during that time. In fact, Mr. Griffin goes

	ase: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 81 of 228. PageID #: 10755 6843
-08:-39:-30	exchange. I'll draw your attention in particular to the second
05:09:06	entry or line by Mr. Griffin: You know so, and, you know, it
05:09:09	says in the Qur'an that we must prepare anyway.
-08:-39:-30	Then Mr. Amawi gives a quotation presumably from
-08:-39:-30	the Qur'an: We must, and so forth.
-08:-39:-30	Wassim then says: Yeah, you know the situation at
-08:-39:-30	the bottom. The situation is very sensitive.
05:09:27	Now, I want to play a clip from around this time on
05:09:31	November 17, 2004.
05:09:34	You already know to start reaching for your
-08:-39:-30 11	headsets. I want you to listen for clear examples of two
05:09:40 12	issues. The first, Griffin being one of the elite. The next,
-08:-39:-30 13	this whole issue of secrecy versus discretion, which is it? Is
-08:-39:-30 14	it trying to hide illegal activity, or is it just using good
-08:-39:-30 15	sense with an understandable concern of what might happen or
-08:-39:-30 <b>16</b>	what might be said?
-08:-39:-30 17	So this is 1D-10. It's from November 17, 2004.
-08:-39:-30 18	If you'll just give me a moment, I'm going to switch the input.
05:10:20 19	This is 1D-10, November 17, 2004.
05:10:24 <b>20</b>	(Audio is played.)
05:11:05 <b>21</b>	MR. HELMICK: Just pausing it right there for a
-08:-39:-30 <b>22</b>	moment, if I may. This is where Mr. Griffin is kind of
05:11:12 23	establishing he's bona fide. He's indicated this is my
-08:-39:-30 <b>24</b>	training. You can see significantly that Wassim is impressed
i.	

He's one percent of the one percent.

The elite.

-08:-39:-30 **25** by this.

Wassim said, as you'll recall from the excerpt you

Here you go, the terrorists are coming, in terms of

24

just heard:

05:13:52

how they are going to be viewed. That statement is consistent -08:-39:-30 2 with fear in terms of discretion, not fear of discovery. Why 05:14:06 not say for someone who struggles with English, for example, 05:14:12 4 they'll find out what we're really doing; they'll suspect us, -08:-39:-30 5 what we're really doing. He doesn't say that. He says: Here -08:-39:-30 you go, they're terrorists because they are Muslim men who are 05:14:24 training in weapons, which is perfectly lawful otherwise. But 05:14:29 in a post-9/11 world, that's not the case. Wouldn't he say -08:-39:-30 that again, they'll find out what we're up to, what our real -08:-39:-30 purpose is? He doesn't say that. The statement clearly implies that people may get the wrong idea; "the wrong idea" being that this is training by terrorists. -08:-39:-30 13 Finally, with regard to this date, Mr. Griffin acknowledges that his purpose in training was not clear. you needn't rely solely on the statements by Wassim or Mr. Amawi -08:-39:-30 **16** from November 17. On cross-examination on April 24 of this -08:-39:-30 **17** year with Mr. Doughten, here was the exchange: 18 Question: Sure, you didn't want to blow your cover 05:15:12 is what it comes down to? -08:-39:-30 **20** Mr. Griffin: No, it's not. As far as blowing cover, I wanted them to know what I was about and what I was 05:15:24 **22** doing. Obviously it didn't come out this way on this night. 05:15:27 23 Mr. Doughten then asked: I guess what you're -08:-39:-30 **24** saying in retrospect, looking back at it, you could have been

more clear?

Mazloum's eagerness to train: He was perhaps, in some ways, the

- most eager. What I'd suggest to you, it's now a full three -08:-39:-30 months since the meeting of November 17, 2004 to the next 05:17:13 meeting on February 16, 2005, which means in terms of training 05:17:17 4 for jihad and going to do something violent overseas, it's -08:-39:-30 5 simply not the case. There's been a big gap of about three -08:-39:-30 months here before his next contact with Mr. Griffin. 05:17:31 his purpose from November 17 heading into this. When November -08:-39:-30 17 ends, he thinks they'll be going training, but that it would 05:17:39 be lawfully. We're going back to the timeline. Again, I know -08:-39:-30 it's small. But we're here on February 16, and we were just here on November 17 before. So again, we're about three months **12** past. 05:18:01 13 On February 16, this is the -- much has been made 05:18:03
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{14}$  of this dinner meeting at Marwan El-Hindi's house. We characterize it as a proposal dinner by Mr. Griffin. Griffin comes loaded. He's wired with audio, wired for video. 05:18:16 He's got some training materials, some of which are antiquated and are set out on the table, you might remember from the video, -08:-39:-30 **19** his testimony in this case. Trying to tighten down the purpose. Wassim, however, left the November 17 meeting three months before thinking they'd just got training. Whatever that -08:-39:-30 **22** includes, shooting weapons lawfully. But this was Mr.

Griffin's plan on this particular date.

	Case: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 86 of 228. PageID #: 10760
	6848
05:18:54	1 together at Mr. El-Hindi's house, or was this something the FBI
-08:-39:-30	2 told you to try to do?
05:19:00	3 And Mr. Griffin's answer was: I believe it was me
-08:-39:-30	4 that suggested it. It might have been guidance from the FBI.
-08:-39:-30	5 You'd have to ask them.
05:19:08	6 So setting the stage for what occurs during this
-08:-39:-30	7 meeting.
05:19:13	8 Wassim is picked up by Mr. Griffin after a long day
05:19:16	<b>9</b> at work. That's his ride, to go wherever they're going, and
-08:-39:-30	<b>0</b> he's a bit of a captive audience in the sense he's meeting Mr.
-08:-39:-30	1 Griffin for the second time after November 17, and Mr. Griffin's
-08:-39:-30	<b>2</b> driving.
05:19:28	3 Wassim expressed surprise at the fact there was no
-08:-39:-30	4 training that was going to go on. And now at this point I'd
-08:-39:-30	<b>5</b> like to play you a short portion of that. This is 1D-28 from
-08:-39:-30	6 that date, February 16, 2005.
05:19:53	<b>7</b> (Audio is played.)
05:20:44	8 MR. HELMICK: So again, the statement is: We're
-08:-39:-30	9 not going to train today? Because that was the discussion in
05:20:50 2	<b>0</b> anticipation after the last meeting on November 17. This is
-08:-39:-30 <b>2</b>	1 three months later on the next contact, and he thinks they're
-08:-39:-30 <b>2</b>	<b>2</b> just going to go train either in a field or the shooting range.
-08:-39:-30 <b>2</b>	3 That's what he thinks at that point.
05:21:16 2	4 (Audio is played.)
-08:-39:-30 <b>2</b>	MR. HELMICK: So as you can see from that Page 6848 to 6848 of 6990  86 of 228 sheet

recording, Wassim thinks they're going to go train and is -08:-39:-30 2 surprised to find out they're not. And he doesn't really know 05:21:28 **3** what the purpose is in going to the other brother's house, but -08:-39:-30 4 what seems to not be in dispute is that he didn't know -08:-39:-30 Mr. El-Hindi in this case before he shows up at his house. So 05:21:40 that sets the stage, rather, for Wassim showing up at a -08:-39:-30 stranger's home, Mr. El-Hindi, for dinner and Mr. Griffin's 05:21:48 proposal. He has no idea this was any type of planned proposal -08:-39:-30 dinner when he gets there. -08:-39:-30 10 Now, Mr. Griffin makes a lot of radical statements, 05:21:59 and during a great deal of that -- listen to 2/16 again if you 12 have any question about that or doubts -- Wassim is silent 13 during a great deal of that. Mr. Griffin entered this meeting, -08:-39:-30 **14** he said, to kind of feel out these men; to find out what they -08:-39:-30 **15** were thinking, what they wanted to do, who they were. And by -08:-39:-30 **16** the way, the reciprocal is true; they were trying to feel out 17 Mr. Griffin as well. Wassim is very deferential to Mr. Griffin, even though we know that he had philosophical differences with Mr. Griffin and others about what was and was not proper and permissible, including the 9/11 acts, which Wassim condemned. He was deferential to Griffin. Why? Mr. -08:-39:-30 **22** Griffin had that specialized knowledge; he was older, and Wassim -08:-39:-30  ${f 23}$  was from a culture where you respect your elders. Wassim was a -08:-39:-30 **24** relatively young man of 23 or 24. Mr. Griffin was

25 significantly older at that time than him. He was also an

experienced veteran. He was a new convert to Islam, so anyone 05:23:02 2 who might come into your church or your community, you're going -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{3}$  to treat them with a certain amount of deference and patience, -08:-39:-30 even if you don't agree with everything that comes out of their -08:-39:-30 mouth. And Wassim succumbed unquestionably on this date to 05:23:15 some of the tenor of the talk and the machismo that we talked -08:-39:-30 about before as a contextual element or design running through -08:-39:-30 8 the case. -08:-39:-30

So here are some provacative comments that were -08:-39:-30 made, the government made reference to previously. And we start here with Mr. Griffin in terms of talking about it. 12 he starts out by asking Wassim during this part of the  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  13 recording -- this is not the very beginning, but after Mr. Griffin's warmed them up a bit -- asking him: Is there some specific area? And you were probably troubled, perhaps understandably, by that reference: Mainly Iraq and the al-Sham 17 countries, is what he says.

-08:-39:-30 18

What I'd ask you to do is step back and look for a broader context here. He's in someone else's home. deferential to Mr. Griffin. He comes back. He's hearing from Mr. Griffin some strong talk about what he has done previously 22 in the Army as a Special Forces officer, including killing some -08:-39:-30  ${f 23}$  fellow Muslims. And he kind of confesses his sins -- falsely, -08:-39:-30 **24** of course -- but he kind of makes that confession, or that expression. So when he's asked by his pupil, by Wassim, where

	0001
05:24:40	do you want to go, the response is interesting. All he had to
-08:-39:-30	say was Iraq. One simple word for someone who is not extremely
-08:-39:-30	articulate in English. He does. He states: In the, uh,
05:24:54	perhaps starting toward the al-Sham countries. Then says:
05:24:59	Mainly Iraq and the al-Sham countries.
-08:-39:-30 <b>6</b>	Mr. Griffin says: The al-Sham countries?
05:25:05	Wassim says this is significant. After 11/17,
-08:-39:-30	before you, you never you never know, somewhere over there.
-08:-39:-30	I suggest to you we'll talk about more of this later. If you
-08:-39:-30 10	were from Lebanon and lived through what he lived through for
-08:-39:-30 11	the first 19 years of his life remember, he's only been in
-08:-39:-30 12	the U.S. four or five years at that point this would make a
-08:-39:-30 13	lot of sense to you. Now, what's significant about the al-Sham
05:25:30 14	countries? This is from your glossary definition on the second
-08:-39:-30 15	page. You don't need to look it up or anything, you've got
-08:-39:-30 16	them, but there is the definition of the al-Sham countries.
-08:-39:-30 17	You can take a quick look if you don't remember, but notice
05:25:43 18	first what's missing: Iraq. And so is Afghanistan, by the
05:25:49 19	way. But if we take a look at this in terms of the countries
-08:-39:-30 <b>20</b>	that are listed, and we just go to a map of the region or the
05:25:58 <b>21</b>	area and take a look. Look on the right. You can see the
05:26:03 <b>22</b>	al-Sham countries are listed here, lifted from the definition on
-08:-39:-30 <b>23</b>	the previous page, just summarized for you. Here's Lebanon
-08:-39:-30 <b>24</b>	right here you might remember from testimony before at the
-08:-39:-30 <b>25</b>	far east end of the Mediterranean is right there. If we take a

Go ahead and move on.

More provocative comments that he makes during this
period of time. In this one, Wassim asks him -- I believe this
list during the drive in the car. Wassim asks: Well, what about
getting through, you getting through to Americans? What about
dressed like them? You'll notice he says down there towards

the bottom. This may also give you serious concern and pause -08:-39:-30 2 about what he's saying. But again, remember the setting. 05:28:05 3 What he doesn't say is: Is there any way you can get, you know, -08:-39:-30 you can get... He doesn't say I. He doesn't say we. 05:28:16 almost hypothetical, like "one." Can you, can one get through? -08:-39:-30 You might say: Why does he want to know that? -08:-39:-30 Well, a couple of things to think about in terms of 05:28:29 the context of this. The first is he's thinking about serving -08:-39:-30 military duty for the Lebanese Army wearing a uniform, which -08:-39:-30 means asking an experienced soldier, American or otherwise, who's in the Middle East, what about somebody infiltrating you -08:-39:-30 **12** if you're wearing a uniform? Is that doable? Is that possible? It would be on Wassim's mind at the time he does this. 14 The other thing is this: Think about times in your 05:28:56 life where you've met somebody, a funeral director, a deputy coroner, or even some physicians, and you think of those 05:29:03 17 questions that you'd like to ask and, man, they may be -08:-39:-30 18 distasteful. They may be horrible. But it occurs to you; you -08:-39:-30 19 think about them. You think: I can't ask that in polite company. But of this guy, this radical man, Darren Griffin, I can ask even unseemly, even disturbing questions like this. -08:-39:-30 **22** But what he doesn't say is that it's his intent to do it. -08:-39:-30 **23** doesn't say "we," he doesn't say "I". He says "you". You -08:-39:-30 **24** can -- one can get through? It's almost a hypothetical. And -08:-39:-30 **25** then again asks about those type of trips when he goes through

more give-and-take between the parties throughout this time.

There are other discussions that go on. There's

-08:-39:-30 **24** 

Case: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 93 of 228. PageID #: 10767 6855 Wassim asks Mr. Griffin, the one percent of the one 05:31:13 percenters, about using his business to get into Iraq. 05:31:16 you look back fairly on 2/16 and keep an open mind and broad -08:-39:-30 perspective and remember November 17, Wassim's purpose here is a 05:31:26 feeling-out purpose. And there is no agreement or express 05:31:31 agreement on purpose if you view the evidence in its entirety -08:-39:-30 and in the proper context. There's testing of boundaries but -08:-39:-30 no agreement. -08:-39:-30 We understand and concede that the government had -08:-39:-30 legitimate concern when they might have heard some of these statements. Again, if I'm FBI Agent Shannon Coats, and I hear -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  some of these statements or comments, I'm going to be 13 suspicious; I'm going to be concerned. But the overall context is essential, which is what we're going to talk about here today. And the acts of Wassim during and following February 16 establish no clear criminal intent. On February 16, we're back here again. Again there's a reference to Lebanon. Wassim says: Do you know -08:-39:-30 **19** anything about the Lebanese Army? 05:32:22 Mr. Griffin says: I know. -08:-39:-30 **21** Wassim says: Because I'm thinking about going back 22 and, uh, there they oblige us to, uh, serve for six months. 23 Mr. El-Hindi has a small interjection: Oh, for --05:32:35

Mr. Griffin says: Yes, uh-huh. And, you know,

doesn't seem to mean much.

- 1 get a lot of techniques. That would help. Arguably that would help him.
- So in summary on February 16, was Mr. Griffin successful in getting an agreement? No, certainly not from
- -08:-39:-30 **5** Wassim.
- There's vagueness, which is part of the purpose as
- $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$  7 a result of his prior work as a DEA agent. And there was
- o5:33:02 **8** testimony of that from both him and from Special Agent Coats.
- -08:-39:-30 **9** He's established himself as one percent of the one percent
- 05:33:10 **10** during that time. And Mr. Griffin, he was feeling people out,
- -08:-39:-30 11 by his own admission, his own acknowledgment from the stand.
- $_{05:33:18}$   $\,$   $\,$   $\,$   $\,$  In his estimation, even if the government was expecting a cell,
- -08:-39:-30 13 that wasn't what he was doing.
- -08:-39:-30 **14** They're also feeling him out. How crazy is he?
- -08:-39:-30  ${f 15}$  How radical is he? Nothing solidified. There are
- $_{ exttt{05:}33:33}$   $oldsymbol{16}$  hypotheticals and a feeling-out process. The purpose and
- 05:33:36 17 objective were not formed or clear. There was no oath; there
- 05:33:39 18 was no creed; there were no dues or regular meetings scheduled
- $_{-08:-39:-30}$  f 19 or anything else as a result of this meeting. It just isn't.
- $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$  **20** There again, Wassim thought he was going to be going training to
- -08:-39:-30 **21** the shooting range on this day. And however distasteful you
- -08:-39:-30 **22** might find some of the comments and exchanges, it's still the
- 05:33:59 **23** feeling-out process.
- Now, Mr. Sofer asked why not walk or run away from
- -08:-39:-30 **25** this talk, this talk from Darren Griffin, this crazy kind of

- 1 talk. Remember, the dinner wasn't planned, not by Wassim, not by his participation. He didn't drive; he was picked up by Mr.
- $_{-08:-39:-30}$  **3** Griffin and brought there. He was a guest at a stranger's home
- $_{ ext{05:}34:21}$  f 4 during the time that he was there. There was great deference
- 5 to Mr. Griffin, the one percent of the one percenter, and he was
- -08:-39:-30 **6** a convert who you're going to give greater leeway. And Griffin
- 7 remembered, not insignificantly, these men and how they might
- 8 have felt, was confessing his sins. Falsely, but,
- -08:-39:-30 9 nevertheless, making a statement that at the time appeared
- -08:-39:-30 10 sincere. They were also politically sympathetic to some of his
- 05:34:47 11 viewpoints, even if they didn't agree with everything he said or
- 05:34:50 **12** wanted to do.
- 05:34:53 Let me just go through this one more time for us.
- 05:34:57 **14** I missed one point at the bottom.
- -08:-39:-30 **15** The problem with repeating slides.
- 05:35:11 **16** It's lawful is the lesson. You are going to read
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  jury instructions. We'll visit that briefly at the end of the
- 05:35:19 **18** presentation.
- By the way, we're at least halfway through, if
- 05:35:22 **20** that's any solace to anybody.
- -08:-39:-30 **21** Back to our diagram again and our time chart.
- -08:-39:-30 **22** Because the next date -- we were at 2/16 here. The next date is
- 05:35:33 23 not until April 13. So again, we're about two months farther
- -08:-39:-30 24 out before there's any direct contact between Darren Griffin and
- 05:35:40 **25** Wassim.

On April 13, again, the government says Wassim is -08:-39:-30 Well, it's been a couple months, and they still haven't -08:-39:-30 gone to the shooting range from the initial meeting on November -08:-39:-30 It's been two months since the dinner proposal 17 of '04. -08:-39:-30 **5** meeting. Again, Wassim thinks he's going training on April 13. -08:-39:-30 And he's really not happy about not going to the shooting range. -08:-39:-30 That's his goal for lawful purposes and for receiving -08:-39:-30 8 self-defense. -08:-39:-30 Now, if you would, we'll play part of 1D-47. -08:-39:-30 Again, this is the April 13, 2005. This is at the outset. 11 It's at the beginning. (Audio is played.) 05:36:45 13 MR. HELMICK: That's it for that clip. 05:37:30 -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{14}$  what's significant there is he just wants to go train in the 15 shooting range. He says: This is such a pain; I'll just do it -08:-39:-30 **16** another day, or words to that effect, is what he says during the -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  time he's there. That's his goal. That's what he's thinking. 18 Also there's also an offer that's made for food. He's already -08:-39:-30 **19** eaten. Again on 4/13 he thinks: Let's just go to the shooting range and go train. He ends up at Mr. Griffin's apartment -08:-39:-30 **21** with, I assume, a government-paid-for white board that's already -08:-39:-30 **22** set up there for a presentation. Nothing he signed on for. -08:-39:-30 **23** Since November 17 he just wanted to go train to 05:38:19 **24** learn how to handle and shoot a weapon. Again, Mr. Griffin has audio and video ready. What happens? Despite scary stills or

images from it, what you really see on April 13 at his apartment 05:38:30 is that Wassim learns proper handgun safety and sighting. -08:-39:-30 That's what is really conveyed. If you just dissect what 05:38:38 happened, and you look cleanly at the record, that's what 05:38:42 happened on April 13. There is some -- Griffin is placating -08:-39:-30 Wassim by teaching him that or showing him those things. -08:-39:-30 And despite the video played, there's nothing to be afraid of by 05:38:54 what you saw on April 13. 05:38:58

At that time Mr. Griffin suggests another tactic  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  10 that they could do in the future; that's paint balling. a lawful activity despite the government's insistence. This is -08:-39:-30 **12** not Mr. Amawi. Remember the video clip was played showing the -08:-39:-30 13 scene how to hold the weapon and sight the weapon. -08:-39:-30 **14** government's argument is: Come on, this is clearly Mr. Amawi is practicing for the future before so when he forms his own cell, -08:-39:-30 **16** he can help other people. A fair review of April 13 is Wassim -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  cannot understand much of what Mr. Griffin is saying in English, -08:-39:-30 **18** and Mr. Amawi repeats it for him in Arabic for his benefit.

And if you go back and review April 13 and review that video, that is what is happening. There's no discussion by Mr. Griffin telling Mr. Amawi, okay, now you go ahead and -08:-39:-30 **22** practice for the future and show him. He simply repeats what 23 Wassim, who arguably has the poorest English skills, he simply 24 repeats what Mr. Griffin just told him. Mr. Amawi repeats it

-08:-39:-30 **25** for Mr. Griffin.

-08:-39:-30 **19** 

05:39:01

6860

All right. Astrolite. I hate to even spend the 05:40:15 time, but we need to talk about it because Mr. Mazloum was -08:-39:-30 associated with one meeting where it was discussed between Mr. -08:-39:-30 Griffin and Mr. Amawi. The first mention was between Mr. Amawi -08:-39:-30 and Mr. Griffin only days before. Neither one of them, neither 05:40:30 Mr. Griffin nor Mr. Amawi, appeared particularly clear on the -08:-39:-30 There was some discussion on it on April 13 when concept. -08:-39:-30 Wassim is present, but no substance is discussed about it. 05:40:42 It's not even clear what it is or where they're going. There's 05:40:45 no participation by Mr. Mazloum or evidence that he knows what it is, that he even knows what the word means. And he doesn't **12** say anything with regard to that substance, that product. 13 In fact, Mr. Griffin stated during his testimony 05:41:01 here that he believed that Mr. Amawi had not mentioned Astrolite -08:-39:-30 **15** to Wassim prior to the discussion that Wassim overhears on April 13. Mr. Griffin testified to that here in court. Wassim is satisfied with that visit, even though he -08:-39:-30 **18** was upset at first, with proper gun handling and safety. 19 coincidentally, ladies and gentlemen, there's another mention on 4/13 of Lebanon by Wassim. That's why he's in a hurry to get to the place and get going. It's not great English, but that's -08:-39:-30 **22** what he's referring to at the time he makes that statement. -08:-39:-30 **23** "Try and speed it up because I might leave back home this 05:41:45 **24** summer." That's Lebanon. Not for any evil purpose; it's for a trip back with his family, possibly to meet with another

- -08:-39:-30 **1** family, and possibly to meet a young woman. But he's leaving
- -08:-39:-30 f 2 his options open, including joining the Lebanese Army; because,
- $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$  after all, he has that requirement unless he can continue
- -08:-39:-30 **4** deferring. Again, a lawful and logical purpose.
- Let's move on to the next meeting. We just
- 6 finished April 13. The next one is April 20. Finally, this is
- the first time by Wassim at the shooting range, April 20, 2005.
- o5:42:21 8 Again, first of two trips within nine or ten days to Cleland's.
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{9}$  They used their Israeli names, real I.D., and don't wear any
- 05:42:35 10 disguises. They show up as they are, who they are. They use
- -08:-39:-30 11 their driver's license, and they sign in under their names.
- -08:-39:-30 12 The documents are in evidence in the case. It's right next to
- -08:-39:-30 13 the state highway patrol. For those of you who have been there
- 05:42:48 **14** or out Airport Highway or by to Loma Linda's, the state highway
- -08:-39:-30 **15** patrol is right next door.
- 05:42:55 **16** Nothing happens but target shooting on this day.
- -08:-39:-30 17 Nothing. They go and they shoot targets. There's handling
- -08:-39:-30 **18** and safety at the shooting range during that time. There's no
- 05:43:04 **19** talk of jihad, of any violence or world like jihad or even
- o<sub>5:43:09</sub> **20** politics before, during, or after this meeting on April 20.
- 05:43:14 **21** This was Mr. Amawi, Wassim, and Mr. Griffin during this first
- 05:43:20 **22** trip.
- o<sub>5:43:21</sub> **23** Again, Lebanon. He says: Because, because, you
- 05:43:27 **24** know, we're leaving. I'm, I'm -- I might be leaving next month.
- 05:43:33 **25** Again, with his family.

Then he says: Yeah, so, so, if Mohammad is busy, -08:-39:-30 2 the other days can just do it me and you. What's he saying to -08:-39:-30 3 Mr. Griffin? Maybe we could just go if Mohammad is busy and he -08:-39:-30 4 can't come out. Then Wassim asks, Can I come by myself to the -08:-39:-30 **5** range? Now, does that sound like cell behavior, cell-like -08:-39:-30 behavior? He says: Can I come out by myself? Interestingly, 05:43:59 Mr. Griffin tells him, No, you should bring another brother with 05:44:03 you to critique you. Why? Mr. Amawi just learned how to 05:44:06 shoot, so why is he suggesting to Wassim you should bring another brother when he says I want to come by myself? Mr. Griffin says we shouldn't talk at all. And he suggests putting -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  things up on the board again. This is the white board. Again, -08:-39:-30 **13** secrecy versus discretion. -08:-39:-30 **14** Mr. Griffin seems to believe that they want to -08:-39:-30 **15** operate in secret because they're planning some Violent jihad. 16 And Wassim is there with this real I.D. and no disguise and  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  17 signing in under his real name because he wants to learn how to -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  shoot and self-defense. His concern was how it might look to -08:-39:-30 **19** outsiders. -08:-39:-30 **20** Wassim asked him a second time on this date, on -08:-39:-30 **21** April 20, about coming alone. And Mr. Griffin says, yes ---05:44:54 **22** this time he says: You should bring your brother, Bilal.

05:45:02 **24** Wassim. This is nine days later. And this is Wassim's second

23

05:44:58

Let's fast forward then to April 29. This is

brings his brother, Bilal Mazloum. There is discussion 05:45:11 2 regarding Amawi's brother and not telling him, that Bilal -08:-39:-30 3 Mazloum should not have told him. But again, a fair reading in -08:-39:-30 4 the whole context of the evidence, if you step back, is this is -08:-39:-30 related to discretion, not secrecy. It's another uneventful 05:45:26 shooting session at the range. -08:-39:-30 Okay. So we're back here on the timeline, and 05:45:33 we're up to April 29. We were down here on April 20 in terms of 05:45:37 the range. 05:45:43 10 Okay. Wassim goes a second time only nine days 05:45:44 after the first visit. How concerned was he about secrecy, ask 12 yourself? Again, he uses his own name, own I.D., no disguise. 13 He asks if he can go alone. He brings his brother. This is 14 from April 20, at Mr. Griffin's suggestion. And remember, -08:-39:-30 **15** you've heard testimony during our case in chief that he told 16 family members and friends of these trips. And you might 17 remember, Hussein Smidi is the nice young man who is the -08:-39:-30 18 manager of Rite Aid in Swanton. Anwar Rabah flew in from -08:-39:-30 19 Miami, Florida; he was the high school teacher friend of Wassim's. Then Wassim's aunt, Nailam Elkhechen, came in and testified. Now, despite what the government may argue, they 05:46:42 **22** didn't come in and say that he told them some type of cover, he -08:-39:-30 **23** was going to the shooting range for fun. First of all, there -08:-39:-30 **24** was no evidence that he went to the shooting range. 25 said to him: Oh, I heard you were out at Cleland's; what were

you doing out there? He volunteered this information to two
friends, one of whom doesn't even live in the area, and to his
aunt as well. He was proud. He took out the target from his
pocket when he was in the car with her, you might remember, and
he holds it and shows it to her. And the stated purpose he

6 told her: It was for fun. He did say that. But you remember

7 she said then, repeated on redirect, that the major purpose was

**3** self-defense, was protecting self and protecting family. That

**9** was her testimony, and that that was the primary reason, not an

equal reason or a secondary reason for fun. That was the

-08:-39:-30 **11** primary reason.

-08:-39:-30

-08:-39:-30

The government may come back and argue: They

13 suggested this in their first argument. This was clearly just

208:-39:-30

14 a matter of putting up a smoke screen so that these people knew.

15 Why? They didn't know. They were surprised when they told

-39:-30 **16** them. They didn't know. So why tell them? And, by the way,

-08:-39:-30 17 Mr. Griffin's cover story for what they were doing was handing

 $_{-08:-39:-30}$  18 out business cards to them for the security company. So why

 $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$  f 19 not tell them, Oh, I'm training for security, VIP Security;

 $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$   $\mathbf{20}$  here's the card from the guy who's helping me. Instead he told

8:-39:-30 **21** his aunt he was going out, it was for fun, and mainly it was for

05:48:15 **22** defense of self and defense of family. That's what he said.

05:48:19 **23** There's no Jihad planning done there. He's keeping his options

-08:-39:-30 **24** open in terms of the trip to Lebanon.

Now we're coming up, we're still on April 29. The

second trip to the shooting range. We're in the back seat of 05:48:32 2 Mr. Griffin's car. All right. Wassim and Bilal in the back -08:-39:-30 His brother Bilal. I know it gets a little confusing -08:-39:-30 because it's Mr. Griffin's Arabic name. But Bilal Mazloum and -08:-39:-30 Wassim Mazloum are in the back seat. Mr. Amawi is in the front -08:-39:-30 seat with Mr. Griffin, and there are simultaneous conversations -08:-39:-30 going on. But Wassim and Bilal, his brother, are in the back -08:-39:-30 seat talking in Arabic. In the privacy of the back seat in 05:48:56 Arabic, which Mr. Griffin doesn't understand, Wassim tells his brother the purpose of the training that they're undertaking when they're at the shooting range on April 29. 12 05:49:14 And so I think the last piece we're going to play -08:-39:-30 **13** for you today is from April 29, 2005. It's 1D-57. Again, -08:-39:-30 14 we're in the back seat of Mr. Griffin's car. 15 (Video is played.) 05:49:31 16 MR. HELMICK: Again, the statement here of 05:50:49 significance is he's talking to Bilal. There's a simultaneous 05:50:51 conversation going on between Mr. Amawi and Mr. Griffin in the front seat. Wassim is talking to his own brother in the back seat. What he says is, We're just here to train. We're just here to learn. Then he says -- you can see it; it's still on -08:-39:-30 **22** the screen. Again, the translation is the real evidence 05:51:11 23 because it's in Arabic. It's not what you hear, like all the -08:-39:-30 **24** other recordings that are in English, but the translation is:

-08:-39:-30 **25** If we are ever back in the home country one day, and something

05:53:06 -08:-39:-30 18 and Mr. Griffin testified to this as well -- between Mr. Amawi -08:-39:-30 19 a May 2 conversation, the next day, between Mr. Griffin and -08:-39:-30 **22** Wassim. And whether it's honest or paranoia expressed by Mr. -08:-39:-30 23 Amawi, he expresses that to Mr. Griffin. And then Mr. Griffin 05:53:44 **24** calls Wassim to alert him of Mr. Amawi's concern. here, May 2. Last trip to the shooting range was here, on April

ase: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 105 of 228. PageID #: 10779
6867
29.
On May 2, there's brief discussion this was not
played, but Mr. Sofer asked Mr. Griffin about it on direct
examination. It was just a few questions, maybe three
questions or so. And here's the gist of it. He indicates
Mr. Griffin indicates that he calls Wassim and tells him Mr.
Amawi's fears of being followed. Wassim says he shouldn't
worry about anything because we're not doing anything wrong or
illegal.
He also that day purchases a paintball gun, which
is, by the way, never used. It's still in its original
packaging, and you have it in evidence in the case. Even though
it was bought on May 2, he never went paintballing, never did
anything. It was mothballed in the garage. It was found in
the garage in February of 2006 when the charges were brought in
the case. It's still in the original packaging. Check it
out. The Government's admitted it as an exhibit.
Fast forward to May 18, 2005. Again, this doesn't
directly involve Mr. Mazloum, and yet he's mentioned. Amawi
and Griffin are talking. And this is text from 1D-66 on May 18,
2005. Mr. Amawi says: Yeah, but here, Brother, I don't know
about Wassim; me and you, we need to continue.
Mr. Griffin says: Yeah, I know. I was waiting.
Mr. Amawi says: I want to we need to continue.
And that was from 1D-66 on May 18.

	_	
	Cas	se: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 106 of 228. PageID #: 10780 6868
-08:-39:-30	1	Another passage from May 18 or exchange, and I'd
05:55:38	2	let you read this yourselves on the screen, please, if you
-08:-39:-30	3	would.
05:56:10	4	(Text displayed.)
05:56:12	5	By this point I suggest to you Mr. Mazloum, he's no
-08:-39:-30	6	longer interested. He got some training in handgun handling on
-08:-39:-30	7	April 13 at Mr. Griffin's apartment. He's been to the shooting
-08:-39:-30	8	range twice. It went fine. He's no longer interested at this
-08:-39:-30	9	point, as was reflected during that conversation.
05:56:33	10	Fast forward to September 29. We're now five
-08:-39:-30	11	months past the last shooting range trip on April 29, 2005.
05:56:44	12	The government has characterized this as a meeting between
05:56:49	13	Wassim and Mr. Griffin. And I take issue with that only this
-08:-39:-30	14	far: A meeting implies two people agreed to get together at a
-08:-39:-30	15	particular time and particular place. That's not what happened
-08:-39:-30	16	here on September 29. What actually happened here is that
05:57:09	17	Wassim was going on with his life as he had before. He was
-08:-39:-30	18	working to support himself and his family. He was going to
-08:-39:-30	19	college at the University of Toledo in the College of
-08:-39:-30	20	Engineering. Mr. Griffin shows up unannounced and uninvited.
-08:-39:-30	21	He drops by the car lot where Wassim is working at that time.
-08:-39:-30	22	It's Mr. Griffin that tells Wassim that Mr. Amawi wants to start
-08:-39:-30	23	a car business with Wassim. Wassim says that he hadn't heard

And the government has acknowledged that Mr. Amawi had

05:57:39 **24** that.

Case: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 107 of 228. PageID #: 10781 6869 Mr. Griffin said he was moving to Jordan. That 05:57:49 means Wassim would be losing his trainer and alleged cell 05:57:55 leader, right? Mr. Griffin's moving to Jordan if he's leaving, 05:57:59 and Wassim is not. But there's no evidence in the record of a -08:-39:-30 single complaint voiced by Wassim about his plans to go to -08:-39:-30 Jordan. 05:58:10 He's losing his trainer, but he's not making any 05:58:13 complaint. -08:-39:-30 The last contact. Fast forward four months now 05:58:19 -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{10}$  from September 29 to January 30. By the way, there's one -08:-39:-30 11 potential contact in here that's alleged in here, and it's not -08:-39:-30 **12** marked on this, and there's a reason for that. There was -08:-39:-30 13 testimony -- I'll just touch briefly on this -- that while Mr. 14 Griffin was in Jordan the first time from August 22, I believe, -08:-39:-30 **15** to September 8, 2005, that on his cell phone that he had there -08:-39:-30 **16** that there was an indication that Wassim Mazloum was calling -08:-39:-30 **17** him. And I suggest to you that he's either mistaken or he's -08:-39:-30 18 not being completely candid. I only say this for this reason. There's no message left. Mr. Griffin acknowledges that. He -08:-39:-30 19 didn't return the call. There's no evidence that he reported it to his trainer that he received that phone call. -08:-39:-30 **22** there's no indication or evidence that during the September 29 -08:-39:-30 23 meeting that there's any my mention, Oh, I tried calling you 05:59:26 **24** when I was over in Jordan. What's up? There's none of that. So here we are now on the last date of contact on

- 6870 January 30 of 2006. Again, Mr. Griffin appears unannounced and -08:-39:-30 2 uninvited at the car lot. It's been four months since the last -08:-39:-30 3 visit on September 29, and Griffin greets him early on by saying 05:59:43 4 to him: You are a busy man. Maybe tough to get a hold of, -08:-39:-30 5 tough to get in contact with. Mr. Griffin is, again, not aware 05:59:54 of what Wassim Mazloum is doing in his life, and Wassim Mazloum -08:-39:-30 doesn't know what Mr. Griffin's doing either. Wassim doesn't 06:00:01 know Griffin was still in the U.S.A. He thought he was in -08:-39:-30 Jordan. -08:-39:-30 On the closing exchange, the last, arguably, relevant thing recorded in the last conversation between the two -08:-39:-30 **12** of them is this: Wassim says: So are you guys have any -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  connection with the Mujahidin in Iraq? Because he's learned -08:-39:-30 **14** that he's taken a trip over there to Jordan. 15 Yeah, he's, uh, he's going to tell us about it when 06:00:27 16 he gets here. 06:00:31
- Then there's an unintelligible. 06:00:32
- -08:-39:-30 **18** Brother that's from Iraq to, I guess, Syria to, uh,
- Jordan, and he's supporting, I guess, the brothers there, so --
- but I have to get some laptops for the brothers there because
- that's how they're communicating.
- -08:-39:-30 **22** Wassim asks: The brothers in Iraq?
- -08:-39:-30 **23** Then I'll let you read this next sentence.
- 06:01:30 **24** It ends, ironically, with this exchange with Mr.
- Griffin asking Mr. Mazloum: Did you have -- talk to any

Case: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 109 of 228. PageID #: 10783 6871 brothers over in Iraq or anything? 06:01:38 2 Mr. Mazloum says: No. -08:-39:-30 He hasn't been doing anything except working, 06:01:41 supporting himself and his family, and going to school. 06:01:44 5 Now, there are at least three references the -08:-39:-30 government has indicated to you of mujahidin comments by Wassim. 06:01:49 But remember, he's a mere spectator to any contact between 06:01:53 Griffin and others over there. He hasn't gone over. The only 06:01:58 reason he made any plans, the plans he did have were to go to 06:02:01 Lebanon. He never went. All Wassim knows of Griffin is he's the radical convert, and he talks about things in Iraq and the -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  mujahidin. He may even be sympathetic to his views and feel, 13 Mr. Griffin, as they're expressed. But these are all he knows about him. And he knows little else other than his military 15 background. -08:-39:-30 16 So after not seeing him for months at a time, he asks him almost a rhetorical question, a question that will lead nowhere, because when he's asked by Mr. Griffin, and Wassim -08:-39:-30 **19** says: No, that's it. That's the last comment that's of relevance to this case. And Wassim says no when that's asked. 06:02:48 21 Now, we talked a lot about the evidence. By the -08:-39:-30 **22** way, we're almost done. We're closing in, folks. I really -08:-39:-30 **23** appreciate your patience. -08:-39:-30 **24** There's only one time he requested a disk or CD

despite all the things you've heard about computer evidence or

what you've seen; that was a scholarly debate on a video that -08:-39:-30 2 was being watched. He could have traveled to the Middle East -08:-39:-30 3 at any time to perform some type of violent jihad. There's no -08:-39:-30 4 evidence he had any restriction on travel. And he's a Lebanese -08:-39:-30 national, if he wants to return. There's no outward 06:03:21 expressions of agreement on his part to do so. And the only 06:03:24 physical evidence for him is a paintball gun that I mentioned is 06:03:27 still sealed in the package. There's also no recruitment. -08:-39:-30 Even Bilal, his brother, it was for purposes of defense, as -08:-39:-30 stated between them in Arabic in the back seat of the car on -08:-39:-30 April 29. Just listen to it. 06:03:49 Let's talk about Lebanon now for a moment just to  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  13 shift gears because it's been weaved through this entire thing. -08:-39:-30 **14** As you know, he's made references to it. Wassim's only -08:-39:-30 15 agreement was to train; that's all. The purpose of the -08:-39:-30 **16** training was for preparation for defense of self and family. 17 And the record is replete with that, from November 17 through April of 2005, and that purpose was logical and understandable. 19 06:04:19 And we're not going to spend a great deal of time on Lebanon, because I know you've heard the evidence, but if you take a look, there's ample evidence of his intent to return. -08:-39:-30 **22** He was a non-U.S. citizen. There was possible military o6:04:33 23 services in issue. We also know from testimony from his mother -08:-39:-30 **24** that Lebanon is a legitimate government, not an enemy to the

You know that from her

U.S. It's friendly to the U.S.

-08:-39:-30 **1** testimony. You don't have to rely on her alone.

There's actually other evidence in the case you can -08:-39:-30 3 rely on besides that. The government's own expert witness -08:-39:-30 testified. Kelly Mount, remember her; she was a ballistics 06:04:52 **5** expert. She was one of two that testified. She was the first -08:-39:-30 that testified. Among her qualifications and her talking about -08:-39:-30 being an expert is she was invited by Lebanon to come and assist -08:-39:-30 with a car bombing over in Lebanon. That's significant for two -08:-39:-30 reasons: That wasn't the purpose of her testimony directly in terms of why the government called her here, which was to talk about other things in the case or issues in the case. But what -08:-39:-30 **12** can you take away from that? It describes the type of tactics -08:-39:-30 13 used in Lebanon, car bombings, not like here where primarily we -08:-39:-30 **14** might be concerned about firearms defense or asserting our own -08:-39:-30 **15** rights under the Second Amendment, defending our family. -08:-39:-30 16

The second thing of importance is it affirms the relationship between the United States and Lebanon, how she testified at the request of the host government, that's Lebanon.

Would the FBI, would the U.S. really send somebody abroad to help a government that wasn't friendly? By the way, it's also mentioned in her curriculum vitae, which is Government's Exhibit 174, it's listed as part of her experience, that trip to Lebanon for that reason. We know that life in Lebanon, from 1981 when he was born to 2000 when he emigrates, that things were war torn.

If you look just briefly at this timeline, this -08:-39:-30 2 is -- the exact details of this are unimportant, but they're -08:-39:-30 3 supported by the testimony. You can see that Wassim is born -08:-39:-30 here in 1981. That there is civil war and incursion throughout 06:06:22 the time that he's there until 2000 when -- in April of 2000 -08:-39:-30 when he emigrates with his family to the United States. -08:-39:-30 Significantly there are skirmishes that include armed people 06:06:39 with IEDs and automatic weapons fire. And you'll remember he -08:-39:-30 was beaten down by Syrian soldiers who invaded his house. So -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{10}$  what would you want to do if you weren't a U.S. citizen, and you might be returning to Lebanon? Wouldn't you want to know how to -08:-39:-30 **12** defend yourself? 13 There are major events from all sides and all 06:07:03 borders. No one's to blame. It's just that part of the world. -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{15}$  Lebanon, from 1981 to 2000, was war torn. And it's not just 16 involving small weapons fire; there is IEDs -- there was -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  testimony about that -- shoulder launch missiles, automatic 18 weapons. It was all a part. Which means if he expressed an interest in bombs or IEDs, it's because you might have to deal with it if you're in Lebanon or a citizen of the Middle East. It was real life there. -08:-39:-30 **22** He was the man of the house, essentially, after his 06:07:39 **23** father abandoned them. He was 10 or 11 when his father left and 24 went to Venezuela. He was the man of the house. He was the

eldest man there until he was 18 years old and emigrated; he was

- -08:-39:-30 1 there. You're also aware of the deferment taken by his mother
- -08:-39:-30 f 2 in terms of the military service requirement. It was still a
- 06:07:59 **3** possibility to him that he might go there. When he got here to
- -08:-39:-30 4 the U.S. -- this is also inconsistent with somebody who wants to
- o6:08:07 **5** go commit violent Jihad -- he started working ten days after his
- o6:08:10 6 arrival here at the Outback Steak House. He earns his GED.
- -08:-39:-30 7 He enrolled at the U.T. College of Engineering in computer
- -08:-39:-30 **8** science. He was a fifth year senior during that last visit
- -08:-39:-30 **9** with Darren Griffin in January, 2006. He was almost done with
- .08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{10}$  his engineering degree. His life continued, a productive life,
- -08:-39:-30 11 a lawful life during those 14 months of contact with Mr.
- -08:-39:-30 **12** Griffin.
- Now let's just switch gears, shift as we close out
- -08:-39:-30 **14** here and talk about jury instructions. This is from page 26 of
- -08:-39:-30 **15** your instructions. Again, all of them are important.
- -08:-39:-30 **16** Remember the instruction: They're all equally important. A
- -08:-39:-30 **17** couple of these you've seen before, maybe from Mr. Hartman
- 06:08:49 **18** earlier. But remember that, in part, again, is just part of
- 06:08:54 19 the instruction, that to convict any defendant, the government
- -08:-39:-30 **20** must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that he knew about the
- 06:09:01 **21** conspiracy's main purpose. And, again, I've added the emphasis
- -08:-39:-30 **22** here, that he voluntarily joined it intending to help advance or
- o6:09:11 **23** achieve its goals. That's absolutely crucial with regard to
- -08:-39:-30 **24** Wassim and this case.
  - Likewise, also on page 26 regarding the conspiracy

instruction, proof that a defendant simply knew about a 06:09:21 2 conspiracy or was present at times, or associated with members -08:-39:-30 of the group is not enough, even if he approved of what was 06:09:30 happening or did not object to it. -08:-39:-30 5 And again, even if he did something that happened 06:09:38 to make it go forward doesn't necessarily make him a -08:-39:-30 conspirator. -08:-39:-30 8 Now, the government gets the last word, as you 06:09:46 know, which is appropriate because they have the burden of 06:09:49 proof. It may be that the government will say that there was -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{11}$  fear of detection by Wassim after Mr. Griffin told them on May 2 -08:-39:-30 12 about the telephone warning from Mr. Amawi: I think somebody -08:-39:-30 13 might be following me. But he still went and bought the -08:-39:-30 **14** paintball gun, Wassim. And he wasn't afraid of Mr. Griffin. -08:-39:-30 **15** He saw him on two subsequent occasions in September of '05 at -08:-39:-30 **16** the car lot, then again in January of '06 he asked him about the 17 mujahidin. I mean, is that what you'd ask if you were 18 concerned that somehow he was part of some government -08:-39:-30 19 conspiracy? He doesn't sound nervous, if you go back and listen to the January 30, 2006 recording. He's just not interested, and he's not defensive at that time. -08:-39:-30 **22** As you know, the burden of proof is on the -08:-39:-30 23 government for each element, as we discussed during the 06:10:41 **24** beginning. Inherent in proving the first two charges, Counts 1

and 2, against Wassim are proving some form of intent and

- The evidence here, although sometimes laced with purpose. 06:10:50 offensive rhetoric, unquestionably shows defense of self and 06:10:55 family and a possible return to Lebanon. If the intent is -08:-39:-30 benign, he didn't intend to go and commit an illegal act like 06:11:03 5 violent jihad, then everything he did is legal. Going to the -08:-39:-30 shooting range, buying a paintball gun, it's all legal, as it -08:-39:-30 would be independently. And the government has to convince you 06:11:17 by proof beyond a reasonable doubt that his intent was sinister, 06:11:21 that his intent was unlawful or illegal. And Wassim may have been sympathetic with some of Griffin's views, but there was not agreement of purpose.
- You'll be glad to see this slide is entitled

  -08:-39:-30 13 "Conclusion". The bad news for you is there's more than one

  one 14 slide labeled "Conclusion".
- Key factors in discerning Wassim's intent. The
  -08:-39:-30 **16** statement of purpose and intent by Wassim was made clear on
  November 17 of '04 during that first meeting with Mr. Amawi and
  Mr. Griffin.
- He's been consistent throughout with statements to
  his brother Bilal all the way up to and through his statement to
  Bilal, the brother in the back seat of the car, in Arabic on
  April 29, that second trip to the shooting range. He told his
  closest confidant, his brother, in Arabic, not knowing he was
  being recorded by Mr. Griffin.
- 06:12:16 **25** In discerning his intent, again, look at November

- 1 17, look at the fact that he told two friends and a relative
- 06:12:28 **2** about the fact that he was going to the shooting range to train.
- $_{06:12:31}$   $oldsymbol{3}$  It's true, he didn't tell them that he asked also for training
- $_{06:12:35}$  f 4 about IEDs and bombs and other things. He hadn't gotten it.
- -08:-39:-30 **5** What he had gotten was handgun training. That's what he asked
- 06:12:43 **6** about. Wassim tells his brother, We're not these kind of
- -08:-39:-30 7 people, on April 29 in the back seat of his car. And are his
- $_{06:12:50}$  f8 actions largely consistent with that expression of intent he
- -08:-39:-30 **9** told his family and friends? His brother was not involved in
- 06:13:00  $\,$  **10** any way on the evidence in this case in training at the shooting
- -08:-39:-30 **11** range.
- -08:-39:-30 **12** At the beginning of this argument, way back before
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  lunch, I told you that each side, clearly, would say clearly
- DE:13:18 14 he's guilty or clearly he's not guilty with statements that were
- 06:13:23 **15** troubling to you or comforting to you, depending on your view of
- D6:13:27 **16** the evidence in this case. But again, that wouldn't be the
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  right thing to do. The right thing to do is to step back, open
- -08:-39:-30 18 your minds, as I know you do, and look at all of the evidence
- -08:-39:-30 19 and consider it together if some things point to guilt and
- $_{-08:-39:-30}$  **20** others to innocence.
- -08:-39:-30 The Court's instruction guides us. This is from
- -08:-39:-30 f 22 page 5. If you find the evidence in the case could reasonably
- o6:13:48 23 support either of two conclusions, one of guilt, the other of
- -08:-39:-30 **24** non-guilt as to any charge, you must return a verdict of not
- -08:-39:-30 **25** guilty as to that charge.

06:13:59	1	Also from page 5, reasonable doubt. So when
-08:-39:-30	2	you're assessing those things and weighing those things, "I
-08:-39:-30	_	think he did it," "I feel that he did it, that he's guilty of
	_	
06:14:10	_	the charge" is not sufficient. That's guidance that you see in
06:14:15	5	determining what is reasonable doubt.
-08:-39:-30	6	Then you can see the final statement here. Proof
-08:-39:-30	7	beyond a reasonable doubt means proof which is so convincing
-08:-39:-30	8	that you would not hesitate to rely and act on it in making the
06:14:27	9	most important decisions in your own lives. So that's it.
-08:-39:-30	0	I ask, we ask, that you take a look, that you view
06:14:37	1	fairly and open-mindedly everything that was done and said
06:14:40	2	throughout the course of the case, and ask yourself in the final
06:14:44	3	analysis, has the government proved to you by proof beyond a
06:14:49	4	reasonable doubt the intent and purpose was the unlawful intent
-08:-39:-30	5	and purpose charged here? I submit to you after you review all
06:15:00	6	the evidence and allow for application of your common sense and
-08:-39:-30	7	life experience, you'll decide, no, they have not, and you'll
-08:-39:-30	8	return verdicts of not guilty on both Count 1 and Count 2.
-08:-39:-30	9	I do have, if you'd like to see them, a copy of the
-08:-39:-30 <b>2</b>	20	timeline with the eight events. If you'd like, I can pass that
-08:-39:-30	21	out. If you think you've got a sufficient look with me having
06:15:22	22	covered it, it won't be necessary. But if you'd like, I'll pass
06:15:28	23	them out.
06:15:29	24	Judge, is that all right?
06:15:31	25	THE COURT: That's fine. Go ahead.

	Cas	se: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 118 of 228. PageID #: 10792 6880
06:17:58	1	MR. HELMICK: When you're finished, if you'd just
-08:-39:-30	2	pass them down to the end. If you're not finished, take your
-08:-39:-30	3	time.
06:18:28	4	I've got them all, Judge, thank you.
-08:-39:-30	5	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we'll take about
-08:-39:-30	6	a 15-minute break. And Mr. Sofer will present the concluding
-08:-39:-30	7	argument.
06:18:39	8	MR. GETZ: May we approach?
06:21:59	9	(Whereupon the following discussion was had at the
-08:-39:-30	0	bench outside the hearing of the jury:)
-08:-39:-30	1	THE COURT: That instruction that you had.
-08:-39:-30	2	MR. GETZ: We gave it to Amy. It's actually just
-08:-39:-30	3	a verdict form.
-08:-39:-30	4	MR. TERESINSKI: It's on the 956 charge.
-08:-39:-30	5	MR. SOFER: Because of Apprendi. I don't know
-08:-39:-30	6	how anybody could reasonably view the evidence that, if they
-08:-39:-30	7	were to find they intended to maim instead of murder if they
-08:-39:-30	8	were to find that, then the sentence is, instead of life, I
-08:-39:-30	9	believe it's 25 or 35 years of incarceration.
-08:-39:-30	20	THE COURT: Okay. I'll look at it.
-08:-39:-30	21	It's only signed by the foreperson.
-08:-39:-30	22	MR. TERESINSKI: That was a sample. I'm going to
-08:-39:-30	23	read it to them right after he concludes.
-08:-39:-30	24	MR. BOSS: I know there were other verdict forms
-08:-39:-30	25	for the defendants, each of them separately. When they were

	Cas	e: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 119 of 228. PageID #: 10793 6881
-08:-39:-30	1	passed around I only saw the ones for the other two
-08:-39:-30	2	co-defendants and not for El-Hindi. I assume it's up there.
-08:-39:-30	3	I'm wondering if we can see those, too.
-08:-39:-30	4	(End of side-bar discussion.)
06:38:20	5	(Recess taken.)
06:39:17	6	(The jury is not present.)
06:39:17	7	THE COURT: Ms. Cleary suggested that I wait until
-08:-39:-30	8	we have a return on the general verdicts before I send them to
06:39:27	9	deliberate or even inform them, I gather, of the possibility
06:39:34	10	they'd have to deliberate on a special.
06:39:39	11	MR. HARTMAN: We would join in that request.
06:39:39	12	MR. TERESINSKI: I don't think that's right. I
06:39:41	13	think we should have everything together, from the government's
06:39:44	14	perspective.
06:44:05	15	We wanted to make sure. We would like Your Honor
06:44:09	16	to not separate or parse it out, not to parse out the separate
06:44:18	17	question. Obviously it's a conspiracy to kill, maim, or murder
06:44:22	18	or maim. And for our country, in order for the jury to come
-08:-39:-30	19	back with a guilty verdict on the conspiracy charge, they have
06:44:29	20	to decide, obviously, what the purpose is to that conspiracy.
-08:-39:-30	21	It should be done at the same time.
-08:-39:-30	22	THE COURT: I'll think about it. I know what each
-08:-39:-30	23	party wants. Okay. As to form, is that verdict okay? Is the
-08:-39:-30	24	special verdict okay?
06:44:56 119 of 228 she	25	MS. CLEARY: Yes, Your Honor.  Page 6881 to 6881 of 6990 09/11/2008 11:26:26 AM

	Cas	se: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 120 of 228. PageID #: 10794
	4	6882
06:50:37	1	(Jury enters the courtroom.)
06:50:40	2	THE COURT: Mr. Sofer, you may begin your final
-08:-39:-30	3	argument.
06:50:44	4	MR. SOFER: Thank you, Judge.
06:50:46	5	Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.
-08:-39:-30	6	THE JUROR: Good afternoon.
-08:-39:-30	7	MR. SOFER: This is going to be the last time that
-08:-39:-30	8	the government has an opportunity to talk to you before you
06:50:54	9	begin your deliberations in this case. As you've heard over
-08:-39:-30	10	and over, the burden of proof lies with the government, and we
-08:-39:-30	11	gladly accept that burden. But that does not mean, again, that
06:51:05	12	you should not critically analyze the arguments that have been
06:51:08	13	made here to you by the defense attorneys for each of the
-08:-39:-30	14	defendants. I'm going to go through each of their summations.
-08:-39:-30	15	I will not spend nine hours doing this like the last time. The
-08:-39:-30	16	government, as I said the first time, has got to rely on you,
-08:-39:-30	17	ladies and gentlemen, to critically analyze the evidence that
06:51:30	18	you have before you, and that is all of the evidence.
-08:-39:-30	19	I know everyone's gotten up here and talked about
-08:-39:-30	20	you looking at all of the evidence, and that's a major task in
06:51:40	21	this case. I also have noticed you making lots of notes in the
-08:-39:-30	22	case, and I know that you've paid attention very carefully.
06:51:49	23	The important point I think and I'm going to go through this;
-08:-39:-30	24	I'm going to go through each of defense attorneys' arguments.
-08:-39:-30	25	They did not concentrate on all of the evidence, nor did the

6883 government in its summation. We couldn't. We'd be here all day -08:-39:-30 We tried to give you a fair example in the first -08:-39:-30 summation of what the evidence shows. I'm going to now try to -08:-39:-30 explain how it is that some of the arguments that have been made -08:-39:-30 to you over the last couple days by the defense attorneys are -08:-39:-30 not, in fact, based on all of that evidence and that there are -08:-39:-30 things that you know and that you can look at in the evidence to 06:52:22 refute all of what they're saying. 06:52:25 I'm going to go in opposite order. Keep in mind, 06:52:28 -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{10}$  I just heard these summations also, so we're sort of analyzing these together, and I apologize if it's not a well-oiled -08:-39:-30 12 machine -- although it hasn't always been that way -- that it -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  has in the past, as we maybe try to go through a couple clips as well. 15 First of all, Mr. Helmick made a closing argument 06:52:49

First of all, Mr. Helmick made a closing argument
on behalf of Wassim Mazloum. And he said, and I agree with him
17 100 percent, that cherry-picking out of the large volume of
evidence that you have is really not the right thing to do. I
don't believe the government has done that. That will be your
determination to make. But the swath of evidence that we have
produced and commented on to you is hardly cherry-picking. I
don't think that that can be said, though, necessarily for Mr.
Helmick. And I hope that you noticed as he was making his
arguments that he would refer to portions of conversations, but
leave other very significant portions of those conversations

	6884
-08:-39:-30	out. I'll try to emphasize what those were.
06:53:40	First I want to talk little bit about the fact
06:53:44	one of the things that Mr. Helmick said about Darren Griffin
06:53:49	running the show. Keep in mind here, this is a very important
-08:-39:-30 <b>5</b>	point with respect to Mr. Mazloum, that Defendant Mazloum was
-08:-39:-30 6	not brought into this conspiracy by Darren Griffin. He was
-08:-39:-30 7	brought into the conspiracy by Mohammad Amawi, and they had
-08:-39:-30	prior conversation.
-08:-39:-30	Now you know a lot about Mohammad Amawi; you've
-08:-39:-30 10	heard a whole lot of recordings about what he thought, what he
-08:-39:-30 11	believed, what he believed the purpose of the training to be.
-08:-39:-30 12	And I submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, it is fair to infer
06:54:19 13	that given everything that you've heard from Mohammad Amawi,
-08:-39:-30 14	Wassim Mazloum knew exactly what the purpose of this training
-08:-39:-30 15	was before he even met Darren Griffin on November 17. And
06:54:32 16	Mohammad Amawi talked a lot about who he could trust and who he
-08:-39:-30 17	could not trust. You know Mohammad Amawi did not trust his own
06:54:39 18	brother, but he trusted Wassim Mazloum. And again, you can
06:54:43 19	infer from that that they had a relationship and that they had
06:54:46 <b>20</b>	discussed things and that they had a common meeting of the
06:54:50 <b>21</b>	minds.
06:54:52 <b>22</b>	One of the things that Mr. Helmick said was that
06:54:56 <b>23</b>	Darren Griffin was apologizing for U.S. action abroad. He was
-08:-39:-30 <b>24</b>	doing a lot more than that. He was talking about targeting
-08:-39:-30 <b>25</b>	United States forces, and he did this in front of Wassim
	AM Page 6884 to 6884 of 6990 122 of 228 shee

6885

-08:-39:-30 **1** Mazloum, and Wassim Mazloum talked to Darren Griffin about this.

-08:-39:-30 **2** He talked about targeting United States forces, teaching United

06:55:16 3 States tactics and teaching the tactics of the mujahidin. This

-08:-39:-30 **4** is not just apologizing for U.S. military actions overseas.

06:55:29 **5** Now, there was a whole lot of talk about this duty

to train and this training for self-defense, but you know -- and

-08:-39:-30 we may be able to play a clip or two; we may not -- you know

-08:-39:-30 **8** from listening to these clips that the things that Darren

9:-30 **9** Griffin was talking to Wassim Mazloum about are not how to

-08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{10}$  defend yourself and your house and your family. You don't need

06:55:56 **11** to learn about IEDs to learn how to defend your house. You

 $_{-08:-39:-30}$  12 don't have to learn how to snipe to defend your house. That

 $_{-08:-39:-30}$  13 just doesn't make any sense. These are offensive military

 $_{ ext{D6:56:09}}$  f 14 tactics. They're much more consistent with training for jihad

-08:-39:-30 15 and the kinds of things that you saw on those videos and the

-08:-39:-30 **16** kinds of things that these men discussed than they are the

 $_{5:20}$  17 defense of somebody's home.

06:56:22 18 Remember that Wassim Mazloum specifically asked for

8:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{19}$  training on ambushing. Well, again, ask yourself: What does

08:-39:-30 **20** training on ambushing have to do with protecting your house?

08:-39:-30 **21** Ambush is an offensive action. And I submit to you, ladies and

-08:-39:-30 **22** gentlemen, the context of the case, the bigger context of the

-08:-39:-30 **23** case, all the evidence, we know exactly who it was that Wassim

56:47 **24** Mazloum was looking to learn to ambush.

There was a long discussion about discretion versus

-08:-39:-30

secrecy. Again, the government submits to you if you look at -08:-39:-30 2 what actually happened here, it's clear that we're not talking -08:-39:-30 3 about the discretion of "we are a little different than -08:-39:-30 4 everybody else," "we have long beards, so therefore we shouldn't 06:57:09 5 do certain things; "actually, you know, for a lot of different -08:-39:-30 reasons. One is they actually did go train. As Mr. Helmick 06:57:16 said, they used their real names, although I would suggest to 06:57:20 you, if you listen to those conversations, you'll hear a 06:57:23 discussion from Wassim Mazloum saying: Should we get fake IDs? But they do use their real names, and they do use their real I.D. And what does that show you? -08:-39:-30 **12** This is not a question of discretion of a bunch of **13** Muslim males with beards can't go to a shooting range. -08:-39:-30 **14** is something that they are much more worried about, so secret -08:-39:-30 **15** that they can't talk about it; so secret that it's a problem -08:-39:-30 **16** when Mohammad Amawi's brother even learns that they're going -08:-39:-30 17 shooting. And that's not about discretion or sensitivity about 18 Muslims in the United States going shooting. Actually, you saw -08:-39:-30 19 them shooting in the video. Nobody even blinks an eye. There's nothing wrong with these guys shooting. As we've said over and over and over again, the shooting is not what is 06:58:15 **22** illegal. It's the purpose of the shooting. And the fact that -08:-39:-30  ${f 23}$  he is so worried about what the purpose of that shooting is -08:-39:-30 **24** shows you that he knows what the purpose of the training is, and that shows you that he has the intent to commit the crimes that

06:58:31 **1** he's charged with.

06:58:36 **2** Remember Wassim Mazloum's line: We shouldn't talk

-08:-39:-30 **3** at all. Well, again, that's not discretion; we shouldn't talk

-08:-39:-30 4 at all. He's not talking at all about the purpose. Again,

-08:-39:-30 **5** the evidence shows that what is being -- what they don't want to

discuss, what's secret, not some sort of discretion, what's

-08:-39:-30 secret is the purpose.

-08:-39:-30

06:59:04 **8** On November 17, '04 is where Mr. Helmick began.

ps:-39:-30 **9** And again, I ask you, if you use your common sense and reason,

DB:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{10}$  what did Mohammad Amawi tell Wassim Mazloum before he even got

8:-39:-30 **11** there about the purpose of what Darren Griffin could provide?

6:59:29 **12** It makes sense that he would have talked about the jihad

:-39:-30 **13** training. And remember he called, I believe, Mohammad Amawi

:-39:-30 **14** when he had discussed Wassim Mazloum some time ago. In the

59:40 **15** context of another conversation about jihad, he said Wassim

30 **16** Mazloum is a real man. Again, this is a man who didn't trust

 $_{9:47}$   $\mathbf{17}$  his own brother for this.

Now, it's very interesting what Mr. Helmick played

08:-39:-30 **19** and didn't play from November 17. I submit to you if you

-08:-39:-30 **20** actually listen to a number of conversations, a number of

portions of the conversation, even the whole conversation that

7:00:04 **22** was recorded by Darren Griffin on November 17, you'll see that

-08:-39:-30 **23** much of what Counsel has said about the safety and fear of

14 **24** Muslims being the only thing he was worried about completely

o7:00:19 **25** evaporates.

	<u> </u>	
	Cas	e: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 126 of 228. PageID #: 10800 6888
07:00:20	1	Let's try playing 10-69185-2A-1. Again, I
07:00:36	2	apologize if we have a couple delays. We're just now trying to
-08:-39:-30	3	figure out which ones we're going to play for you.
07:01:47	4	(Audio is played.)
-08:-39:-30	5	MR. SOFER: Going there for fun. I mentioned
-08:-39:-30	6	this in the first summation. Not just going there for fun.
-08:-39:-30	7	Where is "there," you should ask yourselves. It is clear from
-08:-39:-30	8	these conversations. Any question about what's really being
07:02:00	9	discussed, listen to rest of these recordings. They are
-08:-39:-30	10	talking about jihad.
07:02:07	11	(Audio is played.)
07:02:55	12	MR. SOFER: Keep in mind again, Mr. Helmick said,
-08:-39:-30	13	when it helped him to say he's there, he hears certain things;
-08:-39:-30	14	he would argue to you that he's there to hear Amawi say one
07:03:06	15	thing or another that helped him. When it hurts him, he
07:03:10	16	doesn't want you to listen to that. I'm suggesting that Wassim
07:03:13	17	Mazloum is listening to all of this, and he knows exactly what
-08:-39:-30	18	this training is for.
07:05:21	19	(Audio is played.)
-08:-39:-30	20	MR. SOFER: Here, ladies and gentlemen, comes one
-08:-39:-30	21	of the important parts.
07:05:25	22	"We're going to focus against the military." It's
07:05:42	23	not: We're going to focus on going to Lebanon. It's not:
-08:-39:-30	24	We're going to focus on self-defense. It says: We're going to

**25** focus against the military. What does Wassim say? He says:

- -08:-39:-30 **1** That's right. And a little later he's going to say: The true
- -08:-39:-30 **2** message, the fight is in -- in the, you know, the land of the
- o7:06:02 **3** Army. And again, "the land of the Army" he's talking about is
- -08:-39:-30 **4** not Lebanon. It's where our Army is, ladies and gentlemen.
- o<sub>7:06:10</sub> **5** And this is the first -- keep in mind, this is only the first
- o7:06:14 **6** conversation here. But the notion that the only thing Wassim
- 7 Mazloum understood at that point was that he was going to go
- -08:-39:-30 **8** fight in Lebanon, go back to his country and fight and be able
- $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$   $\mathbf{9}$  to be prepared for his military service in Lebanon is not
- 07:06:29 **10** consistent with this evidence.
- 07:06:58 **11** (Audio is played.)
- 07:06:59 **12** MR. SOFER: Again, the charge in this case does
- .08:-39:-30 f 13 not require that you find that these defendants have selected,
- or:07:06 **14** even Iraq necessarily, as the only place that they were going to
- -08:-39:-30 **15** go, but it certainly doesn't require that they had selected
- -08:-39:-30 **16** specific victims. And it doesn't require that they agree on
- 07:07:19 17 everything. They definitely had some disagreement about what
- -08:-39:-30 **18** an innocent person was; who was innocent and when.
- The one thing they agreed on, the one thing clearly
- .08:-39:-30 **20** they agreed on, was targeting the United States military was
- -08:-39:-30 **21** okay. And this conversation shows Wassim agreed with that as
- 07:07:39 **22** well.
- 07:07:40 **23** We can stop it here.
- Now, Amawi refers to, in this same conversation I
- -08:-39:-30 **25** believe, a pact that they're all in agreement. And Mr. Helmick

- -08:-39:-30 **1** said, well, he could have said this, or he could have said that.
- -08:-39:-30 **2** Wassim was there; someone could have said this, someone could
- -08:-39:-30 3 have said that. Again, I would ask you to consider this
- o7:08:03 4 argument: You can't have it both ways. You can't say, well,
- -08:-39:-30 5 when Amawi says something that's good for Wassim that that means
- -08:-39:-30 **6** he was listening, paying attention, and that you can infer what
- -08:-39:-30 he meant. Then every time Mohammad Amawi says something that's
- 07:08:16 **8** bad for Wassim, that you should ignore that. Here there's a
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{9}$  pact, and he says there's a pact. And Wassim doesn't say: Oh,
- 07:08:24 10 wait a minute; get me out of here; I want no part of this. Not
- -08:-39:-30 11 only does he continue with this meeting, but he continues to
- -08:-39:-30 **12** have many other meetings.
- -08:-39:-30 13 And yes, I have said over and over again, any one
- 07:08:37 **14** of these men could have run, not walked, away from Darren
- -08:-39:-30 **15** Griffin or each other at any moment. And if you continue to
- -08:-39:-30 **16** look at what happens throughout this, you will see that, in
- $_{07:08:49}$   $\mathbf{17}$  fact, there are multiple opportunities for that to happen.
- -08:-39:-30 18 Multiple opportunities to say, I disagree, or, I don't believe
- -08:-39:-30 19 in what you're saying. And sometimes they do say I don't
- o7:08:59 **20** agree. That means they can do it.
- -08:-39:-30 **21** This notion that Wassim Mazloum was so deferential
- 07:09:03 **22** to Darren Griffin is also not consistent with the evidence.
- $_{-08:-39:-30}$  Remember all those other recordings where Wassim is saying to
- 07:09:09 **24** Darren Griffin: Let's get this going; let's get this pace up.
- -08:-39:-30 **25** That's not such a deferential statement; the tone of voice that

he uses talking to Darren Griffin, not deferential. -08:-39:-30 Darren Griffin suggests, hey, when we go overseas, and if we're -08:-39:-30 3 over in Iraq and get caught by the mujahidin, they can call us -08:-39:-30 back; you can have someone back at home to answer the phone. 07:09:27 That was, again, not an evil genius, certainly not a genius 07:09:30 remark. Both Mohammad Amawi and Wassim Mazloum get on his -08:-39:-30 case: What you're talking about, that doesn't make sense. -08:-39:-30 That doesn't make sense. We should let them know before we get 07:09:44 This is not deference to somebody. This is somebody  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  10 who is eager to move along and is often, very often along with Mohammad Amawi, pushing Darren Griffin to give them what they 12 want. And it's not -- it is not just training to shoot, as you  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  13 know from these conversations. And they left that out of these 14 conversations. Every time Wassim Mazloum says the most  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  15 important thing is to learn how to snipe, or, I want you to teach us how to make bombs, you didn't see that up here. -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  There's a number of those conversations. I'm not going to play  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  18 them for you because we don't have the time. And again, the -08:-39:-30 19 government has to rely on you critically analyzing this information. 07:10:41 2/16, no question it's a critically important date -08:-39:-30 **22** in the case. It's not the only critically important date in -08:-39:-30  ${f 23}$  the case. I submit to you, as it affects Wassim Mazloum, the -08:-39:-30 **24** moment he has conspired with Mohammad Amawi in this case, both

-08:-39:-30  ${f 25}$  of them, assuming other elements of the crime have been met,

both of them were guilty of the crime charged. 07:11:03 The moment those two get together, it only required two or more people, one 07:11:07 of which among those people -- the only other person can't be a -08:-39:-30 government informant or a government agent. As soon as Wassim -08:-39:-30 Mazloum and Mohammad Amawi have conspired together, assuming the -08:-39:-30 other elements have been met for each of these charges, the case 07:11:24 is over for them. -08:-39:-30 I'm not suggesting that you should find them guilty -08:-39:-30 based on November 17. It's only the beginning. Look at all the things that happened after November 17, and look at all the things that happened after February 16. And there can be no -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  doubt, no doubt, that by February 16, Wassim Mazloum knew -08:-39:-30 **13** exactly what this agreement was about. Let me tell you a couple things. This will apply -08:-39:-30 15 to the other defendants, too. If all Wassim Mazloum did was help Mohammad Amawi ultimately to attain the goals of this  $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$  17 conspiracy, and he did that with the intent that Mohammad Amawi go overseas and commit these crimes, he's also guilty of these crimes. So when Mohammad Amawi trains Wassim Mazloum -- and this notion that Wassim Mazloum didn't understand English is also completely belied by the evidence. He may not be the best

speaker in the world, but you have conversation after conversation after conversation that you can listen to; there's no comprehension problem here. These are not simple

two-year-old conversations.

They're having adult

Case: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 131 of 228. PageID #: 10805 6893 conversations. He understands what's happening. You can 07:12:44 2 decide that. -08:-39:-30 It's not a question of Mohammad Amawi had to -08:-39:-30 translate certain kinds of training for Wassim Mazloum. That 07:12:50 is not consistent with this evidence. It's not reasonable. 07:12:53 And when you go back there to deliberate, the critical point is -08:-39:-30 "reasonable." -08:-39:-30 8 Reasonable doubt. I'll talk a little bit about 07:13:05 that instruction again. The defense attorneys have taken portions -- just like they have of the recordings -- they've taken portions of these charges to show you. I'm going to show 12 you the rest of those charges. But what's important when you go back there is: Is it reasonable? Of course you have to use your common sense. 15 When he goes on February 16 to Marwan El-Hindi's 07:13:29 house, in that conversation you can hear Wassim Mazloum say when -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  they describe where they're going, That's the brother's place, -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  but are we also going to train? But he knows. This is not a completely new concept to him. He knows they're going to a brother's place. He knows they're going to Marwan El-Hindi's place. He knows that. It's not completely out of the blue. -08:-39:-30 **22** He also says in another conversation, Oh, Mohammad 07:14:02 **23** Amawi insisted we eat together. So he had had a conversation 24 earlier that day with Mohammad Amawi about where they were going

and likely what they were doing.

And again, this comes off of the November 17 -08:-39:-30 conversation. It does not take place in a vacuum. Wassim 07:14:12 agrees to go over. He doesn't say: Forget it; get me out of 07:14:20 here; I want no part of this; I saw enough on November 17; 07:14:24 Mohammad Amawi, you said some things that are frightening to me; -08:-39:-30 I'm not down for this; I don't want any part of this. He shows 07:14:31 up, and he -- again, I can only ask you to watch these in this -08:-39:-30 case, there's a video also, watch and listen to these -08:-39:-30 recordings. Wassim Mazloum is an eager participant in all of 07:14:42 this. He is not -- people are quiet at different times. Marwan El-Hindi does get up here and that, but they are all -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  there. And "riveted" may not be the best word, but it certainly -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  is a word that applies. They are watching Darren Griffin, and 14 they are interacting with Darren Griffin. The notion that one -08:-39:-30 **15** guy's asleep and another guy's arm wrestling and another guy's playing tiddlywinks is just not accurate. It's not what that 17 video shows. I think we'll play a little bit of the beginning of 07:15:27 that meeting on November 17 where Darren Griffin lays it all out, I'll play it a little later when we go through Marwan, to decide whether he was there to hear enough of this or not. But -08:-39:-30 **22** the fact is Wassim Mazloum also was there for enough of that. 23 Keep in mind, after all of that, after all of that, what do they 24 do? They retire to another room in Marwan El-Hindi's house, and they go watch a video of ambushing troops and killing other

or:17:10 og? He doesn't say anything about training in Lebanon. He
oliving does mention the al-Sham countries. But remember, the al-Sham
countries include Israel and a number of other places. He
says: Mainly Iraq. Again, what is reasonable here? What
oliving does your common sense tell you? Why on earth would he say that
if that's not what he wanted? Why would he say that? If he's
so worried about discretion, if he's so worried about saying
something which could cause a problem, and he is over and over
again, why does he actually put it all out there? He's now in

**25** the privacy of a home where he trusts the other people.

trusts the fact that Mohammad Amawi brought him to Darren 07:17:56 Griffin. He now trusts the fact that Darren Griffin and 07:17:58 Mohammad Amawi have brought him to Marwan El-Hindi's. And when 07:18:00 asked, when an unambiguous question is asked of him, he gives a 07:18:04 fairly unambiguous answer: Mainly Iraq. -08:-39:-30 -08:-39:-30 There's only one reason to go to Iraq under the circumstances. And the rest of the case shows you that, and -08:-39:-30 all the videos show you that, and all the interaction between 07:18:20 the defendants show you that. It's not to give out flowers. 07:18:23 It's to attack our troops. That's why you have to learn IEDs and sniping. 07:18:40 And he doesn't stop there. That's not the last time Wassim Mazloum follows up on and asks about Iraq. You have a number of other examples over a long period of time. It may be spaced out, but it also shows you over an incredibly long period of time under the circumstances this man remains interested not in Lebanon, not self-defense, but the Mujahidin in Iraa. That's what he's interested in. 19 Again, you have to put these conversations in 07:19:17 context. A number of the defense attorneys have referred to some of these conversations as political conversations. -08:-39:-30 **22** submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, these are not political 23 conversations. Political conversations do not involve killing -08:-39:-30 **24** other people, watching videos over and over again of the murder

of United States troops, trying to learn tactics, trying to

- -08:-39:-30 **1** learn training, asking for particular kinds of training. Those
- $\mathbf{2}$  are not political conversations. When you're asking, Where do
- 07:19:56  $oldsymbol{3}$  you want to go, and you say, Mainly Iraq, that's not political
- -08:-39:-30 **4** conversation.
- 07:20:03 **5** It's also not hypothetical. Something else Mr.
- -08:-39:-30 **6** Helmick says, hypothetical questions. Wassim Mazloum follows
- -08:-39:-30 up on the connections of Mohammad Amawi and Darren Griffin in
- -08:-39:-30 **8** Iraq. It wasn't hypothetical that they went overseas. They
- -08:-39:-30 **9** went. He heard Mohammad Amawi talking about his contact in
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{10}$  Syria. Remember what he said that day? He pipes in and says:
- 07:20:32 11 We need to stay in contact. There's another example of him
- 07:20:35 **12** being interested in him staying in contact with the mujahidin.
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  We need to stay in contact with them. That was Wassim that
- -08:-39:-30 14 said that; it wasn't Darren Griffin that suggested it.
- "There's not an agreement; they're testing the
- -08:-39:-30 16 boundaries." That statement from Mr. Helmick, ladies and
- -08:-39:-30 17 gentlemen, I submit to you, of course there's an agreement here.
- -08:-39:-30 18 It's on a number of recordings. Certainly by February 16 there
- -08:-39:-30 **19** is an agreement.
- 77:21:13 **20** This whole Lebanon thing, again, ladies and
- -08:-39:-30 21 gentlemen, it does not make sense. Common sense indicates that
- -08:-39:-30 **22** it is not a reasonable -- it's not even a reasonable doubt.
- -08:-39:-30 **23** When Wassim Mazloum talks about what's going to happen in
- -08:-39:-30 **24** Lebanon, he always is couching it in terms of what he can get
- -08:-39:-30 25 out of that training for Jihad, not the other way around. This

is a critically important point. Every time they talk about -08:-39:-30 **2** Lebanon, he says: I could learn techniques there; I can get -08:-39:-30 **3** contacts over there; I can find other brothers there. 07:21:46 4 what he says about training in Lebanon. He doesn't say: I -08:-39:-30 need to learn this so I can go back to Lebanon and defend 07:21:55 myself, or so that I can get a head start on my training there. -08:-39:-30 In fact, ladies and gentlemen, some of the evidence in this case -08:-39:-30 indicates he wasn't really ever going back to Lebanon. 07:22:05 certainly was planning on being a citizen, and he says on one of 07:22:08 -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{10}$  the recordings: I can't go back to Lebanon for any significant -08:-39:-30 **11** time while I'm still applying for my citizenship. So it's not -08:-39:-30 **12** at all clear that he was headed back to Lebanon at all.

But what he says on these recordings is that he
wants to use that training to assist in the kinds of things that
he wants jihad training for. Again: contacts, techniques. He
talks about they teach you how to blow up tanks. You don't
have to blow up tanks to defend yourself in your house.

-08:-39:-30 **13** he was keeping that option open.

Cas	se: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 137 of 228. PageID #: 10811
	6899
-08:-39:-30	have to judge by other kinds of circumstantial evidence. Here
-08:-39:-30 <b>2</b>	you have a lot more than that. But it certainly doesn't
-08:-39:-30 <b>3</b>	require everyone to stand up, raise their right hand and say: I
-08:-39:-30 4	today promise to be a mujahidin and kill U.S. troops. That
07:23:31 <b>5</b>	doesn't make common sense. You should not expect that. It's
-08:-39:-30 6	not what life is about, and it's not reasonable.
07:23:41	April 13 they talk about wanting to go to the
-08:-39:-30	shooting range. But again, Wassim Mazloum wants other things,
07:23:52	too. And Counsel is asking you to find that the purpose of all
07:23:59 10	of this was just to learn how to shoot. That is completely
07:24:05 11	belied by some of the recordings.
-08:-39:-30 12	I think, I may be wrong, let's try 48-691853A-5; it
-08:-39:-30 13	could also be -7.
07:24:40 14	(Video is played.)
07:25:04 15	MR. SOFER: Again, not self-defense. He doesn't
07:25:08 16	just want to shoot. In fact, I think in the first summation we
-08:-39:-30 17	laid out a whole series where shooting wasn't good enough for
-08:-39:-30 18	these guys. Maybe part of the reason that Wassim Mazloum
07:25:19 19	really wasn't contacting Darren Griffin so much was he was ready
-08:-39:-30 <b>20</b>	to move on to the next phase, and Darren Griffin was not going
-08:-39:-30 <b>21</b>	to give him the next phase. As you know, he was not going to
-08:-39:-30 <b>22</b>	give him the next phase. Let's play a little more.
07:25:34 <b>23</b>	(Video is played.)
07:26:03 <b>24</b>	MR. SOFER: Again, right there that's the end of
-08:-39:-30 <b>25</b>	any reasonable doubt about whether, in fact, Wassim Mazloum only
137 of 228 sheets	Page 6899 to 6899 of 6990 09/11/2008 11:26:26 AM

wanted to train to shoot and only wanted to train to defend his 07:26:13 That's it. They're his words. We talked about this -08:-39:-30 over and over again. The fact Darren Griffin -- there's no 07:26:22 doubt that the defense attorneys want to attack Darren Griffin; -08:-39:-30 they have attacked Darren Griffin. It shouldn't surprise any 07:26:32 of us that they would attack Darren Griffin. And it's because -08:-39:-30 Darren Griffin carries around a little recording device, and the 07:26:38 recording device has delivered to you the most devastating -08:-39:-30 evidence that there is in this case, and that's the defendant's -08:-39:-30 own words. 07:26:55 Again, on this particular day where this was 12 recorded inside of Darren Griffin's house, there's no English 07:26:58 comprehension problem, and you'll never have a real English comprehension problem if you listen to these tapes. What's happening is Mohammad Amawi is doing what he has said he was going to do, which is learn how to teach others in Arabic, teach  $_{\text{-08:-39:-30}}$  17 others how to conduct various different tactics, and one of them -08:-39:-30 18 is shooting. And he actually does that right in front of the camera with Wassim Mazloum. And Wassim Mazloum knows what's going on. I don't think there's any question he -- if you watch this video, you'll see he gets it. 22 Also there's a mention of the Astrolite. 07:27:38 o7:27:42 23 again, I direct your attention to the fact when they discuss the

presumably hasn't heard about at all, he seems to know something

-08:-39:-30 **24** Syrian contact and this other thing, which Wassim Mazloum

Ca	ase: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 139 of 228. PageID #: 10813 6901
-08:-39:-30	about already. And what he says is: We need to stay in
-08:-39:-30	contact with that guy.
07:28:04	Here's a little bit of context again for the notion
-08:-39:-30	that he's training to go to Lebanon. 48-69185-7A.
07:29:05	(Video is played.)
07:29:06	MR. SOFER: Wassim Mazloum is not afraid of people
07:29:10	bothering him in Lebanon. They don't have the same fear they
-08:-39:-30	do here. They can run around and do whatever they want, at
-08:-39:-30 <b>C</b>	least that's what's implied here. And what's important about
07:29:20	this conversation is what he says is I can get "I can collect
-08:-39:-30	a couple guys there." Again, using the training in Lebanon,
-08:-39:-30 12	his time in Lebanon for jihad, not the other way around.
-08:-39:-30 13	4/29 we'll skip to. They go to Cleland's. And I
07:29:44	talked a little bit about the 4/20 shooting and the fact that,
07:29:49	again, they use their real I.D. It was near a police station.
-08:-39:-30 <b>16</b>	All these things that they said they're afraid of apparently had
07:29:59	evaporated since then.
07:30:00	I submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, like Mr.
07:30:02	Helmick said, so long as they don't talk purpose, so long as
-08:-39:-30 <b>20</b>	they don't talk tactic, so long as they don't talk at all, which
07:30:09 <b>21</b>	is what Wassim Mazloum suggests, they're in the clear. The best
07:30:15	example of how ridiculous this argument is is when they have a
-08:-39:-30 <b>23</b>	discussion in the back of the car. The fact that somebody,
07:30:21 <b>24</b>	Bilal, Wassim Mazloum's brother, accidently told Mohammad
07:30:24 <b>25</b>	Amawi's own brother that they were going shooting. It goes  Page 6901 to 6901 of 6990 09/11/2008 11:26:26 AM

back to, again, it's not a question of discretion. They are -08:-39:-30 2 very -- in fact, I believe Mohammad Amawi said the whole thing 07:30:33 3 is based on security. Not the whole thing is based on VIP -08:-39:-30 4 Security, not the whole thing is based on security guard -08:-39:-30 5 training. It's based on security. Security being we can't -08:-39:-30 tell anybody what we're doing. And we certainly can't tell 07:30:46 anybody what the purpose is. Mohammad Amawi says: You -08:-39:-30 shouldn't have told my brother; just tell him we're going 07:30:55 playing paintball. Again, paintball as a game; paintball for 07:30:58 -08:-39:-30 **10** fun. In one of these conversations that was played by 07:31:03 -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  Mr. Helmick, Bilal Mazloum is talking about the fact that just -08:-39:-30 13 tell people, just -- if anyone ever asks, just tell them we're 14 doing it for fun. These statements every so often, it comes -08:-39:-30 **15** from all the defendants at some point. Just like any other -08:-39:-30 **16** group of conspiring people would do, they're getting ready their -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  defense: What do you say to the outside world? What do you say -08:-39:-30 18 if you get caught? Tell them it's just for fun. We're just **19** protecting ourselves. We're not doing this to hurt anyone. -08:-39:-30 f 20 We're not actually bad people. We're just doing this to protect -08:-39:-30 **21** ourselves. We're doing it for fun. Put that in your heart, 07:31:41 **22** is what I think he says. Put that in your heart. Put that in -08:-39:-30 **23** your head. That's the way you'll be able to explain it.

family and friends come, including his friends that he shares

-08:-39:-30 **24** fact, that's exactly what Wassim Mazloum does. That's why his

secrets with, they don't know anything about what he's really 07:31:55 They don't know anything about ambushes or that other doing. -08:-39:-30 Of course he didn't tell them. He said he was doing 07:32:01 it just for fun, or for self defense. Either way, it's the -08:-39:-30 purpose that's disguised. Actually, I think I played the part -08:-39:-30 already that has they were just doing it for fun. 07:32:17 Let's play 57 69185-11A-2, please. This is the 07:32:26 conversation I was just referring to. -08:-39:-30 (Video is played.) 07:32:51 07:33:12 MR. SOFER: Again, an Arabic conversation between Wassim Mazloum and his brother. No discussion of self-defense -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  there. It's blowing up tanks. This was part of the same 13 conversation, part of the same conversation that Mr. Helmick played for you. If you have any doubts again, I urge you to play bigger chunks of these things so you can see the context in which these conversations take place. And again, in this same 17 conversation, it's Bilal Mazloum; put it in your heart that what  $_{\text{-08:-39:-30}}$  18 you're doing is protecting ourselves. We're just doing it for fun. And more than one defendant takes that part ultimately . Same concept when Wassim Mazloum says on May 2, he now hears that Mohammad Amawi thinks he's being followed. And 07:34:18 **22** what does he say to Darren Griffin? Well, you know, he -08:-39:-30  ${f 23}$  shouldn't be worried; we're not doing anything wrong. It's the -08:-39:-30 **24** same concept. They're preparing for the time when somebody

able to have an excuse for this. You hear this over and over -08:-39:-30 and over again in the recordings. They are preparing their -08:-39:-30 defense. They are preparing the way that they're going to 07:34:41 explain that they're hiding, that they have hidden their -08:-39:-30 purpose, their true purpose. -08:-39:-30 Mr. Helmick says that Mr. Mazloum went out and 07:34:52 bought a paintball set, and it was mothballed. It wasn't 07:34:56 mothballed. I believe, if you take a look at it -- I could be 07:35:00 wrong about this; I haven't looked at it recently -- it's missing a piece. And I believe the piece that it's missing is the canister. That may be true for Mohammad Amawi instead of 12 Wassim Mazloum. One of them, they're missing the gas canister  $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$  f 13 that powers the actual paintballs coming out. You have to take -08:-39:-30 **14** that out to get it filled up first before you can go. So one  $_{\text{-08:-39:-30}}$  15 or more of them had taken at least that step to get the paintball equipment ready to go. Again, paintballing is not a -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  crime. Clowning around, shooting each other with little balls -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  of paint, it's not a crime. It's the purpose. And Darren -08:-39:-30 **19** Griffin talks about the purpose all the time. -08:-39:-30 **20** In order to learn tactics, you have to have multiple people. And again, there are numerous conversations o7:35:46 **22** between Wassim Mazloum, Mohammad Amawi, about when you're out -08:-39:-30  ${f 23}$  there, you can just say you're having fun. It's just a game. -08:-39:-30 **24** You don't talk about the tactics. Again, it's the purpose

that's being hidden.

	Cas	e: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 143 of 228. PageID #: 10817 6905
07:36:01	1	Wassim Mazloum.
07:36:09	2	I'm going to skip close to the end of the timeline
07:36:13	3	at least, September 29, 2005. Again, I'm going to ask you to
-08:-39:-30	4	play 103-69185-2A. A lot has happened by now, but what is it
-08:-39:-30	5	that Wassim Mazloum is interested in?
07:37:05	6	(Audio is played.)
07:37:06	7	MR. SOFER: Again, we went over this the first
-08:-39:-30	8	time I spoke to you, I think. But Darren doesn't get it.
07:37:14	9	Darren Griffin, the evil genius, he doesn't get actually what
07:37:17	10	Wassim Mazloum is talking to him about. He thinks he's talking
-08:-39:-30	11	about a legitimate car business. It's Wassim that fixes this.
-08:-39:-30	12	Wassim explains: No, no, no; I'm not looking to move cars; I'm
-08:-39:-30	13	talking about the mujahidin over in Iraq. And this is now a
-08:-39:-30	14	long time into this relationship. Again, it shows a
07:37:39	15	consistent, long-term interest, not in self-defense, but the
07:37:45	16	mujahidin in Iraq.
07:37:48	17	And we should not forget who those people are,
-08:-39:-30	18	ladies and gentlemen. You've seen lots of examples, and it's
07:37:54	19	easy to forget this as we sit here in this courtroom in Toledo,
07:37:58	20	Ohio. But these are the people who are killing our troops in
-08:-39:-30	21	Iraq. And whether you agree with the war in Iraq doesn't
-08:-39:-30	22	matter, and whether they agree with the war in Iraq doesn't
07:38:09	23	matter. What matters is that these people were targeting our
07:38:14	24	men and women in uniform to murder them.
07:38:20	25	You can end that one.

Page 6905 to 6905 of 6990

09/11/2008 11:26:26 AM

143 of 228 sheets

There was testimony that while in Jordan, Darren 07:38:25 received a phone call from Wassim Mazloum. It's true, I don't 07:38:30 believe the phone records, per se, show that. But ask -08:-39:-30 yourselves: Why on Earth would he make this up? And if he was -08:-39:-30 going to make something up like this, why not, if he's the evil -08:-39:-30 genius that he keeps getting referred to as, as the master 07:38:47 manipulator, the Jedi mind trick pioneer, why did he just say he 07:38:51 received a phone call? Why didn't he say: I talked to Wassim -08:-39:-30 Mazloum; he called from a pay phone; I couldn't tell where the 07:39:01 number was. When are you guys going to hook up with the Mujahidin? I'll ship some weapons to them. He didn't say 12 anything like that because it's not true. But what it doesn't -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  show you is Darren Griffin editorializing, adding facts, doing -08:-39:-30 **14** whatever he can to sort of bring everybody into a conspiracy and -08:-39:-30 **15** build some sort of giant case. -08:-39:-30 **16** January 30, Darren Griffin showing up again, and has a discussion again with Wassim Mazloum, and once again Wassim Mazloum is asking about the mujahidin in Iraq. 19 Shouldn't be surprising. If he's not interested, if he's so interested in this discretion, why does he keep asking these questions? And they're very specific, pointed questions about -08:-39:-30 **22** the mujahidin in Iraq. Not about Lebanon, not about -08:-39:-30 **23** self-defense. Why even ask? It doesn't make any sense. lf -08:-39:-30 **24** he's not a coconspirator; he hasn't agreed to what was agreed to on February 16 and after, what was agreed to on November 17 of

Case: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 145 of 228. PageID #: 10819 6907 '04 and afterwards, why does he keep asking? There's no answer 07:40:14 2 for that question because there is no answer other than that's 07:40:18 3 what he agreed to. That's what he's interested in. That's -08:-39:-30 4 what his intent is. It's not reasonable to conclude anything 07:40:25 **5** else. -08:-39:-30 Wassim Mazloum could have traveled to the Middle 07:40:35 East anytime he wanted. Well, you heard him say he couldn't -08:-39:-30 travel to the Middle East anytime he wanted because he was in -08:-39:-30 the process of trying to become an American citizen, and if he 07:40:43 spent too much time outside of the country, he might derail that -08:-39:-30 opportunity. -08:-39:-30 **12** Not only that, ladies and gentlemen, but a lot of  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  f 13 the defense attorneys talked about this. They talked about it -08:-39:-30 **14** over and over again. The charge would be different if these -08:-39:-30  ${f 15}$  men had gotten on an airplane or a boat or a combination of other transportation, made their way over to Iraq, and fired 17 shots, set IEDs, or ambushed our troops. That would be a different charge. What they're charged with here is -08:-39:-30 19 conspiring. -08:-39:-30 **20** And again, as I suggested to you the last time I talked to you, there's a reason we have conspiracy statutes. -08:-39:-30 f 22 That's so we don't have to wait until people go and actually  $_{-08:-39:-30}$   $\mathbf{23}$  fire shots and set IEDs and ambush our troops. That's the

Now, in Mr. Mazloum's case, Mr. Helmick concedes

-08:-39:-30 **24** purpose behind the conspiracy statute.

- $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$  1 that Wassim Mazloum had an agreement to train. And that's a
- -08:-39:-30  $\,\,{f 2}\,$  huge concession. He did have an agreement to train. Again,
- $\mathbf{3}$  it's the purpose that you're being asked to consider here.
- <sup>07:41:58</sup> **4** That's where there's some dispute. And all of the evidence
- -08:-39:-30 **5** together shows you what that purpose is.
- 07:42:13 6 Mr. Helmick says that Wassim Mazloum was a working
- -08:-39:-30 guy, and I think the evidence shows that he was working,
- -08:-39:-30 **8** although you'll notice he was more than willing to take a day
- -08:-39:-30 **9** off of work to train with Darren Griffin for Jihad.
- 10 If his intent is benign, then everything he did is
- -08:-39:-30 11 legal, is what Mr. Helmick said. Well, the government submits
- $_{
  m 07:42:47}$  12 to you, ladies and gentlemen, clearly his intent was not benign,
- o7:42:51 13 and then everything he did was illegal, and it was in
- -08:-39:-30 **14** furtherance of this conspiracy.
- 07:43:02 **15** And finally, ladies and gentlemen, defense counsel
- -08:-39:-30 16 said, it's not right -- not the right thing to do to
- $_{-08:-39:-30}$  17 cherry-pick. And again, the government agrees with that.
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  Please go back and look at all the rest of this evidence. If
- -08:-39:-30 19 something reasonably supports two conclusions, then you are to
- -08:-39:-30 f 20 find a defendant not guilty. But what they are asking you to
- -08:-39:-30 **21** do is not reasonable. And again, that is the key here. It's
- -08:-39:-30 **22** not reasonable; it doesn't make common sense because it's not
- 07:43:34 **23** accurate, it's not true. This was not a man training to return
- 07:43:38 **24** to Lebanon. The evidence does not support that.
- 07:43:43 **25** Let me turn my attention now to Mr. Hartman's

	Case: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 147 of 228. PageID #: 10821 6909
-08:-39:-30	1 summation on behalf of Marwan El-Hindi.
07:43:49	2 Judge, I don't know, when did you want to take a
	3 break? I could take one now, or I could take one later.
-08:-39:-30	THE COURT: It's up to you.
07:44:02	MR. SOFER: Five minutes now would be fine.
07:44:11	(Recess taken.)
-08:-39:-30	7 (Whereupon the following discussion was had at the
-08:-39:-30	<b>8</b> bench outside the hearing of the jury:)
-08:-39:-30	9 MR. BRYAN: Just briefly I just want to note for
-08:-39:-30	10 the record that Mr. Sofer's rebuttal to Mr. Mazloum's case went
-08:-39:-30	1 almost an hour. And I don't know how long he's going to take
-08:-39:-30	12 to finish his rebuttal argument, but I want to put on the record
-08:-39:-30	13 that we acknowledge that Your Honor gave us unlimited time for
-08:-39:-30	4 closing argument, but there is sort of a proportionality notion
-08:-39:-30	15 that should be in fair play here. The government took nine
-08:-39:-30	6 hours in their closing in their opening close. The defense
-08:-39:-30	7 collectively took about eight hours on all three defendants.
-08:-39:-30	Now the government has already spent one hour in rebuttal.
-08:-39:-30	19 That was of an argument that only lasted
-08:-39:-30	THE COURT: Well, we're going to stay here until it
-08:-39:-30	21 gets done.
-08:-39:-30	MR. BRYAN: I would object if he's going to spend
-08:-39:-30	that amount of time.
-08:-39:-30	THE COURT: I can't change the rules in midstream.
-08:-39:-30	MR. SOFER: I'm sorry it's taking long.
147 of 228 shee	3 9 9

Cas	se: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 148 of 228. PageID #: 10822
_	6910
-08:-39:-30	(End of side-bar discussion.)
07:58:03	(Jury in.)
07:58:08	THE COURT: You may continue.
07:58:10	MR. SOFER: Ladies and gentlemen, before you go
07:58:12 5	back and deliberate on Wassim Mazloum, I just ask you again to
-08:-39:-30 <b>6</b>	focus your attention on one particular recorded conversation.
-08:-39:-30 7	And again, the government wants you to consider all the
07:58:29	evidence, but here's something that you can focus your attention
-08:-39:-30	on. This is very fast. It's a minute long. It's
07:58:37 10	57-69185-11A-3.
08:00:16 11	(Video is played.)
08:00:24 12	MR. SOFER: Now let's turn to Marwan El-Hindi and
08:00:28 13	Mr. Hartman's summation. This was a longer summation. They
-08:-39:-30 14	get increasingly longer. I'm going to try to increase my pace
-08:-39:-30 15	so that we're not here until too late into the evening. I want
-08:-39:-30 16	to start with this notion that Mr. Hartman, probably more than
08:00:49 17	the other defense attorneys, has attacked Darren Griffin. And
08:00:53 18	as I have said to you before, Darren Griffin is by far not a
08:00:57 19	perfect person, and the government is not submitting that he is
-08:-39:-30 <b>20</b>	not without some significant faults. But, ladies and
-08:-39:-30 <b>21</b>	gentlemen, you don't have to trust Darren Griffin in this case,
08:01:10 <b>22</b>	even though the evidence may suggest that you should. What you
08:01:14 23	can trust is the recordings he made. And no matter how many
-08:-39:-30 <b>24</b>	times Mr. Hartman says it, no matter whether he screams it or
08:01:24 <b>25</b>	whispers it, the fact of the matter is the evidence shows that

Darren Griffin was not capable of making these guys say what 08:01:28 they said. Not over the hours and hours, days and days, and -08:-39:-30 months and months of his interaction. He's not smart enough, 08:01:40 and nobody has those kinds of skills. And as I said before, he -08:-39:-30 is not capable of using the Jedi mind trick. These men said -08:-39:-30 what they said on their own, and that's what the evidence shows. -08:-39:-30 All of the evidence. -08:-39:-30 8 Not only that, but there are numerous occasions, I -08:-39:-30 won't go into them all now, when Darren Griffin is the one who 08:02:07 interrupts a defendant to say something that ultimately is helpful or has been helpful to one of the defense attorneys' 08:02:18 **12** arguments. There's a number of examples of that. One of the -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  prime ones actually occurs with Marwan El-Hindi when Marwan El-Hindi is talking about Zubair and Khaleel and saying 15 something about them, and Darren Griffin says, Oh, for -- he's -08:-39:-30 **16** the one who says, You're protecting them; or, You're doing -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  something for them, for their families. He said that. And again, if you use your common sense and your reason, that 19 completely undermines everything he said about Darren Griffin's ability to manipulate every conversation, get these guys to say only incriminating things, trick them into saying nasty things. That's just not what the evidence shows. As I said, Darren -08:-39:-30 **23** Griffin isn't that bright, and he doesn't have some sort of **24** extrasensory skill in order to do that.

He has to attack Darren Griffin, absolutely has to

attack Darren Griffin because if he doesn't, and you actually -08:-39:-30 listen to these recordings, and that's all you listen to for all -08:-39:-30 of these defendants, and you pay attention to what the testimony -08:-39:-30 shows, then you'll find them all guilty of every charge. 08:03:23 course they're looking to make this a case about Darren Griffin. 08:03:28 And there's plenty to say about Darren Griffin. The government -08:-39:-30 doesn't dispute that. But it doesn't make him the liar that 08:03:36 he's been made out to be, and it doesn't make him capable of -08:-39:-30 doing the very thing that they have to rely upon for you to -08:-39:-30 **10** question those recordings. He talked about there's been a lot of talk about 08:03:53 -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  this, that the government here has raised the spectre of terrorism so that you are afraid, or that there's some sort of -08:-39:-30 **14** anti-Muslim bias. And that also, ladies and gentlemen, that is 15 not what this evidence shows. The government does not want you -08:-39:-30 **16** to use prejudice or fear in any way in your deliberations. 17 We're asking you to focus on the evidence. He wants you to be -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  afraid of this big government that somehow is a threat to the 19 American way. And that's not what this is, ladies and gentlemen. The tapes don't support that; the witnesses that have come in here don't support this. The testimony of the FBI 08:04:37 **22** agents that you heard does not support that. Government wants -08:-39:-30  ${f 23}$  you to decide this case on the evidence. All of the evidence, -08:-39:-30 **24** only the evidence; not fear, not prejudice, and not sympathy. He talked about the fact that there were names

mentioned like the scary names, scary Arabic names I assume is 08:04:54 what he was talking about: Abu Masada Al Zarqawi and Auyman Al -08:-39:-30 Zawahiri and Osama Bin Laden. You've learned who those people 08:05:05 Those are in some ways the top three leaders of Al-Qaeda. -08:-39:-30 One of them is dead now. We didn't introduce this, ladies and -08:-39:-30 gentlemen, to scare you. But, of course, it makes a difference -08:-39:-30 when these men empathize, sympathize, look up to, look for 08:05:24 guidance from these individuals. Why is that? It shows you -08:-39:-30 what's in their heart, and it shows you what's in their minds. -08:-39:-30 Yes, you can get information from CNN, but that's not where a lot of the information in this case came from. There's a difference. There's a difference from getting 08:05:46 information from CNN, Al-Jazeera, and getting it from Al-Qaeda, which is who produced some of these videos, or getting it from the websites that have the number one and number two leaders of Al-Qaeda. Again, not to scare you or make you think those guys -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  are bad, so these guys are bad. That's not what we're asking -08:-39:-30 **18** you, ladies and gentlemen. Don't decide the case on that. Decide it because it shows you what is in their hearts and their minds. 08:06:19 There's a lot of talk about Muslim bias, and you 08:06:20 22 heard about a number -- it's offensive in some ways to all of -08:-39:-30 **23** those other Muslims to think that somehow every Muslim must -08:-39:-30 **24** think, feel, and act the way that these men did. In fact, you

know that that's not true because you saw multiple examples of

09/11/2008 11: 26: 26 AM Page 6914 to 6914 of 6990 152 of 228 sheets

**24** most ambiguous statement of what's going on in this case.

(Video is played.)

08:08:41

There's the empty couch. MR. SOFER: But it's 08:08:48 2 not empty, ladies and gentlemen. There's also discussion that -08:-39:-30 Marwan El-Hindi, he's trying to live the American dream, and -08:-39:-30 he's trying to raise his children the best he can. I suggest -08:-39:-30 to you this picture shows that neither of those things is true. -08:-39:-30 His American dream is a nightmare, ladies and gentlemen. 08:09:19 this is no way to raise children. -08:-39:-30 8 (Video is played.) 08:09:35 MR. SOFER: We can stop it now. If you have any -08:-39:-30 question about what was said, please play it again. 08:09:40 11 Mr. Hartman said on June 23, 2004, that Marwan 08:09:43 El-Hindi called Darren Griffin out of the blue, or that I had 08:09:52 argued that Marwan El-Hindi had called out of the blue. Frankly, I don't remember what I said, but the important part is not whether he called him out of the blue or not. He may have -08:-39:-30 **16** called him out of the blue; he may not. He may have known -- he -08:-39:-30 **17** may have been returning 46 calls from Darren Griffin. -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  not what matters. What matters is that out of the blue Marwan El-Hindi mentions two people that need training and that have a lot of energy. Again, if you have any questions about that, play that whole recording again, or ask to have it played. That -08:-39:-30 **22** is mentioned out of the blue. It's Marwan that brings it up. -08:-39:-30 **23** That's not the only time Marwan El-Hindi mentions -08:-39:-30 **24** things out of the blue. He mentions snipers out of the blue. He mentions a number of things out of the blue, things that he's

doing on his own, at least inside his head. It's not Darren 08:10:44 Griffin somehow sending brain waves to him to somehow get him to -08:-39:-30 say certain things. -08:-39:-30 A lot of discussion about how different Marwan 08:10:56 El-Hindi is from the rest of us. Marwan El-Hindi is no 08:10:59 different than any of us. The fact that he has a long beard 08:11:02 doesn't make him different than any of us. The fact that he 08:11:05 has darker skin doesn't make him different than any of us. -08:-39:-30 He's a lot like us. He's a United States citizen. He's been living in this country for almost two decades. There's nothing foreign and strange that you have to understand with the Google **12** maps and the geopolitical lessons to decide this case.  $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$  f 13 already have the common sense and reason from your own life experiences to be able to decide whether these men broke the Don't replace your common sense with some different perspective that's spoon fed to you by the defense attorneys. If it weren't for Darren Griffin, none of this -08:-39:-30 **18** would have happened. Not true. Not accurate. -08:-39:-30 **19** El-Hindi had already met Zubair and Khaleel. He called Darren Griffin, but he could have called somebody else. And we should be happy he did call Darren Griffin and not somebody else. And -08:-39:-30 **22** perhaps more importantly than that, ladies and gentlemen, it is 08:12:22 **23** not a defense in this case that Darren Griffin brought people

-08:-39:-30 **24** together. Now, you can look through the Judge's charge.

together. Yes, they have to have intent; yes, they have to 08:12:36 2 voluntarily have entered into an agreement. But the fact that -08:-39:-30 **3** someone else, even a government agent, brought them together -08:-39:-30 it's not a defense. And it's not a dirty or underhanded thing 08:12:47 that the government did here. The government does this; police -08:-39:-30 do this all the time. Law enforcement do this all the time. -08:-39:-30 It's part of what the police and law enforcement do. -08:-39:-30 don't make people do things. Darren Griffin never made any of -08:-39:-30 these men do anything. They were enthusiastic; they -08:-39:-30 10 volunteered to do these things. But it's a perfectly legitimate thing that Darren Griffin was doing. And your -08:-39:-30 **12** verdict should not be "I like that" or "I don't like that". -08:-39:-30 13 That's not what this is about. -08:-39:-30 14 The question is: Did they violate these laws? The government submits you should like it; what he was doing was looking for threat, and he found some. But even if you don't 08:13:33 like it, that's not what you're being asked to decide here. 18 Mr. Hartman would like you to decide the case based on things 19 like that that are not part of the actual statutes. But you're being asked to apply the facts to the law as the Judge has read it to you. And you won't see anything in the law about whether 08:13:57 **22** or not Darren Griffin could have brought people together. -08:-39:-30 **23** submit to you he didn't bring all these people together, but 08:14:03 **24** even if he had, there's nothing unseemly about that.

Mr. Hartman said it was an unexpected drop by

Mohammad Amawi's house on February 2 with Marwan El-Hindi. -08:-39:-30 I guess he ended up there at Mohammad Amawi's house unbeknownst 08:14:27 to him. He walks in; he hears talk about drinking blood, -08:-39:-30 martyrdom. And does he say: Get me out of here? No. 08:14:39 invites Mohammad Amawi over to his house. And what does he say 08:14:43 when he first walks in? He says something in Arabic about, do -08:-39:-30 they have the one? They go right to the computer. Do they have -08:-39:-30 the ones on manufacturing? He's talking about the bomb vest -08:-39:-30 video or some other video or instructional material that talks -08:-39:-30 about making bombs. That's because Marwan El-Hindi, before any meeting with Mohammad Amawi, already knows this stuff. And you 12 know that also from the computer evidence in the case. If you have any questions about that, you can ask for that evidence also. He was doing things independently of Darren Griffin. -08:-39:-30 15 Darren Griffin, again, was not moving Marwan El-Hindi around like a pawn. Marwan El-Hindi had free will.  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  17 He was doing what he wanted. And some of those things included looking on the internet for materials to make bombs. -08:-39:-30 **19** Mohammad Amawi says in this meeting, Marwan El-Hindi's going to be joining us. And again, right there, ladies and gentlemen, you have the agreement. You have a willful joining of an -08:-39:-30 **22** agreement. It gets a lot clearer later on, but it's pretty -08:-39:-30 **23** clear on that day. If you have any questions, please ask to see -08:-39:-30 **24** that or listen to that recording again, too.

He says it was Darren Griffin's idea to form a

- -08:-39:-30 **1** cell. Again, I say to you, ladies and gentlemen, at any time
- -08:-39:-30  $\,\,{f 2}\,$  Marwan El-Hindi could have walked away from this and taken
- $_{08:16:21}$  **3** himself out of this cell.
- 08:16:25 **4** Darren Griffin brought up explosions and explosives
- 08:16:30 **5** to Zubair and Khaleel, not the other way around; he was fishing.
- 08:16:34 **6** Well, how about sniping, countersurveillance, running with a .50
- $_{-08:-39:-30}$  **7** caliber machine gun. Darren Griffin didn't bring those things
- 08:16:47 **8** up with Zubair and Khaleel. They did. It shouldn't surprise
- 08:16:50 9 you. They had just come home from a trip to try to kill our
- $_{-08:-39:-30}$  10 troops. This is what they wanted to do. These are the people
- 08:16:56 **11** Marwan El-Hindi brought to a man who he knew could train them in
- 08:16:59 **12** military tactics, including U.S. military tactics.
- 08:17:05 **13** Call it fishing. It's another way of saying he
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{14}$  was looking for threats. That's what he testified he did, the
- -08:-39:-30  ${f 15}$  FBI told you he was doing. It's what you would want people
- -08:-39:-30 **16** doing. It's what you would want the FBI to be doing. We
- $_{-08:-39:-30}$  17 talked about this notion that Darren Griffin was off on his own
- -08:-39:-30 18 doing things. The FBI had pretty tight control over Darren
- -08:-39:-30 **19** Griffin. He was regularly showing up with these tapes and
- -08:-39:-30 20 giving them to the FBI. He's not some guy going off halfcocked
- 08:17:37 **21** as a rogue agent. He's looking for threats. He's looking for
- -08:-39:-30 **22** threats for the FBI; not for himself, but for the FBI.
- 08:17:50 **23** Why should Marwan El-Hindi run away? It's not his
- 08:17:54 **24** responsibility. Just sitting there does not mean that he
- -08:-39:-30 **25** agreed. I agree with all of that. It's not his

- os:18:00 **1** responsibility to walk away. But he didn't. And again, what
- -08:-39:-30 f 2 does that tell you? It tells you what is in his heart and in
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{3}$  his mind. And then he does things. He doesn't just talk; he
- -08:-39:-30 **4** does things. He gives things to Darren Griffin. He sends
- 08:18:18 **5** things to Darren Griffin. He invites people to his house. He
- 08:18:22 **6** provides them with a meeting place for this. He does things.
- -08:-39:-30 **7** He goes and looks for grants. He meets again with Mohammad
- -08:-39:-30 **8** Amawi. He does things.
- 08:18:42 9 Both Mr. Helmick and Mr. Hartman talked about the
- 08:18:48  $\,$  **10** instruction on conspiracy. I'd just ask you to read that
- -08:-39:-30 **11** instruction carefully. They cherry-picked a piece of it, and
- 08:18:54 12 you should read the rest of it. If we have some time -- I
- -08:-39:-30 **13** doubt we will -- I'll try to go through it.
- -08:-39:-30 **14** He said Marwan El-Hindi was not interested in
- $_{08:19:07}$  15 shooting. Not consistent with the evidence. If you listen to
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{16}$  those tapes, he talks about interested in shooting. He talks
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  about his wife being interested in shooting. He expresses a
- $_{-08:-39:-30}$  18 number of times where he's interested in shooting. He's also
- -08:-39:-30 19 interested in explosives. He's also interested in how thick
- -08:-39:-30 f 20 the armor is in a tank. He's interested in those things.
- -08:-39:-30 **21** That's not an accurate statement.
- 08:19:31 **22** Marwan El-Hindi got interested in land for farming
- -08:-39:-30 **23** and livestock; he had sheep in his house.
- 08:19:36 **24** Let's play 26-69185-3A-1.
- 08:19:57 **25** (Audio is played.)

	ase: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 159 of 228. PageID #: 10833
	6921
08:21:44	MR. SOFER: Again, what this shows, ladies and
-08:-39:-30	gentlemen, is the plan is connected to Marwan El-Hindi's
-08:-39:-30	3 thoughts about snipers. He's now talking about how snipers
-08:-39:-30	4 shoot; they run around in circles, go somewhere else and shoot.
-08:-39:-30	5 You look at the videos they were watching. This is consistent
-08:-39:-30	<b>6</b> with what the mujahidin are doing in Iraq.
08:22:08	7 Ambiguity in training. Ladies and gentlemen, the
-08:-39:-30	<b>g</b> government submits there's no ambiguity about this training.
08:22:16	It's made very clear over and over again that there are two
08:22:19	different kinds of training. There's the training you hide the
-08:-39:-30	jihad training in, then there's the jihad training. And let's
08:22:26	2 play SM-69440-4A-1. And Marwan El-Hindi gets it. In fact, he
08:22:39	3 understands because those are the exact words he uses.
08:22:39	(Audio is played.)
08:22:44	SM-2-69440-4 A-1.
08:23:57	MR. SOFER: I'm trying to skip ahead for speed
-08:-39:-30	<b>7</b> here.
08:24:02	(Audio is played.)
08:24:53	MR. SOFER: Again it's very clear what kind of
-08:-39:-30 <b>2</b> (	training we're talking about. "I understand that's true."
08:24:59 2'	1 Marwan El-Hindi gets it. He's not been tricked. This is not
08:25:04	2 camouflage; this is not something he doesn't understand. It's
-08:-39:-30 <b>2</b>	<b>3</b> been made abundantly clear to him.
-08:-39:-30 <b>2</b> 4	Let's go to February 16. Marwan El-Hindi didn't
-08:-39:-30 <b>2</b>	5 know what this meeting was going to be about. He thought his

- 1 computer was going to get fixed. Well, he set out an awfully
  2 big meal for fixing a computer. And the government would also
  3 submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, when they did go, after
  3 they were finished planning, agreeing, conspiring, they go into
  4 that other room; they go sit on the computer. It didn't need
  5 any fixing; it worked. You know it worked because you can hear
  6 them playing the ambush attack on there and talking about how
- Darren Griffin was right in the middle because he
  made it happen, is what Mr. Hartman said. Darren Griffin could
  never have made this happen without the voluntary participation
  and actions of these defendants. As I've said over and over
  again, all any one of them had to do was say, I'm out of here,
  and it was done.

they're doing it.

08:25:47

- Marwan El-Hindi stopped these boys from going to violent jihad against U.S. forces, referring to Zubair and Khaleel. They're not boys. They're not boys. They're men.

  They're men who just left the country to go kill other men, probably many of whom were younger than they are. Some of those individuals might be mere boys. And what does Marwan El-Hindi do with these two people? He turns them over to Darren Griffin, who he believes can train them correctly.
- Marwan El-Hindi heard enough. He knew what they
  wanted from Darren Griffin, and he knew what Darren Griffin
  would give them. That's a big concession from Mr. Hartman

Са	se: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 161 of 228. PageID #: 10835 6923
1	
	because that's exactly what the government says. He knew what
_	they were about, and he knew what Darren Griffin was going to
08:27:14	give them.
08:27:15	Nothing happened. You've heard that several times
-08:-39:-30 <b>5</b>	from Mr. Hartman. He started this case that way. Made a
08:27:23	number of representations at the beginning of the case: The
08:27:26	evidence didn't ever prove
08:27:31	Conspiracy does not require that they complete the
08:27:34	crime. That's not what you're being asked to consider.
08:27:42 10	Darren Griffin never saw Zubair and Khaleel again,
08:27:49 11	and the reason is Marwan El-Hindi. Marwan El-Hindi told Darren
-08:-39:-30 12	Griffin that he would get them, but never did. And this is
08:28:02 13	beginning to sound a little bit like Mohammad Amawi's defense
-08:-39:-30 14	that he was just faking it, except that you have a conversation
-08:-39:-30 15	here. And I'll explain when we get to Mohammad Amawi how that
-08:-39:-30 16	argument doesn't fly either. But we have a conversation here
08:28:17 17	where Marwan's trying awfully hard to get Khaleel to come back
-08:-39:-30 18	to Toledo for training.
-08:-39:-30 19	We can play that real quick. It's
-08:-39:-30 <b>20</b>	SM-90-66747-10A-1.
08:29:23 <b>21</b>	(Audio is played.)
08:32:06 <b>22</b>	MR. SOFER: Again, remember it was Darren Griffin
-08:-39:-30 <b>23</b>	who injected the line when he was talking to Marwan El-Hindi; he
-08:-39:-30 <b>24</b>	said, And you're trying to help them for your families. Well,
-08:-39:-30 <b>25</b>	you can tell that it was Darren Griffin's line because here's
161 of 228 sheets	Page 6923 to 6923 of 6990 09/11/2008 11:26:26 AM

Marwan El-Hindi trying to help these boys for their family, 08:32:18 telling Khaleel that Zubair's father is full of you know what. 08:32:24 3 Let's keep moving. The point is Marwan El-Hindi is 08:32:29 trying; he's trying to get Khaleel. Eventually they never show 08:32:39 Maybe that nasty word inside of Khaleel's phone or -08:-39:-30 Zubair's phone that refers to Marwan El-Hindi explains it; they -08:-39:-30 didn't have a whole lot of respect for Marwan El-Hindi, and -08:-39:-30 maybe that's why they didn't show up. We don't know. I'm not -08:-39:-30 asking you to speculate on that. Maybe. -08:-39:-30 -08:-39:-30 **10** But the bottom line is Marwan El-Hindi tried to get them here, and he brought them to Darren Griffin for one reason and one reason only. He was trying to help them or their 08:33:08 families? Their families didn't think so. You have the 08:33:13 recording -- we don't have the time -- where Marwan talks about 08:33:16 the fact that, in fact, the family of Zubair thinks that he's dangerous. And he didn't pull that out of thin air either. 08:33:25 The government submits the evidence is there to show why 18 Zubair's family thought Marwan El-Hindi was dangerous. If he -08:-39:-30 19 had just rescued their son from going on jihad, why would they ever say that? Over and over you've heard Mr. Hartman focus on two 08:33:44 **22** conversations; two out of many, many conversations. government submits to you that neither of these conversations 24 presents reasonable doubt in this case in any way, shape or

This first one where he says where Darren Griffin asks:

form.

Are you recruiting for jihad? Marwan El-Hindi says: I'm just -08:-39:-30 trying to take care of them. And he says, then Darren Griffin 08:34:10 inserts that line, "For their families." Then Marwan El-Hindi 08:34:16 4 says: "For now." And again, this goes along -- you've got to -08:-39:-30 5 look at all the evidence to really truly perceive this and -08:-39:-30 understand it, but Zubair and Khaleel talk about a five-year -08:-39:-30 plan; they were rescued from the fields of jihad supposedly by -08:-39:-30 Marwan El-Hindi. It's the same concept that runs throughout 08:34:36 the case: Don't go there, become an extra mouth to feed, get 10 yourself blown up for no good reason. Get the training. They're getting training. Ultimately they know where they want -08:-39:-30 **12** to go. They say it very clearly. 13 The second one that Mr. Hartman continues to refer 08:35:01 14 to, I won't play it for you again. This notion of tell them -08:-39:-30 **15** you're not going to train them for jihad. Tell them you're -08:-39:-30 **16** going to train them, but not for jihad. And you have to look -08:-39:-30 17 at the context of that conversation to understand what it is. 18 It's Marwan El-Hindi protecting Darren Griffin. And he says, "Blah, blah, blah." This is the "blah, blah, blah" conversation. If you look at the conversation in context, you'll see what it means. It is not reasonable to have a doubt 08:35:34 **22** based on that conversation at all. And you have to put it in -08:-39:-30 23 context of all the other conversations and actions in the case. 24 08:35:48 How many times do you have to say no? Marwan El-Hindi never said no. Not once. I sat there and Never.

listened to Mr. Hartman's remarks. He didn't even cite one 08:36:13 scenario where Marwan El-Hindi said no. And as the government 08:36:18 said over and over again, he didn't have to say no, just not -08:-39:-30 pick up the phone. Again, Darren Griffin didn't make these men -08:-39:-30 do anything. -08:-39:-30 I'm going to skip over some things because we're 08:36:42 running very late, and I apologize, ladies and gentlemen. -08:-39:-30 8 Marwan El-Hindi never gave a nickel to the 08:37:00 He certainly tried. He traveled to another state training. -08:-39:-30 to visit Jihad Dahabi. He tried to set up a corporation; the corporation was going to get government grants. I think it's -08:-39:-30 **12** pretty clear from the evidence, take a look at that list of -08:-39:-30 13 grants that they were looking at. We played in summation some -08:-39:-30 **14** of the radically different kinds of grants they were looking -08:-39:-30 **15** for. They were looking to raid the U.S. government grants to 16 divert money. This ESFS grant that happened in 2001, way before -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  the conspiracy, not really relevant except it does tell you one 18 thing; it tells you Marwan El-Hindi knows how to get grants, and it tells you that money was misappropriated once. Marwan El-Hindi was trying to do some good, but he 08:37:59 -08:-39:-30 **21** was still looking to pay himself. That's a very charitable 08:38:09 **22** description of what was going on here, ladies and gentlemen. -08:-39:-30 **23** The government submits if you look at the evidence, all of the -08:-39:-30 **24** evidence, you'll also find that's a very charitable description

Never talked about using this money

of what was going on here.

for terrorism? Sure, he did. On February 16, that -08:-39:-30 **2** conversation happened in context. It wasn't suddenly, oh, -08:-39:-30 **3** grants for fixing something or doing something good. It was in 08:38:33 4 the context of the jihad training, what they had just agreed to -08:-39:-30 That's when they have the conversation about grants you -08:-39:-30 can grab onto. That's what Marwan El-Hindi says. He's an -08:-39:-30 expert on the grants that other people can grab onto. -08:-39:-30 8 The context of that conversation makes it clear. 08:38:53 We're not asking you to cherry-pick. We're asking you to look -08:-39:-30 -08:-39:-30 **10** at everything. And of course there's follow-up. The notion that 08:39:12 12 there's no follow-up after February 16, February 16 was 08:39:16 follow-up. February 16 was follow-up on February 2. And 08:39:20 there were multiple meetings afterwards. And they did go to 08:39:25 see the accountant. The fact that he trashed the paperwork 08:39:30 because after spending two hours with these guys, he knew they 08:39:33 17 were bad news, doesn't mean that he wasn't trying. -08:-39:-30 18 certainly was trying. 19 Also he printed out, that is Marwan El-Hindi 08:39:46 printed out all of this grant information for Darren Griffin. It's information you can now look at. The reason we have it is -08:-39:-30 **22** because Darren Griffin got it from Marwan El-Hindi who printed -08:-39:-30 **23** it out days before it was actually given to him. Again, acting -08:-39:-30 **24** on his own when Darren Griffin wasn't even around. And again,

This man was working by

-08:-39:-30 **25** I point to the computer evidence.

- himself and doing things consistent with this conspiracy when 08:40:11
- Darren Griffin wasn't even around. -08:-39:-30
- 3 Darren Griffin was kept around for business 08:40:35
- purposes? That didn't work out very well, did it? Why keep 08:40:37
- Darren Griffin around for just business? He's risky, given -08:-39:-30
- everything that he's saying, all of his extreme views. There 08:40:47
- had to be other people out there who could have helped Marwan -08:-39:-30
- El-Hindi. He didn't keep him around for business purposes. -08:-39:-30
- He kept him around because he had a unique set of skills, and it -08:-39:-30
- -08:-39:-30 10 wasn't business; it was military training.
- Those unique skills and a proper mindset combined 08:41:08
- -08:-39:-30 **12** gave Marwan El-Hindi what he needed and what the other
- defendants in this case needed.
- Arab men love to talk about politics. So does 08:41:18
- -08:-39:-30 **15** everybody else. This is not -- again, these are human beings.
- 16 We're all human beings. The fact that you come from one end of
- $_{-08:-39:-30}$  17 the world or another, there may be some minor differences, but
- **18** everybody talks about politics. This wasn't politics.
- was about murder. This was about ambushes. This was about
- IEDs. This was about training for jihad, not politics.
- 21 It's not a cultural issue either. You heard about 08:41:52
- 08:41:56 **22** Mikaeil Al-Mozrouei and others from the Mosque, how they got
- -08:-39:-30 **23** away from this stuff after only a little bit of it. Imagine the
- 24 volume of material these men looked at, the amount of time that
- they spent together. It's not a cultural thing to talk about

-08:-39:-30

1 killing American troops. That's an offense to the rest of the -08:-39:-30

2 people that share that culture.

The disturbing part is that it's real, and Marwan

4 El-Hindi had an interest in seeing what's going on. And again,

5 listen to the tapes. Not just an interest. He celebrated it.

7 intent, the willfulness of entering into an agreement.

Some of these attacks they looked at are old.

9 Some of these attacks are one and two years old. There's no news value in that. How many IED attacks do you need to

8:42:58 11 actually see to understand what's going on and to show other

-08:-39:-30 **12** people what's going on? The government submits you don't,

-08:-39:-30 13 certainly not that kind of volume of material. What about the

14 bomb vest video? What's the news flash there? There's no

08:43:17 **15** news there. How about the black powder video? No news, no

<sup>23</sup> **16** culture, no politics. Those are things that have nothing to do

-08:-39:-30 17 with this case, just like those videos have nothing to do with

-08:-39:-30 18 those issues. I submit to you some of that CNN footage you saw

-08:-39:-30 19 is probably used as propaganda material to make our troops look

.08:-39:-30 **20** bad, but even if it's true, it doesn't matter. Most of what

08:43:44 **21** they looked at did not come from CNN; it came from Al-Qaeda.

-08:-39:-30 **22** It came from the Islamic Army of Iraq. It came from

08:43:52 **23** organizations like that. That's what they were interested in

-08:-39:-30 **24** looking at.

-08:-39:-30

-08:-39:-30

What's not there? No gun, no bomb, no plan, no

- -08:-39:-30 1 target. Again, ladies and gentlemen, the charges in this case
- -08:-39:-30 f 2 don't require that kind of specificity, and they don't require
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{3}$  that the defendants have gotten that far towards their goal.
- -08:-39:-30 4 That's not one of the elements you'll see, that they had to make
- $_{08:44:15}$   $\mathbf{5}$  it 80 percent, they were 20 percent there. They had to commit
- -08:-39:-30 **6** one overt act for one of the conspiracies in furtherance of the
- <sup>08:44:23</sup> 7 conspiracy. They didn't even have to commit one overt act.
- 08:44:26 **8** Look at the elements of these charges. They're there for a
- 08:44:29 **9** reason: To prevent an attack, not to wait until people get
- -08:-39:-30 **10** close to one.
- 08:44:41 **11** I talked a little bit about the follow-up.
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  There's lots of follow-up. I recommend that you please take a
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  look at all the evidence that shows the follow-up of Marwan
- -08:-39:-30 **14** FI-Hindi.
- 08:44:54 15 Marwan El-Hindi expressed no interest in bombs or
- 08:44:57 **16** shooting? We talked about that. He actually several times
- 08:45:00 17 suggested his interest in sniping; again, the thickness of tank
- -08:-39:-30 **18** armor.
- 08:45:05 19 How about the ratios; there's that one conversation
- .08:-39:-30 f 20 in Arabic where Mohammad Amawi and Marwan El-Hindi are talking
- -08:-39:-30 **21** to each other. They said, Does he know -- talking about Darren
- -08:-39:-30 **22** Griffin -- does he know the ratios? And Marwan El-Hindi says:
- -08:-39:-30 23 Yes, he does. That's interest. And he's the one that knew
- $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$   $\mathbf{24}$  about the manufacturing videos before he ever walked in that
- 08:-39:-30 **25** room on February 2.

Darren Griffin brings these things up every time; 08:45:33 everything that Marwan El-Hindi says is in response to Darren -08:-39:-30 Griffin? Not Jihad Dahabi, not the sniping, not the IED e-mail, 08:45:43 not Zubair and Khaleel, not the manufacturing videos, not the 08:45:48 ratios for explosives. 08:45:51 Marwan El-Hindi never said anything of the 08:45:54 agreement? Well, look at 2/16, look at the Arabic conversation -08:-39:-30 they have together, and look at the facts and circumstances of -08:-39:-30 the rest of the evidence, ladies and gentlemen. Remember the charge that the Judge gave you says they don't have to speak these words. It can be a spoken or unspoken agreement. -08:-39:-30 **12** was a spoken agreement, largely, but it doesn't have to be. You  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  f 13 can look at their actions and to other things in the case to find that agreement. 15 The first time Marwan El-Hindi brought Zubair and 08:46:25 Khaleel up, he laughed. Listen to the rest of that call and listen to the other conversations in which Marwan El-Hindi laughs. He also laughs at the deaths of U.S. soldiers. 19 submit to you this is not an indication that he thought this was some sort of joke. -08:-39:-30 **21** I am not going to go through the computer evidence, -08:-39:-30 **22** mercifully, but if you have any questions about what was on 08:46:59 **23** Marwan El-Hindi's computer and when, please ask to take a look -08:-39:-30 **24** at that evidence and read back the testimony if necessary. No intent for Darren Griffin to use that stuff,

- meaning the IED e-mail and the bomb vest video, to kill U.S.
- -08:-39:-30 f 2 soldiers? There's no proof of that? Again, look at the context
- $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$  of this whole case, ladies and gentlemen, and look at what's
- o8:47:29 4 inside of those videos. Internally they mean something.
- -08:-39:-30 **5** These are videos which themselves indicate what they are for.
- $_{08:47:36}$  **6** They are for killing people. And the IED e-mail actually says
- -08:-39:-30 7 it flat out what it's for. And keep in mind that the intent
- -08:-39:-30 **8** you're being asked to look at is not Darren Griffin's intent;
- 9 it's the intent of Marwan El-Hindi when he gave those things to
- -08:-39:-30 **10** Darren Griffin.
- 08:48:08 11 Darren Griffin is no puppet master, ladies and
- 08:48:12 **12** gentlemen. Again, Marwan El-Hindi could have at any moment
- -08:-39:-30 13 walked away from him. He had no strings attached to him from
- $_{08:48:22}$   $oxed{14}$  Darren Griffin. When it came time for the IED e-mail, it was
- -08:-39:-30 15 Marwan El-Hindi who said: This is the one that shows you how to
- -08:-39:-30 **16** make it.
- 08:48:39 Talks about news in the mujahidin on February 8.
- -08:-39:-30 18 The news of the mujahidin is people killing U.S. soldiers.
- -08:-39:-30 19 That's what the news is. That's the news we're talking about
- -08:-39:-30 **20** here.
- 08:48:58 **21** Again, Mr. Hartman said, I'm not trying to downplay
- -08:-39:-30 **22** what's on the video. You can't downplay what's on the video.
- -08:-39:-30 **23** It shows the ultimate device being placed next to human beings.
- 08:49:10 **24** They're not real human beings, but they're not trying to blast
- -08:-39:-30 **25** the door open; they're talking about killing people.

20 zero motive to do that. Zero.
21 Mikaeil Al-Mozrouei's condition that he's bipolar,
22 has ADHD, there's no evidence that that played a role at all.
23 He doesn't want you to consider any of this testimony. Mikaeil
24 Al-Mozrouei, if he had some beef against Marwan El-Hindi, he was

 $_{\scriptscriptstyle 1:07}$  25 trying to make the government's case, he was trying to do

- Case: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 172 of 228. PageID #: 10846 6934 something good, he would have never said he didn't think Marwan 08:51:09 2 El-Hindi was serious. What he actually said was he didn't -08:-39:-30 3 think Marwan El-Hindi really had the resources to do this. -08:-39:-30 again, if he was looking to make up some grand, great story, why 08:51:18 would he say that? It doesn't make sense. It doesn't have 08:51:22 the ring of truth to it because if he said something else, -08:-39:-30 something that was much more incriminating, perhaps then you'd -08:-39:-30 question it. But no, he doesn't do it. -08:-39:-30 Marwan El-Hindi's lies to the FBI. I can't go 08:51:41 -08:-39:-30 10 through them. We don't have time. There's many lies he told. -08:-39:-30 **11** He forgot Mohammad Amawi's last name. Yes, it is hard to -08:-39:-30 **12** remember some e-mail accounts sometimes, but it's -- I suggest -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  to you, ladies and gentlemen, it's no coincidence that he
- to you, ladies and gentlemen, it's no coincidence that he
  remembered two out of three, and the third one that he doesn't
  remember happens to be the one that the IED e-mail came from.
  These are not coincidences. Go back and look at all those
  lies. Go back and read the testimony or your notes about what
  Agent Chuck Holloway said. It's a laundry list of clear lies.
- And you decide what that means. Ask yourselves what that means. Ask yourselves what that means. Was he afraid? Well, he talked about a lot of things. He wasn't afraid enough to say, I don't want to talk to you; or, I'm not going to tell you about this, that, or the other thing. He talked. Talked for a while. He must have talked for a while. It took him all that time to get out all those lies.

6935

Jihad Dahabi lied to the FBI; there's no question 08:52:48 2 about Marwan El-Hindi had an even greater reason. Jihad Dahabi -08:-39:-30 is thinking these guys may be up to no good. 08:52:59 brought up the word "terrorism." He was also worried about his -08:-39:-30 immigration status. -08:-39:-30 Mr. Hartman talks a lot about, oh there's a bunch -08:-39:-30 7 of stuff on the computer; I don't care about it. You should -08:-39:-30 care about it. They didn't want you to look at that computer. 08:53:28 It's complicated. There are little tiny pieces of evidence, but they show something incredibly important. That is Marwan El-Hindi was doing things outside of Darren Griffin's knowledge -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  and outside of Darren Griffin's presence, and they are 13 consistent with the government's evidence in this case and the allegations. 15 He's acting independently of Darren Griffin. 08:53:54 -08:-39:-30 **16** went through the "understand" line, that is when Marwan El-Hindi  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  f 17 made it clear that he understood what the two kinds of training 18 are. The phone chart; we're not asking you to speculate what the nature of those calls were, per se. We're asking you just to consider it as part of all the evidence in the case. And it's these lawyers that said there were no contacts, very few -08:-39:-30 f 22 contacts, but there are other contacts. That's all it's being -08:-39:-30 **23** shown for. They said there are no contacts. There were a lot -08:-39:-30 **24** of other contacts. In some cases almost 100 contacts. -08:-39:-30  ${f 25}$  all we're asking you to consider them for. We are not asking

- you to consider on one day one particular person said something.
- They spoke to each other, much like you would think a group of
- 08:54:54 **3** coconspirators would.
- <sub>08:54:55</sub> **4** "The government hasn't proven anything beyond a
- -08:-39:-30 **5** reasonable doubt." Ladies and gentlemen, that is simply not
- 08:55:00 **6** true. We've proven each of the elements against each of these
- $_{\text{-08:-39:-30}}$  **7** defendants beyond a reasonable doubt with all of the evidence
- $_{08:55:13}$  8 when you consider all of the evidence.
- 08:55:18 9 If Marwan El-Hindi is guilty of anything, it's
- $_{08:-39:-30}$  10 being too naive to realize he could get in trouble. Well, go
- 08:-39:-30 11 back again and listen to these recordings. He is constantly
- D8:55:29 12 talking about how he's being watched big time, how we could get
- $_{08:-39:-30}$  13 in trouble. How his wife told him to take the bomb vest video
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{14}$  off the computer. This is not a one-time thing. Marwan
- 08:55:42 **15** El-Hindi is not naive at all. It's quite the opposite. He's
- -08:-39:-30 **16** concerned about and is constantly looking for ways to make sure
- -08:-39:-30 **17** he doesn't get in trouble.
- 08:55:55 **18** Again, there's a long tirade against Darren
- 08:56:00 **19** Griffin. And I'm not going to sit here, ladies and gentlemen,
- $_{08:56:02}$   $\mathbf{20}$  and defend everything about Darren Griffin. The government
- 08:56:05 **21** submits you can decide about his credibility based on the things
- -08:-39:-30 **22** that you learned in this case that are not connected to Darren
- -08:-39:-30 **23** Griffin, including the tapes which he could not -- there was no
- -08:-39:-30 **24** evidence, zero evidence that Darren Griffin ever turned these
- 08:56:22 **25** tapes on and off in a way to manipulate some kind of

conversation. Zero evidence. He can't tell when these guys 08:56:27 2 are going to say what they're going to say. Nobody could. -08:-39:-30 3 You don't hear that thing suddenly cut out in the middle of a -08:-39:-30 conversation. And that goes on for a very long period of time; 08:56:38 for months, hours and hours and hours. He is not some -08:-39:-30 audiovisual mix master. The devices he had, he didn't 08:56:46 necessarily know how to work them completely. He knew certain 08:56:50 things about them; how to turn them on and off. There is zero 08:56:53 evidence he turned these devices on or off to manipulate the evidence. Zero. They'd like you to think that. Again, they have to attack these tapes. And the best way to attack the **12** tape is through Darren Griffin. 13 "The government submits a number of things that are 08:57:10 a lie, a big lie." When Steve Hartman got up here and gave his 08:57:14 opening statement, and he said he lied to the government, he 08:57:19 lied on April 20 when he said he didn't call. Just think about 08:57:22 17 this for a second. The day Darren Griffin had that 18 conversation on the phone with Marwan El-Hindi, and then later -08:-39:-30 **19** on they went training and Marwan El-Hindi didn't show up. that's the day he turns over two recordings to the FBI; the call, and then the interaction that related to the Cleland's 22 shooting range. He's not lying to the FBI. He's giving them -08:-39:-30 **23** the evidence. He's giving them exactly -- and it's not like he -08:-39:-30 **24** deep-sixed the call to Marwan El-Hindi. They have it. The reason he'd get up here and make these

- 6938 arguments is because Darren Griffin gave it to the FBI. -08:-39:-30 FBI gave them to the United States Attorney's Office, and the -08:-39:-30 **3** United States Attorney's Office gave it to them. And a lot of -08:-39:-30 the other lies out there, if you look carefully behind them, -08:-39:-30 ladies and gentlemen, the government submits are not quite what 08:58:16 they've been made out to be, but I'll let you decide that. 08:58:19 not going to sit here and go through each one of them. -08:-39:-30 8 This notion that Marwan El-Hindi had a guest in his 08:58:27 house and told an off-color joke, and that's why he sat there on 08:58:31 10 February 16. This was no off-color joke. This was 08:58:35 something -- and they talked about this; this is stuff that -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  could take them away from their kids, the very kids who he let -08:-39:-30 13 sit in that room while that stuff was happening. It is nothing like having someone come into your living room and tell an -08:-39:-30 **15** off-color joke. Nothing like that. 16 The conversation with Bill Radcliffe, Agent 08:59:16 Radcliffe, and Darren Griffin. He says: Well, I'll do the  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  18 greater good thing. I'll get them back together. Again, this -08:-39:-30 19 has been made out to be some dirty, horrible thing. What's wrong with that? If Marwan El-Hindi didn't want to get back
- together and talk with Mohammad Amawi, he just wouldn't. The -08:-39:-30 **22** greater good thing was jihad; the greater good thing is let's -08:-39:-30 **23** not fight about wives, let's fight the Americans. Now they
- -08:-39:-30 **24** signed up to get back together after that. That's not Darren
  - Griffin. And again, Darren Griffin didn't make them do that.

You know from the evidence that they are ready, already had in -08:-39:-30 their hearts to do that anyway. -08:-39:-30

3 And, by the way, Marwan El-Hindi didn't go -- after 09:00:10 all that, Marwan El-Hindi didn't go shooting. And what does 09:00:19 that tell you? He has free will. If Darren Griffin was again -08:-39:-30 performing the Jedi mind trick, making him do things he didn't -08:-39:-30 want to do, then I guess he would have shown up for shooting on -08:-39:-30 April 20 and April 29. He didn't because he has free will, -08:-39:-30 just like all the rest of these defendants do.

10 09:00:42 This is not how we're supposed to be doing things, says Mr. Hartman. It's not the American way. I suggest to

12 you, ladies and gentlemen, this is exactly how we should be  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  13 doing things, and it's exactly what you want your government to

09:01:00

09:00:38

-08:-39:-30 15 "It's wrong." It's not wrong what the government

did here. It's what he wants you to focus on, what the

-08:-39:-30 17 government did. What you should be focused on is what these men

-08:-39:-30 **18** did. If you're focused on what the government does, what

-08:-39:-30 19 Darren Griffin does, then you won't focus on the actual evidence

in the case, which is what the defendants did, said, and how

-08:-39:-30 **21** they acted.

09:01:23 **22** His dream was to be a citizen, the American way.

23 Well, ladies and gentlemen, he celebrates the death of our

24 troops. He wants to kill U.S. soldiers. He helps Darren

Griffin to do what Darren Griffin wants to do, which is clearly

<sub>09:01:37</sub> **1** to train jihadists, to train overseas, including to fight the

09:01:41 **2** United States military. That's not the American dream.

09:01:49 **3** Words and actions. Actions speak louder than

-08:-39:-30 f 4 words. Certainly true. There were a lot of actions here:

 $_{-08:-39:-30}$  **5** Forwarding of these e-mails, inviting people to the house,

-08:-39:-30 **b** meetings. These are actions.

09:02:09 No soldiers were killed. Thank goodness for that.

-08:-39:-30 **8** Again, it's a conspiracy statute, ladies and gentlemen; it's not

-39:-30 **9** a murder statute. We'd be sitting here trying another case, if

-08:-39:-30 10 at all, if that had taken place.

09:02:27 **11** Truth, justice, and the American way. I'm

 $_{9:02:32}$  f 12 certainly not Superman, and I'm not going to try to quote him,

-08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  but the reasons that Mr. Hartman quotes that to you are just

-08:-39:-30 **14** flat-out wrong, ladies and gentlemen.

-08:-39:-30 **15** "Darren Griffin lied so much to the FBI they had no

-08:-39:-30 **16** idea what he was doing." That is not consistent with the

9:02:53 **17** evidence. You heard Agent Coats testify; you heard Agent

-08:-39:-30 18 Radcliffe testify. Agent Radcliffe didn't remember very much.

09:02:59 **19** Agent Coats did remember a lot. The bottom line is they knew

20 exactly what Darren Griffin was doing, and they were controlling

his actions for the most part.

09:03:12 I'm almost done with this one. And again, I

-08:-39:-30 **23** apologize to Your Honor and to the jury and everybody else, but

pe:03:21 **24** we have a lot of evidence to get through here.

<sup>09:03:24</sup> **25** "Standing between Darren Griffin and his conduct

and Marwan El-Hindi getting people connected" -- I'm sorry, I -08:-39:-30 can't even read it -- "getting convicted, is you." You're -08:-39:-30 standing between Darren Griffin and his conduct. Ladies and 09:03:39 gentlemen, again, this is a distraction. The case is not about 09:03:46 Darren Griffin and his conduct; it's about the defendants' 09:03:49 conduct. 09:03:52 Let me leave you with two important points. They 09:03:54 apply to Marwan El-Hindi. Even if you find that Marwan 09:03:58 El-Hindi never conspired with Zubair and Khaleel Ahmed, the 09:04:02 evidence establishes beyond a reasonable doubt that Marwan El-Hindi is guilty of the crimes that he is charged with. If 12 you just started in February of '05 and ended in April of '05, -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  this crime has been completed. Arguably it was completed on February 2 or February 16, but you have a lot more than that. So you don't have to go back and find that he conspired with Zubair and Khaleel, although the government submits the evidence -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  shows that, in fact, he did. But you don't have to do that. 18 09:04:38 Also, if all Marwan El-Hindi did was help Mohammad -08:-39:-30 **19** Amawi to get the training he needed to get overseas, then he's guilty of this conspiracy -- these conspiracies. Remember that Darren Griffin said to Marwan El-Hindi that he was having -08:-39:-30 **22** trouble getting that bomb vest video to Mohammad Amawi, that -08:-39:-30 **23** Mohammad Amawi wanted to go overseas and fight in Iraq. Darren Griffin assisted in that -- I'm sorry, Marwan El-Hindi assisted in that.

And, ladies and gentlemen, the conspiracy charge, 09:05:10 2 if you read all of it, it talks about you can play a minor role -08:-39:-30 in a conspiracy to be guilty of it. You don't have to do -08:-39:-30 everything. He didn't have to train. So if that's all the -08:-39:-30 evidence showed -- and it's not, ladies and gentlemen; the -08:-39:-30 government submits there's much more than that. But if all he -08:-39:-30 did was help Mohammad Amawi in his quest to go kill American -08:-39:-30 soldiers, then he'd be guilty of these two conspiracies as well. 09:05:35 MR. SOFER: Judge, that's all I have for this one. 09:05:42 -08:-39:-30 10 We can take a short break, or I can keep going. -08:-39:-30 11 THE COURT: Why don't you keep going. I'm sorry. I know you're tired of 09:05:57 MR. SOFER: hearing me, and actually, so am I. Remember I asked you to consider three questions 09:06:08 -08:-39:-30 **15** for these defense attorneys' summations. First, how much of -08:-39:-30 **16** what the defense attorneys talked about and what they were 17 asking you to do was irrelevant or had to do with things that -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  are simply not evidence in the case? Two, how many of their 19 arguments were reasonable in light of all of the evidence, to use your common sense, or were they essentially cherry-picking? And, three, did the argument make any sense when you read the -08:-39:-30 **22** law and when you took the facts that you have, applied them to -08:-39:-30 **23** the law, or vice versa? 09:06:45 **24** How much of what Mr. Bryan said was simply not relevant? I'm going to go through a list. It's not the only

list. Hopefully you picked up on a lot of this as well. 09:06:54 shoes of the Pope, King Solomon, the law in England of three -08:-39:-30 possible verdicts, the priesthood, Japanese internment camps, -08:-39:-30 the World War II service of our veterans, the Revolutionary war -08:-39:-30 and culture, proctological exams, Joe Montana and Jerry Rice, -08:-39:-30 transubstantiation, September 11, Revolutionary War soldiers. -08:-39:-30 The government submits to you, ladies and gentlemen, none of 09:07:24 that has anything to do with this case whatsoever. -08:-39:-30 Do not be distracted by these things. Focus, 09:07:32 please, on the evidence. Then he talked about a bunch of things that there 09:07:38 -08:-39:-30 12 is no evidence of whatsoever in this case. Please recall the  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  13 Judge's instruction not to speculate about matters that are not in evidence. That's very hard to do, but it's one of maybe the -08:-39:-30 **15** most difficult jobs as jurors. I'll bet you each one of you has a hundred questions about things that you didn't hear about -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  in this case. But you're not to speculate about that. Let me  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  18 go through some of the things that there was no evidence of. 19 Mohammad Amawi saw SCUD missiles flying over his 09:08:10 house in Jordan during the first Gulf War. There's no evidence that this satellite phone bill in the case displayed the current -08:-39:-30 f 22 outstanding bill or that Mohammad Amawi believed for a second -08:-39:-30 23 that the bill was more than what Darren Griffin had told him: A flat fee per month. There's no evidence whether the phone calls that Mohammad Amawi and others made using that phone were

- or were not made to terrorists. There's no evidence that 09:08:33 Mohammad Amawi showed jihad videos to just about anyone, as Mr. -08:-39:-30 Bryan indicated. There's no evidence that Darren Griffin -08:-39:-30 turned the recording devices on or off to manipulate the 09:08:45 conversations; I mentioned that. There's no evidence that 09:08:49 Mohammad Amawi ever said: I only say these things because I was 09:08:51 upset; I don't really mean it. There's no evidence that the FBI 09:08:54 targeted the other people that went shooting with Darren 09:08:58 Griffin. In fact, there's no evidence those people were -08:-39:-30 prosecuted, arrested. No evidence regarding what, if anything, Mohammad Amawi said to his local Congressperson about the FBI or 12 this case. There's no evidence that the bank that had his -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  wallet made no attempts to get Mohammad Amawi his wallet back.  $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$  f 14 The evidence shows, in fact, the wallet remained unclaimed at -08:-39:-30 **15** the same bank for about a year. There's no evidence Ashraf Zaim ever told Mohammad Amawi anything about his suspicions 09:09:27 regarding Darren Griffin. There's no evidence that Zubair and Khaleel Ahmed were simply sitting around in their hotel room in 19 Egypt when Marwan El-Hindi showed up. 09:09:36 **20** Mr. Bryan said one of the pictures that were showed 09:09:41 looked to him like Mohammad Amawi had been crying in one of 09:09:47 **22** those pictures. There's no evidence of that either. -08:-39:-30 23 was evidence that Mohammad Amawi was searched and that he was
- 09:09:57 **25** FBI's transporting a person back to the United States who's been

-08:-39:-30 **24** given a change of clothes. Again, use your common sense.

charged with terrorism. So you know he's talked about 09:10:00 martyring himself; you know he's talked about killing United 09:10:03 States soldiers. Of course they did a good job searching him, -08:-39:-30 and of course they did a good job securing him for the trip. -08:-39:-30 5 There's no evidence whatsoever the FBI thought for -08:-39:-30 one single solitary second that Mohammad Amawi was playing them 09:10:16 or Darren Griffin at any time in this entire investigation. 09:10:21 8 There's no evidence that the FBI conducted an -08:-39:-30 investigation because of anti-Muslim bias or the FBI was 09:10:29 searching for the terrorist bogeyman. Importantly, there is no evidence of what else the FBI might have done or did do in this -08:-39:-30 **12** case that didn't come out here in court or other things that may -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  or may not have happened during this investigation that you did not hear or see evidence in this courtroom about. 15 Finally, there's no evidence that Darren Griffin 09:10:55 needed this investigation to continue in order to keep getting 09:10:57 paid by the FBI. In fact, the credible reliable evidence shows that it was the fact that these defendants were apprehended, -08:-39:-30 **19** that Darren Griffin's name was splashed across the newspapers, that ended his ability to continue working as a human source for the FBI. Remember that up to and including the end of this 09:11:19 **22** investigation, Darren Griffin was working on other matters. 23 09:11:22 Everything I just talked about is either completely irrelevant or there is zero evidence of. And that was a big part of what Mr. Bryan said to you. Mr. Bryan asked you to

consider all of these things that are not relevant and are not -08:-39:-30 **2** evidence, and then he turns around and calls the actual evidence -08:-39:-30 3 that's been admitted "stuff" or "information." -08:-39:-30

First, that's not accurate. It's not stuff or 09:11:45 information. It is evidence. And it was introduced in this 09:11:48 courtroom under the Constitutional and evidentiary protections -08:-39:-30 that are inside of our Constitution and our laws that Mr. Bryan -08:-39:-30 referred to in all of his remarks about the United States and -08:-39:-30 the protections our country affords to people's liberty. He 09:12:07 also called it a mountain of stuff. That's another way of saying there's a mountain of evidence. He doesn't want you to -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  concentrate on the actual evidence in this case because, again, -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  if you remain focused on that evidence, you will find that it -08:-39:-30 **14** proves all three of these defendants guilty beyond a reasonable -08:-39:-30 **15** doubt.

16 Mr. Bryan talked to you a lot about the law in his -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  summation. I caution you, as I did with my remarks about the -08:-39:-30 **18** law, that it's the Judge's instructions on the law; it's his instruction on the law that is the accurate statement of what -08·-39·-30 **20** the law is. Please read it and follow it, especially as it applies to reasonable doubt and the presumption of innocence. -08:-39:-30 **22** 

09:12:29

Mr. Bryan talked about the need for a level of -08:-39:-30 **23** certainty in making particular determinations in this case when -08:-39:-30 **24** you go back and deliberate. I want to quote you a portion of the Judge's charge that he didn't read.

Proof beyond a reasonable doubt does not mean proof -08:-39:-30 to an absolute certainty or all possible doubt. Possible -08:-39:-30 doubts based only on speculation are not reasonable doubts. -08:-39:-30 Mr. Bryan talked about also only part of the 09:13:18 presumption of innocence. The part he left out states this: 09:13:21 6 Presumption of innocence stays with each defendant 09:13:25 unless and until the government presents evidence here in court 09:13:27 that overcomes the presumption and convinces you beyond a -08:-39:-30 reasonable doubt that the defendants are guilty. 09:13:34 10 Now, the government submits to you there are a 09:13:38 number of the other arguments made to you by Mr. Bryan that were 09:13:41 12 completely inappropriate and completely irrelevant. I'm not going to dignify these arguments with a response. however, want to and need to address Mr. Bryan's suggestion that Mohammad Amawi had some sort of excuse for committing these He set out for you a laundry list of U.S. Military crimes. 09:13:59 atrocities in Iraq, and he talked to you about the first Gulf 18 War also. He also showed you one video from the many videos that the government introduced, and he discussed others, and that video showed a lot of horrible nasty things. extent that any of the propaganda videos that he has vouched for -08:-39:-30 f 22 contain any truth -- and I told you before, if you look at some -08:-39:-30  ${f 23}$  of those videos, you'll see that they've spliced footage in a -08:-39:-30 **24** certain way -- these videos do not provide an excuse for breaking the law in the United States. There's nothing in the

Ca	se: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 186 of 228. PageID #: 10860
	6948
-08:-39:-30	government's charge
09:14:42	MR. BRYAN: Objection, Your Honor. He's
09:14:44	misstating the argument, Your Honor.
09:14:47	THE COURT: The jury will recall the argument and
-08:-39:-30 <b>5</b>	will understand that at this stage Mr. Sofer is permitted simply
-08:-39:-30 6	to rebut argument made by counsel. You may continue.
09:15:00	MR. SOFER: Thank you, Judge.
-08:-39:-30	nothing in the Judge's charge about an excuse,
09:15:04	because there is none. What the videos show and what the
-08:-39:-30 10	recordings also demonstrate is that Mohammad Amawi was furious
09:15:11 11	and upset at the loss of Muslim life, land, and property in Iraq
-08:-39:-30 12	and elsewhere. And what it shows is that he was motivated by
09:15:21 13	these videos to commit these crimes. And you can hear that in
09:15:25 14	the recordings. He sought out this information and regularly
-08:-39:-30 15	referred to U.S. behavior in Iraq as the reason he and others
-08:-39:-30 16	need to wake up and fight. His discussions about what was
-08:-39:-30 17	happening in Iraq are actually particularly important and
-08:-39:-30 18	illuminating. Mohammad Amawi and the other defendants often
-08:-39:-30 19	talk about the Ummah, which is defined in your list of
-08:-39:-30 <b>20</b>	definitions. The Ummah is defined as the term, the collective
09:15:51 21	Muslim community throughout the world. This is what he is
09:15:56 <b>22</b>	looking to defend. And I put the word "defend" in quotes.
09:15:59 <b>23</b>	When he talks about defense, the government submits that he and
-08:-39:-30 <b>24</b>	the other defendants are talking about defending Muslim lands

This is made

**25** from the likes of the United States military.

Ca	se: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 187 of 228. PageID #: 10861 6949
-08:-39:-30	clear over and over in the recorded conversations. And even in
-08:-39:-30 <b>2</b>	some of the videos you hear the same ideology being expressed.
09:16:21	Mohammad Amawi and the other defendants want to
09:16:23	defend Iraq. They don't live in Iraq. They don't even come
-08:-39:-30 <b>5</b>	from Iraq. The United States did not invade Mohammad Amawi's
09:16:33	country of Jordan. In fact, as you heard from Mohammad Amawi's
-08:-39:-30 7	own father, the United States enjoys a good relationship with
-08:-39:-30	the country of Jordan.
09:16:43	I want to play a couple examples of Mohammad
-08:-39:-30 10	Amawi's version of defense for you. It will go very quickly.
09:16:52 11	The first one has to do with it's okay to bring
-08:-39:-30 12	jihad to America when innocent people are dying It's
09:16:59 13	12-69185-4 A.
09:17:13 14	(Audio is played.)
09:18:06 15	MR. SOFER: Play the next clip. We fight those
09:18:09 16	who are fighting us. I'm sorry, it's the attack on the
09:18:19 17	Pentagon.
09:18:24 18	(Audio is played.)
09:18:31 19	MR. SOFER: The next one is attack on the
-08:-39:-30 <b>20</b>	President. 10-A.
09:18:36 <b>21</b>	(Audio is played.)
09:19:11 <b>22</b>	MR. SOFER: Now we fight those who are fighting
-08:-39:-30 <b>23</b>	US.
09:19:15 <b>24</b>	(Audio is played.)
09:19:42 <b>25</b>	MR. SOFER: Attack on embassies, 5-A.

	case: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 188 of 228. PageID #: 10862 6950
09:19:47	(Audio is played.)
	(Audio is played.)
	MR. SOFER: This is on February 16.
09:20:47	(Audio is played.)
09:20:48	MR. SOFER: And, ladies and gentlemen, who are the
-08:-39:-30	7 bad guys that the Ummah needs to be defended against? Who is
09:20:56	<b>B</b> being targeted in almost all of the videos that you saw? Who
-08:-39:-30	9 does Mohammad Amawi think is defiling Muslim women, killing
-08:-39:-30	online innocent people? The answer is very clear from all of the
-08:-39:-30	evidence that you've seen in this case: It's the United States
-08:-39:-30	<b>2</b> in general, and the United States military in particular. To
09:21:12	3 Mohammad Amawi, Marwan El-Hindi, and Wassim Mazloum, the United
09:21:16	4 States is the enemy. Ask yourselves, whose deaths do the
-08:-39:-30	defendants cheer? Whose death does Mohammad Amawi mourn?
09:21:26	6 Whose death does he laugh about, and whose death does he cry
-08:-39:-30 1	7 about? The answer to these questions is also clear.
-08:-39:-30	How else do you know this so-called defense is
-08:-39:-30	9 really actually offensive commission of the very crimes that are
09:21:42 <b>2</b> 0	charged in this case? Look who he admires and empathizes with.
09:21:47 <b>2</b>	1 He said he loved Osama Bin Laden. He asked to be joined with
09:21:51 22	<b>2</b> Abu Musab Al Zarqawi, who is the leader of Al-Qaeda in Iraq. He
09:21:57	<b>3</b> wanted to die a mujahidin. He loves the sniper who killed two
-08:-39:-30	4 American soldiers. Compare that with how he refers to our
09:22:04	5 troops. He calls them toothless white trash guys, stinky

people, and people who have only short aims in life. -08:-39:-30 2 Also look at the weapons and tactics that he and 09:22:11 the other defendants want to learn. As I said before, they're 09:22:14 not defensive. IEDs, bombs, mortars, suicide vests, ambush -08:-39:-30 tactics, these are not weapons of defense. They're not needed 09:22:23 to protect your home. It's offensive; kill anything in the 09:22:28 name of defending the Ummah. It's also the definition of 09:22:30 violent jihad. And it's also a crime in the United States. -08:-39:-30 This is further made clear when you listen to Mohammad Amawi and 09:22:39 -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{10}$  the other defendants talk about who is, isn't, and who needs to be killed. He and the other defendants clearly see the United -08:-39:-30 **12** States forces serving in Iraq as worthy targets. 13 I want to play this one because we don't have time, 09:22:54 but there's the clip in which Mohammad Amawi is talking to a soldier. He said he talked to a soldier in a chat room or e-mail or something like that. He said: If I see you in the battle field, I will shoot you; shoot you in the head. -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  evidence shows Mohammad Amawi desperately wants to defend his -08:-39:-30 19 people from the United States and its military. Let me quote from Mr. Bryan's closing argument "Zaki Amawi testified that he saw these videos many here. -08:-39:-30 **22** times. Some of you, when you heard that, thought, That's -08:-39:-30 f 23 terrible. The father is just like the son. This is part 24 of -- this is their part of the world. We went to their part of -08:-39:-30  ${f 25}$  the world. The war is not happening in our part of the world."

Ca	ase: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 190 of 228. PageID #: 10864 6952
09:23:35	THE COURT: Mr. Sofer, maybe a little slower.
-08:-39:-30	MR. SOFER: I'm sorry.
-08:-39:-30	"The war is not happening in our part of the world"
-08:-39:-30	is what he said. "This has happened in Mohammad Amawi's
09:23:46	backyard. I think it's important to point these things out to
-08:-39:-30	show why he may say some of the things that he says. It's also
-08:-39:-30	important to show Mohammad Amawi's intent is to train. We don't
-08:-39:-30	deny that."
09:24:00	That's also a fairly big concession, ladies and
09:24:03 10	gentlemen. Mohammad Amawi wanted to train. And he actually
-08:-39:-30 11	started training to fight U.S. soldiers in Iraq. That's one of
-08:-39:-30 12	the allegations in this case, and that's what the evidence
-08:-39:-30 13	shows. Whether Mr. Bryan and Mohammad Amawi like it or not, it
09:24:17 14	is illegal in the United States to plot and plan to kill the
-08:-39:-30 15	troops of this country while they serve overseas. It is also
09:24:25 16	illegal to provide material support to those people who blow up
-08:-39:-30 17	our troops and snipe at them from their safe hiding places.
-08:-39:-30 18	These are our laws, and these are the laws under which you are
-08:-39:-30 19	being asked to evaluate the evidence in this case.
09:24:39 <b>20</b>	If you think about it, the argument that Mr. Bryan
09:24:41 <b>21</b>	is asking you to accept is particularly offensive to those in
-08:-39:-30 <b>22</b>	the Muslim community here in Toledo who do not believe that
-08:-39:-30 23	killing U.S. troops is mandated or excused by their religion or
-08:-39:-30 <b>24</b>	their religious beliefs. These are the people that Mohammad
09:24:55 <b>25</b>	Amawi mocks when he says "Islam is peace, Islam is peace." I

Mr.

	0900
09:24:59	won't play that clip for you either.
09:25:01	I want to emphasize again that I can't possibly set
-08:-39:-30	out all of the evidence that contradicts all the arguments that
-08:-39:-30	Mr. Bryan or the other defense attorneys made to you. The
-08:-39:-30 <b>5</b>	government relies on your recollection of this evidence to
09:25:13	critically analyze the arguments. Nevertheless, let me try
-08:-39:-30 7	quickly to go through some of the arguments, specific arguments
-08:-39:-30	that Mr. Bryan made.
-08:-39:-30	"Darren Griffin is creating a conspiracy" was the
-08:-39:-30 10	first one. He talked about this a lot with respect to Marwan
09:25:29 11	El-Hindi. It falls apart when you ask yourself: Does this
09:25:33 12	make sense when you look at all of the evidence? Rather than
09:25:37 13	creating conspiracy, he was gathering information on possible
09:25:40 14	threats. After Wassim Mazloum entered the conspiracy in
-08:-39:-30 15	November of 2004, as said before, there was no longer a legal
-08:-39:-30 16	reason to gather any more conspirators, coconspirators at all.
09:25:53 17	In fact, if all Darren Griffin and the FBI wanted to do was to
-08:-39:-30 18	create a conspiracy, they could have focused only on Mohammad
09:26:01 19	Amawi and Wassim Mazloum right there and stopped talking about
09:26:04 <b>20</b>	anybody else or asking whether anybody knew anybody else. But
-08:-39:-30 <b>21</b>	the FBI didn't stop there. It already knew the threat posed by
-08:-39:-30 <b>22</b>	Marwan El-Hindi and Zubair and Khaleel Ahmed. And it could not
-08:-39:-30 <b>23</b>	simply ignore those threats. And they didn't stop there.
09:26:19 <b>24</b>	Darren Griffin was constantly on the lookout for

- 1 Bryan said that when asked, Mohammad Amawi said he didn't know anybody else. Sure, he did. He knew Wassim Mazloum. And he brought Wassim Mazloum to Darren Griffin for violent jihad training. And that just proves that Darren Griffin -- the FBI through Darren Griffin was successful in its search for finding other threats. Rather than this would never have happened but
- for Darren Griffin, another way to look at it was the FBI would
  never have learned of Wassim Mazloum were it not for Mohammad
  series 4 Amawi.

And then Darren Griffin still continued looking for

nore threats even after February 2 of '06 and February 16 of '06 when Marwan El-Hindi had also clearly joined the conspiracy.

The two branches came together at that time, if you recall the

10

09:26:57

- 14 timeline. In the end, this argument about creating a conspiracy makes no sense when you look at all of the evidence.
- Next argument: Mohammad Amawi is a wimp, and he's
- 09:27:21 **17** just boasting. Of course, I am summarizing these arguments.
- 09:27:27 **18** Mr. Bryan said that Mohammad Amawi did not have the courage to
- -08:-39:-30 **19** actually go and join the Mujahidin in Iraq. The government
- o9:27:33 **20** submits that the evidence establishes he had already tried.
- og:27:37 21 And Mr. Bryan is correct in one respect: Like a lot of the
- -08:-39:-30 **22** people who attack U.S. troops in Iraq, Mohammad Amawi wanted to
- $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$   $\mathbf{23}$  hide in the shadows and kill without ever being seen. He did
- $_{-08:-39:-30}$  **24** this partly because he lacked courage. But he also did it
- 09:27:51 **25** because he thought that was how he could do more damage. And he

Case: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 193 of 228. PageID #: 10867 6955 says as much over and over again. There is some truth to that -08:-39:-30 2 statement. -08:-39:-30 Next argument: Mohammad Amawi knows not to give 09:28:00 Darren Griffin the bomb vest video, but he plays with Darren -08:-39:-30 Griffin as a toy on the conspiracy charges. Mr. Bryan made a -08:-39:-30 number of arguments that Mohammad Amawi purposely did not give -08:-39:-30 Darren Griffin the bomb vest video that you have seen and heard -08:-39:-30 so much about. Ask yourselves why Mohammad Amawi would ever 09:28:18 purposely withhold this video as opposed to the hundreds of 09:28:21 others that he gave to Darren Griffin? Mohammad Amawi gives Darren Griffin a ton of training material, any one of which -08:-39:-30 **12** might also fall under this statute which makes it illegal to  $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$  distribute materials that demonstrate the manufacture or use of an explosive or destructive device. Why would he only withhold this one? It doesn't make any sense. In fact, if you listen to the recording, if you listen to all of the evidence, you'll 17 see that Mohammad Amawi did think he gave Darren Griffin the -08:-39:-30  ${f 18}$  bomb vest video. Also ask yourselves does this argument make -08:-39:-30 19 any sense in light of the other argument that Mohammad Amawi was just playing Darren Griffin to see what he could get? Why wouldn't he give him the bomb vest video if he's just milking -08:-39:-30 **22** Darren Griffin for money?

It's as if Defense Counsel would have you believe -08:-39:-30 **24** that somehow Mohammad Amawi studied the 842(p)(2)(A) charge in this case and didn't give Darren Griffin the bomb vest video,

09:29:10 23

but he forgot to study the 18 U.S.C. 956(a)(1) and 2339A charges -08:-39:-30 in the case, so he felt free -- so he messed up when he 09:29:30 conspired with him accidentally. Again, it makes absolutely no -08:-39:-30 I would note, by the way, if Mohammad Amawi had truly 09:29:37 studied the distribution statute, he would have learned that 09:29:41 distribution can be done by any means, and that he would not -08:-39:-30 have translated or shown the bomb vest video to Darren Griffin 09:29:47 8 either. 09:29:50 The crux of Mohammad Amawi's defense is now that he 09:29:52 was playing Darren Griffin and the FBI. Far from presenting you with a reasonable doubt, ladies and gentlemen, this argument 12 actually helps confirm the defendant's guilt. Mr. Bryan said that after January 10 of 2005 Mohammad Amawi was manipulating Darren Griffin in order to get money from him. At the same -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{15}$  time he argues it was Darren Griffin manipulating Mohammad -08:-39:-30 **16** Amawi's religion and culture to get him to say the things that he said. Well, he can't have it both ways, ladies and gentlemen. And I'd submit to you that it was neither. 19 Focus on the evidence. November 15, the FBI, 09:30:34 through Darren Griffin, paid Mohammad Amawi's rent in order to keep Mohammad Amawi here in Toledo so that they could continue -08:-39:-30 f 22 to interact with him and analyze and monitor the threat that he posed. Mohammad Amawi gets nothing of any significance until -08:-39:-30 **24** August of 2005. This runs completely contrary to Mr. Bryan's suggestion that Shannon Coats was conducting some kind of

С	ase: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 195 of 228. PageID #: 10869 6957
	psychological experiment with a series of rewards and
09:31:06	punishments, using his psychology degree from Boise State
09:31:10	University and using Mohammad Amawi as a test subject. Agent
-08:-39:-30	Coats was not even the primary contact agent for Darren Griffin
09:31:17	until April of 2005.
09:31:21	Mohammad Amawi was feeding Darren Griffin at his
09:31:24	house and also paid for some of the training at Cleland's. Not
-08:-39:-30	consistent with milking Darren Griffin for money.
09:31:31	Mohammad Amawi talked about the fact that he owed
09:31:34	money to Darren Griffin. Why would he ever mention that if he
09:31:37	was milking him for money? Very important fact: Mohammad
09:31:43	Amawi's own father got up on that witness stand and stated that
-08:-39:-30	when Darren Griffin stayed at the Amawi family home in Jordan,
-08:-39:-30 14	that Mohammad Amawi asked his father not to charge Darren
09:31:58	Griffin for the food or the lodging that he enjoyed. Why would
-08:-39:-30 16	he do that if he was milking Darren Griffin for money?
09:32:10	You know the credible and reliable evidence why
-08:-39:-30 <b>18</b>	B Darren Griffin paid for the plane tickets and why he paid for
-08:-39:-30	Mohammad Amawi's rent. He was following FBI's orders which
09:32:20 <b>20</b>	reflected their need to follow up on important threat
-08:-39:-30 <b>2</b> 1	information. So from November 15, 2005 to August of '06,
09:32:27	Mohammad Amawi spends countless hours with Darren Griffin and
09:32:30 <b>23</b>	risks his freedom all for \$850? If he had worked for minimum
09:32:37	wage for these months, he would have made more money and not
-08:-39:-30 <b>25</b>	have exposed himself, his family, and his friends to any danger
195 of 228 sheets	Page 6957 to 6957 of 6990 09/11/2008 11:26:26 AN

- -08:-39:-30 **1** of arrest or prosecution. The argument makes absolutely no -08:-39:-30 **2** sense.
- What does make sense is that Mohammad Amawi was

  4 getting something of much greater value from Darren Griffin.

  The evidence showed that he believed that he was getting the
- -08:-39:-30

  6 benefit of training and that Darren Griffin had received from
- the United States military, training and knowledge about how to fight violent Jihad. The recordings prove that this is what
- 9 he's interested in and what he was continually asking for
- -08:-39:-30 **10** throughout the case.
- 09:33:16 11 Mr. Bryan called Mohammad Amawi a wannabe. The
- -08:-39:-30 **12** government agrees. The recordings you heard prove that he
- $_{-08:-39:-30}$  13 wanted to be a sniper, that he wanted to be able to lay IEDs in
- $_{\cdot 08: \cdot 39: \cdot 30}$  14 the path of American soldiers, that he wanted to be able to
- 09:33:31 15 ambush troops, that he wanted to train other mujahidin, that he
- .08:-39:-30 **16** wanted to die a mujahidin, and that he wanted to be a martyr.
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  Mohammad Amawi was a true wannabe. In other words, he had the
- $_{\scriptscriptstyle{09:33:40}}$  18 intent to commit these crimes. He saw Darren Griffin as a way
- $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$  f 19 to fill in his knowledge, the knowledge that he needed to commit
- -08:-39:-30 **20** these crimes.
- 1 did go back and check about the martyrs photos.
- -08:-39:-30 **22** In fact, they were not on Mohammad Amawi's Sony Vaio laptop.
- 09:33:56 **23** Actually, where they were found is even more incriminating.
- -08:-39:-30 **24** They were on a disk that was recovered from Jordan, a disk that
- -08:-39:-30 Mohammad Amawi admitted was his, and that disk is marked as

"Favorites". We'll put it up. It contains "Favorites". 09:34:07 don't know if you can see that on your screen, but it is the -08:-39:-30 I think the first time we put this on the screen, some 09:34:20 of you tilted your head. We tilted it around so you could -08:-39:-30 actually see it. That's where those martyr photos are from. -08:-39:-30 And here are some of the photos that were on the disk. -08:-39:-30 (Photos displayed.) 09:34:39 8 MR. SOFER: These are the pictures that were on 09:34:44 the Sony Vaio laptop of Mohammad Amawi. -08:-39:-30 10 09:35:00 I want to get back to the argument that Mohammad Amawi was playing Darren Griffin for money. Nine months after 12 the January 10, 2005 conversation at Mohammad Amawi's home, -08:-39:-30 **13** Darren Griffin did pay for the trip to Jordan. 14 customary, particularly if someone is staying in the home for -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{15}$  free, Darren Griffin bought gifts. He also paid money for the -08:-39:-30 **16** use of the Jeep. And the FBI -- that was the Jeep that the FBI  $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$  f 17 and Darren Griffin hoped would be used to travel to Syria. Total value of this package, about \$5,000, maybe a little more, -08:-39:-30 **19** a little less. Mr. Bryan would have you believe that Mohammad 09:35:35 Amawi was able to see into the future in January of 2005 or 22 before and was able to divine that one day Darren Griffin would later buy Mohammad Amawi and his family these items for the **24** Jordan trip or give them the use of a satellite phone. -08:-39:-30 **25** spent day after day with Darren Griffin hoping that one day

- -08:-39:-30 **1** Darren Griffin would pay for tickets to Jordan and give him a
- $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$  **2** phone. It makes no sense whatsoever. It's not reasonable.
- $_{09:36:07}$   $\mathbf{3}$  Again, if there was any truth to this, why ask his father to let
- -08:-39:-30 4 Darren Griffin stay in the family home for free? It doesn't
- -08:-39:-30 **5** make sense because it's not true.
- 09:36:18 Here's a series of other things that show you that
- $_{\text{-08:-39:-30}}$   $\mathbf{7}$  it's not true. The most important of these in some ways is
- og:36:25 **8** probably a conversation that happened on the same day that Mr.
- -08:-39:-30 **9** Bryan says Mohammad Amawi started to play Darren Griffin. This
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{10}$  is 11 minutes long. I'm going to try to cut it down, but I
- 09:36:37 11 want you to listen to it. It's a conversation in Arabic. We
- -08:-39:-30 **12** played it during our direct case. And it's a coded
- -08:-39:-30  $oxed{13}$  conversation. And they're talking about cooking and a
- 09:36:53 **14** professor. And what they're really talking about is jihad
- -08:-39:-30 **15** training and Darren Griffin. And the government submits,
- -08:-39:-30 **16** ladies and gentlemen, if you play this recording, and you listen
- $_{\text{-08:-39:-30}}$  17 to it carefully and look at the context of it and what's
- -08:-39:-30 18 happening, that you'll find that that's exactly what's going on
- -08:-39:-30 **19** here. I'll explain how this completely undermines this entire
- 09:37:12 **20** argument by the defense.
- 09:37:17 **21** (Audio is played.)
- 09:38:16 MR. SOFER: This whole thing about making a cake.
- -08:-39:-30 **23** Remember on this day the testimony is one of the files that was
- -08:-39:-30 **24** given to Darren Griffin on a list was the file "Introduction to

	ase: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 199 of 228. PageID #: 10873 6961
09:38:29	explosives. Again, if you look at this, there's no way they're
09:38:34	talking about cake here. Mohammad Amawi is not a baker.
09:38:54	(Audio is played.)
09:38:55	MR. SOFER: Who is he talking about here?
-08:-39:-30	This is one of Mohammad Amawi's contacts.
09:41:40	(Audio is played.)
09:42:06	MR. SOFER: You see this contact he has has the
-08:-39:-30	same problem as Mohammad Amawi: He's got the books, but he
09:42:13	needs someone to show him. Mohammad Amawi has Darren Griffin.
-08:-39:-30 10	That's what he's talking about when he says he wasn't Muslim; he
-08:-39:-30	became a Muslim.
09:42:22	Let's continue. But this man has the same problem
-08:-39:-30	that Mohammad Amawi had before he met Darren Griffin.
09:43:14	Judge, can we take a brief break?
09:43:18	THE COURT: We'll take a break. I apologize,
09:43:18	ladies and gentlemen.
09:55:38	(Recess taken.)
09:55:40	MR. SOFER: We're very close, ladies and
-08:-39:-30	gentlemen. I know it's late. I apologize, but we are very
-08:-39:-30 <b>20</b>	close. We're going to skip ahead because of the time. But let
09:55:53 <b>2</b>	me again specifically ask you if you have any questions about
-08:-39:-30 <b>22</b>	what is actually going on in this recorded conversation, it may
-08:-39:-30 <b>23</b>	take you a couple times to go through it, certainly you can and
09:56:07 <b>2</b> 4	should ask to hear it.
09:56:08 <b>2</b>	In the end, Mohammad Amawi explains a little bit of Page 6961 to 6961 of 6990 09/11/2008 11:26:26 AM

what this conversation is. But we're going to skip ahead -08:-39:-30 towards the end so that we can get you out of here at a 09:56:15 semi-reasonable hour. Maybe that time's already passed. 09:56:20 So he had a contact -- that is Mohammad Amawi's 09:57:48 overseas contact had someone who could teach him but wouldn't -08:-39:-30 teach him. Different than Mohammad Amawi who talks about his -08:-39:-30 contact, who is Darren Griffin, who is teaching him and can 09:58:01 teach him all kinds of things. This conversation is preceded by -08:-39:-30 some talk of a chat room. The government submits there is no evidence of exactly what Mohammad Amawi was doing on the computer when he was multi-tasking. And he was multi-tasking -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  on that computer more than once. We do know from the testimony -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  of Darren Griffin, and Mohammad Amawi can be heard on the -08:-39:-30 **14** recordings, that Mohammad Amawi was capable of doing more than -08:-39:-30 **15** one thing at a time. But who was instant messaging or e-mails -08:-39:-30 **16** or otherwise communicating at particular moments that are not captured on the audio or video are far from clear. 18 One thing that is clear, ask yourselves why, if 09:58:38 Mohammad Amawi was toying with Darren Griffin, would he ever have this conversation with his contact in Arabic? It's clear that Mohammad Amawi is really talking to a like-minded jihadist -08:-39:-30 f 22 about jihad training in code. There's no need to do this if -08:-39:-30 **23** you're just playing Darren Griffin. It's not consistent with -08:-39:-30 **24** the other arguments that Mohammad Amawi is somehow tricking Darren Griffin into thinking that Mohammad Amawi is talking to

jihadists overseas. Did he have an actor on the other end of -08:-39:-30 the phone or computer who just pretended to be speaking Arabic -08:-39:-30 3 to talk in code about jihad? It makes no sense. And it makes -08:-39:-30 no sense because it's not true. -08:-39:-30 5 The nonsensical nature of this argument is further 09:59:25 revealed if you look at some of the other evidence in the case. -08:-39:-30 Ask yourselves: If Mohammad Amawi is playing Darren Griffin, 09:59:33 why does he expose his family and his friends to Darren Griffin -08:-39:-30 who he thinks is suspicious and is working for the FBI? Why 09:59:41 does he expose Wassim Mazloum and ultimately Wassim Mazloum's brother to Darren Griffin? Why does Mohammad Amawi talk to -08:-39:-30 **12** Wassim Mazloum about Darren Griffin when Darren Griffin isn't around? And why does Mohammad Amawi clearly tell Wassim -08:-39:-30 **14** Mazloum what Darren Griffin can provide and that Darren Griffin -08:-39:-30 **15** can be trusted? Why does he sit there and discuss violent jihad when Wassim Mazloum and sometimes Marwan El-Hindi are 17 there? Why does Mohammad Amawi engage Marwan El-Hindi and 18 Wassim Mazloum in these conversations sometimes in Arabic in February of 2005? Is he trying to get them in trouble? makes no sense. Why does he expose Darren Griffin to his own mother or, vice versa, his own mother to Darren Griffin? Why -08:-39:-30 f 22 does Mohammad Amawi follow up at all on the e-mail regarding 10:00:41 **23** Astrolite if he's just playing? Why does he complain to Darren 24 Griffin that things aren't going fast enough? Why doesn't -08:-39:-30 **25** Mohammad Amawi go back for the wallet that contains Darren

- Griffin's contact information and also Mohammad Amawi's contact
   and -- I'm sorry, Darren Griffin's contact in Kuwait for the
- -08:-39:-30 **3** Astrolite? What's he afraid of if he already knows Darren
- 4 Griffin knows all these things and is working for the FBI and
- 10:01:09 **5** reporting it all back to the FBI? Why does Mohammad Amawi
- -08:-39:-30 6 bring Darren Griffin to Jordan to meet his whole family and all
- $_{\text{-08:-39:-30}}$  7 of those friends that you saw pictures of, including people he
- 10:01:19 8 calls "trusted brothers"? Why does he tell Darren Griffin
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{9}$  about other possible recruits and give Darren Griffin their
- $_{-08:-39:-30}$  10 names and where they come from? Why does he suggest his own
- -08:-39:-30 11 cousin in Houston for jihad training if he thinks Darren Griffin
- -08:-39:-30 **12** is working for the FBI? Why does he ask Darren Griffin to pick
- -08:-39:-30 13 up and deliver some of his most personal documents for the
- 10:01:42 **14** second trip? Why does Mohammad Amawi tell Darren Griffin about
- 10:01:45 **15** members of his family's attempt to kill the king of Jordan?
- $_{
  m 10:01:49}$  16 Remember that Jordan is more strict than the United States.
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  Remember that the United States has a good relationship with the
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  country of Jordan. And remember that it's a crime to say bad
- -08:-39:-30 **19** things about the king of Jordan, much less have a plan to kill
- -08:-39:-30 **20** him.
- There's a tape about that, a recording that
- $_{-08:-39:-30}$  22 actually has him talking about this. I won't play it for you.
- -08:-39:-30 **23** But if you want to hear it, it's on 1D-5-69185-2.
- THE JUROR: Say that again, please.
- 10:02:18 **25** MR. SOFER: 5-69185-2.

Case: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 203 of 228. PageID #: 10877 6965 Why on February 16 does Mohammad Amawi talk about -08:-39:-30 wanting to kill other leaders in the Arabic world? If this -08:-39:-30 information was given to the FBI and related to other -08:-39:-30 governments, what would Mohammad Amawi be risking in traveling -08:-39:-30 to places like Saudi Arabia and Egypt? Why is Mohammad Amawi -08:-39:-30 constantly talking about keeping things secret and being careful 10:02:37 not to talk about what they are doing? -08:-39:-30 8 The answer to all of these questions is clear: -08:-39:-30 Mohammad Amawi is not playing Darren Griffin at all. -08:-39:-30 believes what Darren Griffin is telling him, and he sees Darren Griffin as a gift from God. He actually says as much. Someone who can help Mohammad Amawi do what he and the other defendants believe is right and necessary, and that's to engage in violent jihad overseas. 15 It's no wonder that Mohammad Amawi is making this 10:03:06 argument. He can't credibly deny the substance of all the recorded conversations. He can't credibly argue that Darren Griffin is an evil genius who manipulated him into doing the -08:-39:-30 19 things he did and saying the things he said. He has to come up with something that explains all of this evidence. This is all that remains. 22 10:03:31 Ladies and gentlemen, I suggest to you that some of

Ladies and gentlemen, I suggest to you that some of these arguments that the defense made are inconsistent with themselves, and they're also inconsistent with the other arguments by the other defense attorneys.

	Case: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 204 of 228. PageID #: 10878 6966
10:03:45	MR. DOUGHTEN: Objection.
10:03:46	THE COURT: It will be the same comment as before,
-08:-39:-30	3 ladies and gentlemen. You should consider only that portion of
-08:-39:-30	4 this argument that was in response to argument made by defense
-08:-39:-30	<b>5</b> counsel.
10:04:04	MR. SOFER: One defendant says he was pretending
-08:-39:-30	7 to be a terrorist. One says that Darren Griffin tricked him
10:04:10	<b>8</b> into behaving like a terrorist. And the last guy says he was
-08:-39:-30	9 trying to learn terrorism tactics and bombs so he could return
10:04:17	0 to Lebanon.
-08:-39:-30	1 Ladies and gentlemen, this has been a long case,
10:04:22	<b>2</b> and it's important to the defendants and to the United States of
10:04:27	3 America. The evidence in this case has proven that each of the
10:04:33	4 defendants are guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of the crimes
10:04:37	for which they have been charged. If you examine the evidence,
-08:-39:-30	6 all of the evidence, and only the evidence, you will return the
10:04:48	7 verdict that justice requires: A verdict of guilty.
10:04:52	Thank you very much for your time.
10:05:09	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I'll now read you
-08:-39:-30 <b>2</b>	the final portion.
10:05:23	(Discussion had off the record.)
10:05:29	THE COURT: We'll start on page 43. It should
-08:-39:-30 <b>2</b>	3 take only about five or six minutes.
10:05:47 <b>2</b>	Part 3, instructions regarding deliberations.
10:05:51	Members of the jury, you are the finders of fact in

10:07:27 **43** Do not ever tell anyone or otherwise disclose how

Page 6967 to 6967 of 6990 09/11/2008 11:26:26 AM

-08:-39:-30 **24** some time to do so.

you stand on your votes. For example, do not write down or -08:-39:-30 tell anyone that you are split six, six or eight, four or -08:-39:-30 whatever your vote happens to be. That must, must remain 10:07:38 secret until you are finished.

-08:-39:-30

- 5 From now until you have reached your verdict, you 10:07:46 may determine the schedule for your deliberations. Please tell -08:-39:-30 the clerk when you are taking recesses or adjourning for the day -08:-39:-30 and when you will be resuming deliberations. 10:07:58
- While in recess or adjournment, do not discuss the -08:-39:-30 -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{10}$  case with anyone, and take nothing relating to the case from the -08:-39:-30 **11** jury room. Do not engage in any outside research or otherwise 10:08:10 **12** try to find out more about the case. Do not read, listen to, -08:-39:-30 13 or watch accounts about the case.
- Instruction number 25. Your verdicts, whether 10:08:18 guilty or not guilty, must be unanimous. To find the defendant guilty of a charge, every one of you must agree that the -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  government has overcome the presumption of innocence and met its -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  burden of proof with evidence that proves his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
- Likewise, to find the defendant not guilty, every 10:08:41 one of you must agree that the government has failed to prove -08:-39:-30 **22** the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
- -08:-39:-30 23 Either way, guilty or not guilty, your verdict must be unanimous.
- Instruction number 26, duty to deliberate.

Case: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 207 of 228. PageID #: 10881 6969 Now that the case is being given to you to decide, -08:-39:-30 2 it is your duty to talk with each other about the evidence and -08:-39:-30 make every reasonable effort to reach unanimous agreement. Talk -08:-39:-30 with each other, listen carefully and respectfully to each -08:-39:-30 other's view, and keep an open mind as you listen to what each -08:-39:-30 other has to say. 10:09:25 Try your best to work out your differences. 10:09:26 Do not hesitate to change your mind if you are 10:09:30 convinced that other jurors are right and that your original 10:09:34 position was wrong. But do not ever change your mind just because other jurors see things differently, or just to get the -08:-39:-30 **12** case over with. In the end, your vote must be exactly that: -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{13}$  Your own vote. It is important for you to reach unanimous agreement, but only if you can do so honestly and in good -08:-39:-30 **15** conscience. 10:10:01 Remember that you are judges -- judges of the facts. You are not partisans or advocates for one side or the other, or for a particular outcome. 19 10:10:13 No one will be allowed to hear your discussions in the jury room, and no record will be made of what you say. you should all feel free to speak your minds. -08:-39:-30 **22** Listen carefully to what the other jurors have to -08:-39:-30 **23** say, and then decide for yourself if the government has proved -08:-39:-30 **24** the defendants under consideration guilty beyond a reasonable

doubt.

Cas	se: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 208 of 228. PageID #: 10882 6970
-08:-39:-30	Instruction number 27, punishment.
10:10:39	In reaching your verdict as to whether the
10:10:42 <b>3</b>	government has proven the defendants guilty beyond a reasonable
10:10:45	doubt, or has failed to do so, you must not consider the
10:10:49 5	consequences of your verdict.
10:10:51 6	Instruction number 28. I have prepared verdict
-08:-39:-30 7	forms that you should use to record your verdicts.
10:11:02	I think, Amy, do they have copies of them? There
-08:-39:-30	is one additional form that I'll read to you. Then this set
-08:-39:-30 10	that I send back should be the set that you should use. If you
-08:-39:-30 11	want to turn to the first exemplar form, its that caption. For
-08:-39:-30 12	each of the three defendants, the forms are the same in their
10:11:28 13	content as to the first two charges, the two conspiracy charges.
-08:-39:-30 14	And I'll just read one by way of example:
-08:-39:-30 15	In the United States District Court in the Northern
10:11:40 16	District of Ohio, Western Division, United States of America,
-08:-39:-30 17	Plaintiff, versus Mohammad Zaki Amawi, Defendant. 3:06 CR 719.
10:11:51 18	Verdict: We, the jury, duly impaneled and sworn, find the
10:11:56 19	defendant, Mohammad Zaki Amawi, as to Count 1, conspiracy to
-08:-39:-30 <b>20</b>	kill, kidnap, maim or injure persons outside of the United
-08:-39:-30 <b>21</b>	States, pursuant to 18 U.S. Code, 956(a)(1), not guilty or
-08:-39:-30 <b>22</b>	guilty, whichever verdict you reach, have the foreperson mark
10:12:16 23	the appropriate area, space, and then each of you must sign the
-08:-39:-30 <b>24</b>	verdict.
10:12:23 <b>25</b>	As to that charge and the content, as I say, is the
09/11/2008 11:26:26	AM Page 6970 to 6970 of 6990 208 of 228 sheets

-08:-39:-30 **1** same as to the charge under Count 1 as to Mr. El-Hindi and Mr.

10:12:32 **2** Mazloum.

10:12:42

10:12:50

-08:-39:-30 **3** As to that charge, there is a verdict form that you

-08:-39:-30 **4** do not have which you will have with you. Again, it repeats

**5** the caption, and it is to be used by you only if you find a

**6** defendant guilty of Count 1. If you find a defendant not

10:12:53 guilty of Count 1, then disregard this second form.

If you have found this defendant guilty of the consists of the crime charged in Count 1, conspiracy to kill, maim, kidnap or

 $_{ exttt{0:13:05}}$   $oxed{10}$  injure persons outside the United States, pursuant to 18 U.S.

D8:-39:-30 **11** Code section 956(a)(1), you must unanimously answer whether the

10:13:13 **12** object of the conspiracy was to murder persons in another

-08:-39:-30 13 country, if you find that that was the object. If you find

-08:-39:-30 14 beyond a reasonable doubt that that was the object of the

10:13:25 **15** conspiracy, then you should check -- put an X by "yes." And if

0:13:32 **16** you unanimously find that that was not an object of the

-08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{17}$  conspiracy, that the government has failed to meet its burden of

-08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  proving that beyond a reasonable doubt, then mark "no." Then

-08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{19}$  you must also consider whether or not you find unanimously that

0:13:47 **20** the object of the conspiracy was to maim persons in another

10:13:50 **21** country. Likewise, check the appropriate box, reflecting your

10:13:55 **22** verdict. And then once again, it must be signed by the

-08:-39:-30 **23** foreperson and all 12 jurors.

10:14:04 **24** The next instruction relates to Count 2. Again,

-08:-39:-30 **25** the next is the same for all three defendants. It contains the

caption as to each particular defendant. And there's separate 10:14:13 verdicts for each defendant. We, the jury, duly impaneled and -08:-39:-30 sworn, find the defendant, Mohammad Zaki Amawi, pursuant to 18 10:14:23 U.S. Code Section 2339A -- check the box which reflects your 10:14:30 verdict. All 12 jurors sign that. And those are the only -08:-39:-30 instructions with regard to Mr. Mazloum. 10:14:41 As to Mr. Amawi, there are two other instructions -08:-39:-30 containing the caption and they read: We, the jury, duly -08:-39:-30 impaneled and sworn, find the defendant, Mohammad Zaki Amawi, as 10:14:58 to Count 3, distributing information regarding explosives pursuant to 18 U.S. Code, Section 842(p)(2)(A) -- again, check **12** whichever line reflects your verdict. -08:-39:-30 13 Then finally, with regard to Mr. Amawi, final verdict form is: We, the jury, duly impaneled and sworn, find -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{15}$  the defendant, Mohammad Zaki Amawi, as to Count 4, distributing -08:-39:-30 **16** information regarding explosives, pursuant to 18 U.S. Code **17** 842(p)(2)(A), either not guilty or guilty. 18 Then there are the similar two additional charges 10:15:36 with regard to Mr. El-Hindi. After the caption: We, the jury, duly impaneled and sworn, find the Defendant, Marwan Othman El-Hindi, as to Count 5, distributing information regarding 22 explosives pursuant to 18 U.S. Code section 842(p)(2)(A). -08:-39:-30 **23** again you check the appropriate box, and all 12 of you must sign 10:16:12 **24** reflecting unanimity.

And then the last verdict form is: We, the jury,

	Cas	se: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 211 of 228. PageID #: 10885 6973
10:16:17	1	duly impaneled and sworn, find the defendant, Marwan Othman
10:16:21	2	El-Hindi, as to Count 6, distributing information regarding
10:16:24	3	explosives, pursuant to 18 U.S. Code 842(p)(2)(A), then check
-08:-39:-30	4	the box either not guilty or guilty.
-08:-39:-30	5	I should indicate, I trust you understand that the
10:16:38	6	fact that I read the forms in a particular order with reference
-08:-39:-30	7	to a particular defendant indicates no view on my part as to
-08:-39:-30	8	your verdicts. And these forms will also be with you and
-08:-39:-30	9	should be the ones that you sign upon reaching your verdict.
-08:-39:-30	10	To return to instruction number 28. If you
10:17:05	11	unanimously decide that the government has proved the charges
10:17:07	12	against the defendants beyond a reasonable doubt, have your
-08:-39:-30	13	foreperson mark the appropriate place on the form. If you
10:17:12	14	decide that government has not proved the charge against the
-08:-39:-30	15	defendant beyond a reasonable doubt, your foreperson marks the
-08:-39:-30	16	appropriate place on the form or forms.
-08:-39:-30	17	Each of you should then sign the form or forms.
-08:-39:-30	18	Then notify the clerk that you have reached your
10:17:27	19	verdicts, and hand the verdicts to her.
-08:-39:-30	20	Before your verdicts are read in court, do not
-08:-39:-30	21	disclose them to anyone.
-08:-39:-30	<b>22</b>	I'll now discharge the alternates in this case, and
-08:-39:-30	23	in a moment I will ask that you return to the jury room to
10:17:41	24	undertake and complete your deliberations in the case.
10:17:45	25	Thank you.

Instruction number 29, the last instruction. 10:17:46 Alternate jurors. Some of you now must be excused as jurors 10:17:52 because only 12 persons can deliberate and reach a verdict. -08:-39:-30 I instruct you, once I know who you are, that you 10:18:00 must continue to refrain, absolutely refrain from discussing the -08:-39:-30 case with anyone until you have learned that a verdict has been -08:-39:-30 returned. And Amy or Annie Crawford will give you a call and -08:-39:-30 let you know when that's happened. You must also refrain from -08:-39:-30 reading, listening to, or viewing any news accounts about the 10:18:21  $_{-08:-39:-30}$  10 case until you have learned that a verdict has been returned. -08:-39:-30 **1 1** In the event that something happens that makes it -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  impossible for one of the deliberating jurors to participate -08:-39:-30 13 until a verdict is reached, it may be necessary to recall you to -08:-39:-30 **14** become a member of the jury. For this reason you must comply -08:-39:-30 **15** with this instruction. 16 In other words, you must continue to conduct 10:18:42 17 yourself as if you were still a member of the jury. -08:-39:-30 18 Once the case is over, you can discuss it with anyone or no one. That is your choice. Sincere thanks for your service in this case. The three jurors who are the alternate jurors and 10:18:58 -08:-39:-30 **22** will be excused at this time subject to that instruction are 10:19:06 **23** Juror Number 334, Juror Number 347 and Juror 388. I don't know -08:-39:-30 **24** whether you will be taking leave happily or sadly. that given the service that each of have you performed, there's

1 a measure of disappointment on the part of those who are now being excused as alternates. But I simply want to repeat

 $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$  f 3 before you leave what I said to you at the outset of this case

4 during the course of voir dire. While your service, as

-08:-39:-30 **5** well-performed as it so apparently and visibly by all of us in

-08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{6}$  this case has been, you have fulfilled one of the most

 $_{-08:-39:-30}$  7 important, fundamentally important civic duties any one of us

 $_{-08:-39:-30}$  8 can be called upon to perform. We don't disclose who the

-08:-39:-30 **9** alternates are. Those of you who were in the highest end of the

10:20:08 **10** row may have anticipated that, but that's not always the case.

 $_{\scriptscriptstyle{1:20:11}}$  11 Sometimes there's others who are excused as alternates. But I

-08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  hope you understand, the most sincere and heartfelt thanks and

20:22 **13** appreciation and gratitude on the part of all of the parties in

-08:-39:-30 **14** this case, the attorneys, myself, and ultimately that of your

15 fellow citizens who you have served very, very well and we are

-08:-39:-30 **16** deeply grateful.

10:20:38 **17** I will send up the jury instructions, the exhibits

-08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{18}$  also will be collected and brought up probably sometime first

-08:-39:-30 **19** thing in the morning. I'm going to send the 12 of you upstairs

 $_{ ext{D8:-39:-30}}$  f 20 to see whether you want to begin deliberating. It's entirely

ns:-39:-30 **21** up to you. You can walk upstairs, tell Amy you are leaving,

-08:-39:-30 **22** and go.

10:20:58 **23** I would suggest that the first order of business,

10:21:00 **24** as I indicated, is to select the foreperson. If you don't even

-08:-39:-30 **25** feel like doing that tonight, that's fine, because we have gone

10:19:41

- 10:21:07 **1** later, and I apologize for that. I appreciate your patience.
- -08:-39:-30 **2** I very much wanted to get the case to you now so you could get
- underway, at long last, your very important deliberations.
- -08:-39:-30 4 The one thing I would ask is that you keep Amy
- posted as to when you will be adjourning and when you'll be
- taking a recess either for a break, just a break in your
- deliberations, for you to go out for lunch. And that's entirely
- up to you; you can do so or you can order in if you want. And
- 10:21:40 **9** just let Amy know so we know.
- The schedule is entirely in your hands. If you
- -08:-39:-30 **11** wanted to start deliberating right now, that's fine. That's
- 10:21:53 **12** your call. If you want to come in at 10:00, 9:00, 8:30
- 10:21:58 **13** tomorrow, that's entirely up to you. So there's no set
- 10:22:01 **14** timetable for you, and you are the timekeepers. So you may now
- -08:-39:-30  ${f 15}$  retire to the jury room. Let us know how you want to proceed
- -08:-39:-30 **16** this evening. Thank you.
- 10:22:53 **17** (Jury exits the courtroom.)
- 10:22:56 THE COURT: I neglected to ask Amy, I place the
- $_{ ext{-08:-39:-30}}$  19 responsibility on counsel to make sure that the exhibits that
- -08:-39:-30 f 20 properly should go back to the jury are assembled and given to
- 08:-39:-30 **21** Amy to do so. Obviously, given the number of exhibits, I trust
- -08:-39:-30 **22** that you will each check the others and make sure that there's
- -08:-39:-30 **23** no -- nothing goes back that shouldn't go back, and there's
- 10:23:24 **24** nothing omitted that ought to go back.
- 0:23:28 **25** I want the record to reflect that I deem any and

Ca	se: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 215 of 228. PageID #: 10889 6977
-08:-39:-30	all objections to the jury instructions to have been renewed
10:23:37	prior to discharge of the jury and should be preserved. Any
10:23:43	errors should be preserved for purpose of review or any
10:23:48	challenge.
10:23:50 <b>5</b>	And with regard to the Rule 29 motions, those will
10:23:53	be overruled. Okay. Let's wait and see what the jury wants to
10:24:00 7	do.
10:24:00	MR. SOFER: What do you expect of us in terms of
-08:-39:-30	are we allowed to leave? How far are we allowed to go away?
10:24:09	THE COURT: Let's find out what they're doing. I
-08:-39:-30 11	do not require you where would you be?
10:24:18 12	MR. SOFER: I would say bar, Your Honor, but
10:24:23 13	THE COURT: I may be there myself.
10:24:28 14	MR. SOFER: No, Judge, I think we would be at the
10:24:31 15	United States Attorney's Office, possibly certainly within five
10:24:34 16	or ten minutes, at the most 15 minutes away from the courthouse.
-08:-39:-30 17	THE COURT: Whatever you want. We can make
-08:-39:-30 18	arrangements for you to be in the courthouse if you want. It's
-08:-39:-30 19	entirely up to you. For example, what I do when and if I get a
-08:-39:-30 <b>20</b>	note from the jury, I immediately notify you.
10:24:54 <b>21</b>	If it's something really simple and routine and
-08:-39:-30 <b>22</b>	everyone just says just write it out and send it back with Amy,
-08:-39:-30 <b>23</b>	that's fine. Obviously I never respond with them unless we
-08:-39:-30 <b>24</b>	have all consulted. I do think that you ought to make real
-08:-39:-30 <b>25</b>	sure that you all have your cell phones on and Amy has the
215 of 228 sheets	Page 6977 to 6977 of 6990 09/11/2008 11:26:26 AN

- numbers and all of that. Also I think it would probably make
  good sense, given the number of you, that if you haven't done so
  already, sort of designate one person who will be the initial
  contact person who then will take responsibility for calling
  everybody else just in the interest of time.
- 7 wanted to do a quick proffer of an objection. I didn't have
  8 the heart to drag everybody to side bar this late. The reason
  9 for the objection is we thought it was improper for the
  10:25:40
  10:25:43
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40
  10:25:40

10:25:37

MR. DOUGHTEN: Two issues, Your Honor. One was I

- -08:-39:-30 11 consideration for the jury because I think the Court instructed,
  -08:-39:-30 12 we believe, each case is individual, each defendant is
  -08:-39:-30 13 individual, and the fact that our defense may not even coincide
- 10:26:05 **14** or be consistent with EI-Hindi's is not proper consideration for
- -08:-39:-30 **15** the jury. That's the basis for the objection, so it's on the -08:-39:-30 **16** record.
- The other issue, we want to be clear, if the jury wants to hear the tapes, what's the procedure?
- THE COURT: I'm going to tell them, no, they can only view or listen to the tapes in open court in the presence of the defendants and counsel.
- MR. DOUGHTEN: That's our issue. I'm only
  speaking for Mr. Mazloum. We're a little leery about having Mr.
  Mazloum here because it's during deliberations, and it's contact
- -08:-39:-30 **24** Mazloum here because it's during deliberations, and it's contact -08:-39:-30 **25** with the jury during deliberations. I'm worried because they

THE COURT: Were you in that case also?

MR. DOUGHTEN: That's my recollection. Along that
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do you want
us here after we get contacted to come over? Can we have a
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do you want
us here after we get contacted to come over? Can we have a
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do you want
us here after we get contacted to come over? Can we have a
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do you want
us here after we get contacted to come over? Can we have a
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do you want
us here after we get contacted to come over? Can we have a
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do you want
us here after we get contacted to come over? Can we have a
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do you want
us here after we get contacted to come over? Can we have a
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do you want
us here after we get contacted to come over? Can we have a
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do you want
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do you want
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do you want
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do you want
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do you want
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do you want
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do you want
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do you want
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do you want
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do you want
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do you want
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do you want
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do you want
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do you want
line, how far do you want him or how far -- how soon do

	Cas	se: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 218 of 228. PageID #: 10892
	Oac	6980
10:28:55	1	time.
-08:-39:-30	2	THE COURT: Twenty minutes is kind of long. I'm
10:28:58	3	just a little concerned if we get a flurry of questions. Let's
-08:-39:-30	4	put it this way: Talk amongst all yourselves. If each of you
-08:-39:-30	5	wants to, designate one attorney who will be the in-court
-08:-39:-30	6	presence when and if we have the jury present in court to answer
10:29:13	7	questions or whatever, as sometimes we might have to.
10:29:16	8	Sometimes I have to say, look, if they keep sending the same
-08:-39:-30	9	question back, and I keep giving them the same answer, I don't
-08:-39:-30	10	know. We don't know.
10:29:25	11	MR. DOUGHTEN: That's fine, Your Honor.
10:29:27	12	THE COURT: But, I mean, if my impression is wrong,
10:29:32	13	it's not necessary for the defendants to be here, then that's
10:29:35	14	fine, except when the verdict is returned. I just don't know.
-08:-39:-30	15	Mr. Ivey, have any of you had experience? You've
-08:-39:-30	16	tried a lot more criminal cases amongst the crowd in front of me
-08:-39:-30	17	than I have.
10:29:53	18	MR. IVEY: Those of us from out of town want to
10:29:56	19	know your procedure in terms of the weekend. Do you permit
10:29:59	20	deliberations through the weekend?
-08:-39:-30	21	THE COURT: The timetable I can't imagine that
-08:-39:-30	<b>22</b>	they will, but that's their timetable. They have absolute
10:30:07	<b>23</b>	control over the timetable. If they want to start now and go
-08:-39:-30	24	until 4:00 in the morning, which I don't think is likely, I'm
-08:-39:-30	25	just saying, that would be their call. Normally they tend to

-08:-39:-30 **17** -08:-39:-30 18 guys know from the government?

19 10:31:59 MR. SOFER: My experience is not the same as Mr.

Doughten. For some reason I look at this similarly to -similar to read-back. And if a jury asks for read-back of -08:-39:-30 f 22 testimony, I've never been in a courtroom where the defendant

10:32:15 23 wasn't present for that. But my experience may be unusual.

-08:-39:-30 **24** I think Your Honor is right also that the law, I believe, and we can all take a look at this also, but I think

- -08:-39:-30 1 the law says that the reason why these recordings don't go back
- -08:-39:-30 f 2 to the jury is there's no way then of controlling how they
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{3}$  listen to them, where they listen to them. And likewise, since
- -08:-39:-30 f 4 the transcripts do not go into evidence, and they're actually
- -08:-39:-30 **5** here via computer, that's the only way that the jury is likely
- -08:-39:-30 **6** to be able to see a transcript with the actual recording. And
- 7 certainly the transcripts -- I shouldn't say certainly. I think
- 10:32:58 8 courts have differed about that a little bit, but I think the
- -08:-39:-30 **9** preferred method is transcripts do not go back to jurors.
- -08:-39:-30 **10** THE COURT: No, transcripts don't go back.
- MR. SOFER: I think what Your Honor is suggesting
- -08:-39:-30  $oldsymbol{12}$  is consistent with the law, but we'd have to do a little
- -08:-39:-30 13 research to confirm it. I don't know if the Sixth Circuit has
- -08:-39:-30 **14** ever spoken to it.
- 10:33:18 **15** THE COURT: Hold on a second.
- 10:33:33 **16** (Discussion had off the record.)
- 10:33:36 **17** THE COURT: Amy indicated the jurors are going to
- -08:-39:-30 **18** be leaving. They'll commence deliberations tomorrow at 9:00.
- 10:33:43 **19** And that it's anticipated that they will be here at 8:30 the
- 10:33:50 **20** following days. Give me a moment to do a little research.
- The first case I find indicates there's a split in
- -08:-39:-30 **22** the circuits. Hold on a minute.
- One thing the Sixth Circuit makes quite clear --
- -08:-39:-30 24 I'm just reading the abstract -- it's defendant's right to be
- 10:38:35 **25** present during all stages of trial may be waived. U.S. v

С	ase: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 221 of 228. PageID #: 10895 6983
10:38:40	Riddle, 249 F.3d, 529.
10:38:45	MR. SOFER: Can you repeat that?
10:38:47	THE COURT: R-I-D-D-L-E, 249 F.3d, 529, Sixth
10:38:53	Circuit, 2001. I don't know what it involved, but it makes
10:38:57	sense. Defendant can waive the right to be present.
10:40:01	The little I can find so far as the circuits seem
-08:-39:-30	to disagree as to whether the defendant has a right to be
-08:-39:-30	present during a read-back. Ninth Circuit case, 22 F.3d, 964,
10:40:14	refers to the right to be present, but the error was harmless.
-08:-39:-30 1	And the Tenth Circuit says that the defendant does not have a
-08:-39:-30	right to be present during replay, 988 F.2d, 901.
-08:-39:-30	I'm going to let you guys do the research.
10:40:36	MR. DOUGHTEN: Mr. Mazloum will waive his right to
	be present, just to make the record clear. So we have
10:40:43	discussed it with him, and we're reluctant to have him in here
-08:-39:-30	if the jury's playing certain tapes and may look over at him
-08:-39:-30 17	during deliberations.
-08:-39:-30 <b>18</b>	THE COURT: I understand.
10:40:52	MR. DOUGHTEN: He will waive it.
10:40:54 <b>2</b> (	MR. SOFER: I ask Your Honor to do the inquiry
-08:-39:-30 <b>2</b> *	with the defendant.
10:40:58 22	THE COURT: Mr. Mazloum, you have been following
10:41:04 <b>23</b>	the meander of our discussion.
-08:-39:-30 <b>2</b> 4	Have you been following what we've been talking
-08:-39:-30 <b>2</b>	about, Defendant Mazloum?

Cas	se: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 222 of 228. PageID #: 10896 6984
10:41:14	DEFENDANT MAZLOUM: Yes.
-08:-39:-30 <b>2</b>	THE COURT: I think it's highly likely the jury
-08:-39:-30	will ask to have recordings replayed. I don't know if it will
	be your voice or other defendants, but I think it's quite likely
_	they will because everyone told them they could. That must
	occur in the courtroom. I don't know if you have a
_	Constitutional right to attend that proceeding or not. So let
	me assume that you do. That would be the maximum extension
10:41:45	the maximum protection extended to you.
-08:-39:-30 <b>10</b>	Are you willing to be absent from the courtroom
10:41:51	when that occurs?
40	
40	DEFENDANT MAZLOUM: Yes, Judge.
	THE COURT: Have you had a chance to talk it over
	with your counsel?
-08:-39:-30 15	
-08:-39:-30 16	THE COURT: Has anybody threatened you or made any
10:42:01 17	threats or promises to you about that decision?
-08:-39:-30 18	DEFENDANT MAZLOUM: No.
-08:-39:-30 19	THE COURT: And you understand you can change your
-08:-39:-30 <b>20</b>	mind if you want?
-08:-39:-30 <b>21</b>	DEFENDANT MAZLOUM: Yes.
-08:-39:-30 <b>22</b>	THE COURT: Whether you have a right to attend or
-08:-39:-30 <b>23</b>	not, you are welcome to attend. Do you understand?
-08:-39:-30 <b>24</b>	DEFENDANT MAZLOUM: I understand that.
10:42:15 <b>25</b>	MR. DOUGHTEN: Thank you, Your Honor.

Ca	se: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 223 of 228. PageID #: 10897 6985
10:42:17	MR. IVEY: Likewise with Mr. Amawi. We've had an
10:42:20	opportunity to discuss this issue with him. He is likewise
	willing to waive his attendance at any replay of audio
_	recordings. He is here in the Court if you would like to
_	inquire.
10:42:31	
10:42:31	, <b>,</b>
0	5
	,,,_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	don't know whether you have a Constitutional or other right to
	attend. You're welcome to attend if you want if tapes are
	replayed, as I expect they will be. Do you want to be here for
-08:-39:-30 13	
-08:-39:-30 14	
10:42:55 15	THE COURT: And are you giving up whatever right
-08:-39:-30 16	you might have to be here freely and voluntarily?
10:43:03 17	DEFENDANT AMAWI: I'm sorry?
-08:-39:-30 18	THE COURT: Are you giving up whatever right you
-08:-39:-30 19	might have voluntarily?
10:43:08 <b>20</b>	DEFENDANT AMAWI: Yes. Absolutely.
-08:-39:-30 <b>21</b>	THE COURT: Has anybody threatened you or made any
10:43:12 <b>22</b>	promises to you to cause you to do so?
-08:-39:-30 <b>23</b>	DEFENDANT AMAWI: No.
10:43:14 <b>24</b>	THE COURT: You understand if you want to you can
10:43:16 <b>25</b>	change your mind?

Ca	se: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 224 of 228. PageID #: 10898 6986
10:43:17	DEFENDANT AMAWI: Yes, I do.
-08:-39:-30	THE COURT: Okay. And you've had enough time to
-08:-39:-30	talk it over with Mr. Ivey and your other lawyers to get their
10:43:27	advice?
-08:-39:-30	DEFENDANT AMAWI: Yes.
10:43:38	MR. HARTMAN: Your Honor, on behalf of
10:43:42	Mr. El-Hindi, we're not ready to make that decision one way or
-08:-39:-30	another.
-08:-39:-30	THE COURT: Okay.
10:43:47	And somebody I'll try
10:43:51	MR. HARTMAN: We'll take a look, see what we can
-08:-39:-30 12	find out.
-08:-39:-30 13	THE COURT: I don't want to deprive anybody of the
-08:-39:-30 14	right that they have. Candidly, if they want to be here, even
10:43:59	if they, quote, don't have a right to be here, I'm willing to
10:44:04 16	have them be here. My instinct is it's because it's a
-08:-39:-30 17	proceeding occurring in open court in the presence of the jury
10:44:14 18	that the defendant, if the defendant desires to be here, he can
-08:-39:-30 19	be here. Okay.
10:44:21 <b>20</b>	Okay. Anything further from the government?
10:44:23 <b>21</b>	MR. SOFER: No, Your Honor.
10:44:25 <b>22</b>	THE COURT: Amy, what's the status on the exhibits?
-08:-39:-30 23	Do you have them all?
10:44:29 <b>24</b>	MR. HERDMAN: That was one thing I was going to
-08:-39:-30 <b>25</b>	ask. We provided exhibit books that have all the exhibits that

have been admitted into evidence. I can bring in all of the -08:-39:-30 2 actual exhibits that are currently at the Federal Bureau of -08:-39:-30 3 Investigation. It's going to take up almost probably both rows, -08:-39:-30 and I can probably go over in the next part of the audience 10:44:48 section. I could do that. What I would suggest -- this is 10:44:52 entirely up to the Court and Counsel, but what I would suggest 10:44:56 is if you're comfortable with the exhibit books going back, if -08:-39:-30 there's a particular exhibit that the jurors want to see, for 10:45:04 instance, a gun or -- I'm just trying to think of the actual 10:45:07 physical evidence that's in the case -- if we could ask them to indicate via note, we could bring the actual physical evidence **12** over here. But I'm happy to ---08:-39:-30 13 THE COURT: Technically they ought to be at hand for the jury. You've got stacks and stacks of this stuff. 15 Judge, they are sort of at hand. MR. SOFER: 10:45:32 Obviously, the guns are a good example. 10:45:35 THE COURT: That's --10:45:39 -08:-39:-30 18 They can't really sit here unattended MR. SOFER: without an FBI agent here. Unless Counsel or the Court 10:45:43 disagrees, we could have any item here within a few minutes. It's just a question of where is the safest place and the most -08:-39:-30 **22** secure place to actually hold them. We certainly agree they -08:-39:-30 **23** should be made available at the jury's request. I'm not go ----08:-39:-30 **24** given the amount of space that they have and the amount of people that we have to have watching them, I just don't know if

- 1 that's practical. I don't know if Counsel would be willing to
   2 go with the arrangement Mr. Herdman suggests or not.
- THE COURT: It seems to me that any recordings,

  dobviously, can be kept probably here in the conference room is

  probably the best place to keep them. But what about anything

  else, photographs, all that stuff?
- MR. HERDMAN: In essence, Your Honor, everything
  has been photographed that's a physical piece of evidence.
- Everything's been photographed and placed into those books for the Court. And the Court has a set; counsel has a set, and the court reporter has a set as well. In essence, all the exhibits are in those books. Of course it made virtual sense that they
- 10:47:11 13 be photographed. This is a place holder for some of the CDs that contain videos.
- THE COURT: Well, what do you guys want? I hadn't obs:-39:-30 16 thought about that.
- MR. HARTMAN: Judge, speaking on behalf of the

  BI-Hindi team, we wouldn't have an objection to the jury getting
  the books rather than making them bring over all this evidence,
  and then just instruct the jury, like Mr. Herdman said, if they
  want to see a specific piece of something, the only thing we
  would ask is that we -- the government go through the books
- -08:-39:-30 **23** carefully and pull out anything that wasn't admitted before they're given.
- MR. HERDMAN: That's already been done. The books

Cas	se: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 227 of 228. PageID #: 10901 6989
10:47:52	conform to the list that was filed last week by the government
-08:-39:-30 <b>2</b>	with respect to the admitted exhibits.
10:47:58	MR. DOUGHTEN: That would be fine, Your Honor, as
-08:-39:-30	long as the jury is instructed if they want to see the actual
10:48:03 <b>5</b>	piece of evidence if for some reason they want to see the
10:48:07	actual evidence that they be told they can have it.
-08:-39:-30	THE COURT: I think I would prefer that it be
-08:-39:-30	here, but I don't know where.
10:48:17	MR. BOSS: I don't often come to the government's
-08:-39:-30 10	aid, but today I will.
10:48:23	THE COURT: Do you want to go off the record?
10:48:25 12	MR. BOSS: When we went to inspect the physical
-08:-39:-30 13	evidence, it's substantial. It would fill at least the jury box
10:48:33 14	and more of the tables and stacks. It's so much that it would
-08:-39:-30 15	be really difficult, I think, for them to keep it here unless
-08:-39:-30 16	it's really necessary.
10:48:44 17	THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.
10:48:49 18	Counsel for Mr. Amawi?
10:48:53 19	MR. WITMER-RICH: That's fine if it's readily
10:48:56 <b>20</b>	available, as the government represents, for the jury if they
-08:-39:-30 <b>21</b>	want to see the physical object, we have no objection.
-08:-39:-30 <b>22</b>	THE COURT: I probably should explain that to them
10:49:06 <b>23</b>	tomorrow, so somebody should be here at 9:00.
10:49:11 24	Again, Amy, while you're upstairs, I've asked them
-08:-39:-30 <b>25</b>	that they designate one lawyer from each team to sort of be the
227 of 228 sheets	Page 6989 to 6989 of 6990 09/11/2008 11:26:26 AM

	Cas	e: 3:06-cr-00719-JGC Doc #: 902 Filed: 09/11/08 228 of 228. PageID #: 10902 6990
-08:-39:-30	1	first lawyer for you to call if we need to have a question.
10:49:23	2	It's up to them then to get ahold of the other counsel.
10:49:29	3	Okay. Anything else?
10:49:35	4	MR. SOFER: No, Judge. Thank you for your
10:49:37	5	patience.
	6	(Adjourned at 7:29 p.m.)
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	CERTIFICATE
	11	
	12	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the
	13	record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
	14	
	15	/s Tracy L. Spore
	16	Tracy L. Spore, RMR, CRR Date
	17	
05:32:56	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	